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### Compulsory REVISION comments

The study is well done but I cannot comment on the statistics. However what I can say with certainty is that the authors are as confused as the subjects. They conclude that emergency contraception prevents unwanted pregnancy. Like many they do not realise that 1.5 mg LNG is only about 50% effective if given during the fertile window. I review many of these studies and am continually amazed to find how little the authors know. How do you test other peoples knowledge if you are ignorant? They do not mention "emergency IUD "use either. They should read the chapter "Emergency Contraception" by Trussell and Schwarz in "Contraceptive Technology" by Hatcher et al and rewrite their conclusions. [Comments received from mail]
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