

1 **A PATRIARCHAL PORTRAYAL OF WOMEN'S LATE ENTRANCE TO THE**
2 **BAR AND THE IMPLICATIONS OF THEIR TREASON AGAINST THE**
3 **ORDER OF NATURE: A JUDICIAL ANALYSES**

4 **ABSTRACT**

5 During the Roman Empire, two women Carfinia and Calphurnia, offended the sensibilities of the
6 Courts. Carfinia vexed a praetor with her pleading and Calphurnia, pleading before the Senate, lost
7 her case and in an act of extreme contempt of Court, turned her back to the judges, lifted her robes
8 and displayed her derriere. As a result of the actions of Carfinia and Calphurnia, women were
9 excluded entirely from rendering any Court or public service on account of their temperament. Being
10 decommissioned for centuries from the legal profession, women encountered a heavy backlog and
11 their entry, after much fighting, was not received as a welcome invitation by their male
12 counterparts. Law, therefore, was seen to be a male prerogative and the common rationale had
13 been that women should never been admitted to the bar. And, over and above this contention of
14 the legal fraternity, patriarchal sentiments portray that the constitution of the family organisation
15 and divine ordinance dictates that the domestic sphere is that which properly belongs to the domain
16 and functions of womanhood. The destiny of women is to fulfil the noble and benign offices of wife
17 and mother. This is the law of the Creator and the argument that women who want to practice as
18 lawyers, by so doing, committed treason against the order of nature.

19 **INTRODUCTION**

20 Law is one of the oldest and most conservative of the professions and its members were exclusively
21 male. The profession has given little consideration to the difficulties encountered by the first woman
22 seeking entry or to the prejudice and discrimination other women lawyers have had to face. Males
23 have always appeared to regard themselves as superior to woman.¹

24 This superiority of males is stressed under common law whereby a woman's status merged, on
25 marriage, with that of her husband which became the dominant one. As a result, a woman had little
26 control over her own property and she was not considered to be the legal guardian of her own
27 children. In this study it is evident that the American, English, and South African Courts had
28 interpreted the common law to mean that a woman was not entitled to hold any public office.
29 Women's activities were confined to those associated with the home and a married woman was at
30 law incapable of exercising any public function.²

31 This research will show that for women to depart from these common law expectations took
32 courage and determination. The barriers women have to face and their struggle are encapsulated in
33 this paper.

34

¹ O'Brien, J. 1986. A History of Women in the Legal Profession in New South Wales. Department of History. University of Sydney, p. 1.

² O'Brien (1986) 7.

35 **1. BIBLICAL REFERENCES AS TO THE CONFINEMENT OF WOMEN TO THE HOUSEHOLD IN**
36 **REFERENCE TO THE ORDER OF NATURE**

37 It is a truism that men or husbands are to be responsible for house maintenance, while women or
38 wives are responsible for the care of children. This ought to be the order of nature in a Biblical sense.
39 The idea of the order of nature is divulged in Proverbs 31 in which it is stressed that the household
40 pertains to the woman and the same source of reference even calls the household “hers” four times.
41 In this Biblical reference the household tasks of a woman is laid out: she does everything in her
42 power to care for her family; she works hard to keep her house and her family in order. A woman’s
43 motivation, however, is important in that her business activities must be a means to an end and not
44 an end in themselves. She is to provide for her family, not to furthering her career. The study alluded
45 that employment is to be secondary to a woman’s true calling – the stewardship of her husband,
46 children and home. Therefore a woman’s responsibility as a wife and mother should come before
47 any desire or need to have a job.³

48 According to Genesis 2: 18 a woman was created to be a helper to man. She should, therefore,
49 consider her responsibility, with respect to their children, as that of helper. In other words, while the
50 husband is at work, she should be dealing with the children in such a way that she is helping him
51 with his job or teaching and training the children.⁴

52 But, in contradistinction to the above mentioned Biblical verses, there are also references to women
53 who held jobs outside their homes. It seems that we dealt here with a dichotomy. And that the
54 perception that women militate against the order of nature will not hold water. In adumbration of
55 the job description of women in antiquity (Biblical times) it is revealed that Deborah worked as a
56 Judge in Israel.⁵ Anna worked at the Temple.⁶ Tabitha worked in her community sewing clothes for
57 the needy.⁷ Phoebe worked as a deaconess.⁸ And an unnamed woman in Abel was an effective
58 negotiator speaking with Joab about exposing a traitor who was hiding out in her city.⁹ Based on
59 these latter passages it would be hard to argue that it is wrong for a woman to work outside the
60 home.

61 The paper, however, hinges upon a Christian ethos by stressing the notion that it is important that a
62 mother understand her Biblical responsibility before she makes a decision to go to work. She needs
63 to consider her children first.

64

65

66

³ May, Rebecca S. A Biblical Perspective on Women and Work. Relational Concepts Inc. Distributed by
www.relationalconcepts.org

⁴ May, Rebecca S. A Biblical Perspective on Women and Work. Relational Concepts Inc. Distributed by
www.relationalconcepts.org

⁵ Holy Bible, The New International Version. The Bible Societies. Great Britain. Judges 4-5.

⁶ Holy Bible, Luke 2: 36-38.

⁷ Holy Bible, Acts 9: 36, 39.

⁸ Holy Bible, Roman 16: 1-2.

⁹ Holy Bible, 2 Samuel 20: 16-22.

67 **2. HISTORICAL EVOLUTION OF THE RIGHTS OF WOMEN IN:**68 **GREECE**

69 In Greece and under Athenian law women were regarded as inferior and were confined to the
70 domestic sphere. Women had no legal personhood, and were under the guardianship of a male
71 *kyrios* (Greek master): the father being the first *kyri* from birth, then under their husbands, as well as
72 their brothers and sons. Legal proceedings would be conducted by her *kyrios* on her behalf.¹⁰

73 It is believed that anyone could become a poet, scholar, politician and even an artist, except if you
74 were a woman. According to Aristotle women would bring disorder and as a result they must be
75 kept separate from the rest of the society. This separation would be best achieved if women were to
76 be relegated to the household domain so that they would have little exposure to the masculine
77 world. If women were to be educated it must be done in a limited fashion such as the attainment for
78 basic skills such as spinning, weaving and cooking and an elementary knowledge of money.¹¹

79 Greek women were excluded from military and political life, and as a result, rather busied
80 themselves with the responsibility of running estates of their husbands or male relatives who were
81 away with the army. Women had not much bearing on economic activities, their role is somewhat
82 confined to mediocrity wherein their task was mainly about the safeguarding of the household
83 property created by men.¹²

84

85 **ROME**

86 Women received the same treatment in Rome as was the position in Greece. Law was regarded as a
87 male construct, created by men for men. This sentiment had even spilled over to other social and
88 political realms of society which dictated that women could not vote, hold public office or served in
89 the military.

90 The *paterfamilias* (in Greece it was termed the *kyrios*) was crucial to the Roman society, and the
91 former held sway over his wife, children and servants. Tantamount to the position of Greek women
92 (the matter of *kyrios*), Roman women's activities were limited by guardians, called tutors. Over time
93 tutelage became more relaxed and as a result women were accepted to participate in some public
94 roles such as owning or managing property and acting as municipal patrons for gladiator games and
95 other entertainment activities.¹³ By 27-14 BCE women were granted freedom from tutelage if she
96 gave birth to 3 or more children.¹⁴

¹⁰ Blundell, Sue. 1995. Women in Ancient Greece. Volume 1995, Part 2. Harvard University Press, p. 114. ISBN 978-0-674-95473-1. In Wikipedia. The Free Encyclopedia. Women's Rights. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Women%27s_rights, Accessed 23/05/2016 (3/37).

¹¹ Pry, Kay O. 2012. "Social and Political Roles of Women in Athens and Sparta." Sabre and Scroll, vol. 1, Issue 2.

¹² Gerhard, Ute. 2001. Debating Women's Equality: Toward a Feminist Theory of Law from a European Perspective. Rutgers University Press, pp. 32-35. ISBN 978-0-8135-2905-9.

¹³ Smith, Bonnie G. 2008. The Oxford Encyclopedia of Women in World History: 4 Volume Set. London, UK: Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 422-245. ISBN 978-0-19-514890-9. In Wikipedia: The Free Encyclopedia.

97 In respect of other realms of the law, like inheritance, women did not enjoy legal capacity to make
98 wills.¹⁵

99 Both daughters and sons were subject to *patria potestas*, the power wielded by their father as head
100 of the household (*paterfamilias*).¹⁶

101 During the early Roman Republic, a bride passed from her father's control into that of her husband
102 (*manus*), where she was subjected to her husband's *potestas*.¹⁷

103 Roman women have no *locus standi* to plead cases in court. They must, therefore, be represented by
104 a male person.¹⁸

105 It had been alleged that the moral legislation of the Emperor, Augustus, which set out to regulate
106 the conduct of women, that the crime of adultery (in that period) had a double standard ring to it
107 seeing from the terminology. Adultery was an illicit sex act (*stuprum*) that occurred between a male
108 citizen and a married woman, or between a married woman and any man other than her husband.
109 It implies that a married woman could have sex only with her husband, but a married man did not
110 commit adultery when he had sex with a prostitute.¹⁹

111 Roman law became synonym for civil law because of its originating feature in Europe. The core
112 principles of civil law were that it derived from the Code of Justinian. In certain cases civil law
113 seemed to be complemented by common law. In fact, no any legal jurisdiction follows a pure legal
114 tradition. The civil legal tradition is sometimes interfused by a common law one. Although there are
115 more than these two legal traditions, especially in the East and Far East (where other legal traditions
116 also exist), this paper confines itself to two major legal traditions, namely the civil and the common
117 law jurisdictions.

118

119

120

Legal Rights of Women in History. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legal_rights_of-women_in_history. Accessed 23/05/2016.

¹⁴ Smith (2008) 422-425.

¹⁵ Smith (2008) 423.

¹⁶ Frier, Bruce W. & McGinn, Thomas A.J. 2004. A Casebook on Roman Family Law. Oxford University Press, Oxford, p. 31-32. In Wikipedia: The Free Encyclopedia. Legal Rights of Women in History. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legal_rights_of-women_in_history. Accessed 23/05/2016.

¹⁷ Frier & McGinn (2004) 20.

¹⁸ Bauman, Richard A. 1992, 1994. Women and Politics in Ancient Rome. Routledge, p. 50. In Wikipedia: The Free Encyclopedia. Legal Rights of Women in History. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legal_rights_of-women_in_history. Accessed 23/05/2016.

¹⁹ McGinn, Thomas. 1991. "Concubinage and the Lex Iulia on Adultery," Transactions of the American Philological Association 121 (1991), p. 342. In Wikipedia: The Free Encyclopedia. Legal Rights of Women in History. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legal_rights_of-women_in_history. Accessed 23/05/2016.

Edwards, Catherine. 2002. The Politics of Immorality in Ancient Rome. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 34-35. In Wikipedia: The Free Encyclopedia. Legal Rights of Women in History. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legal_rights_of-women_in_history. Accessed 23/05/2016.

121 **3. THE LEGAL IMPLICATIONS OF CIVIL LAW AND COMMON LAW TRADITIONS ON WOMEN'S**
122 **RIGHTS**

123 Under English common law of the 12th century, property which a wife held at the time of marriage
124 became the possession of her husband. The husband retained the right to manage the property and
125 to receive the money which is yielded by the property. This notion connotes that females were
126 subjected to the common law tradition of coverture. It is alleged by English common law that under
127 coverture, the husband and wife were regarded as one person and that that one person was the
128 husband in the eyes of the (common) law. As a result, and with regards to the wife's personal and
129 property rights, her legal existence was suspended during marriage and has automatically merged
130 into that of her husband. In other words, the husband was entitled to all of the wife's personal
131 property and in turn became liable for all torts committed by the wife.²⁰ This common law legal
132 construction emphasised for female obedience towards their husband and alluded to the perception
133 that women were untrustworthy and weak.²¹

134 The subsequent abolition of coverture was started in 1707 in the Electoral Palatinate. It was
135 however briefly re-instated by Karl III Philipp. After the protestation of Dorothea von Velen
136 coverture was again abolished.²²

137 At common law, the erstwhile coverture principle or law is today couched under a new marriage
138 relationship termed as "consortium." Consortium connotes to the rights of marital companionship
139 such as material services, felicity, comfort, aid, company, sexual intercourse and co-operation.
140 Consortium is still recognises under common law (and even civil law), and consortium is a common
141 ground upon which damages are recovered in a civil action by a non-injured spouse when the other
142 spouse is a victim of personal injury. Thus, the husband could recover damages for loss of
143 consortium from the rapist in a civil damage action.²³ The wife or woman under this common law
144 enactment is still regarded as legal incapacitated.

145 The negative effect incurred under common law against women was, however, perpetuated under
146 the civil tradition. In civil law jurisdictions of Europe, especially France, husbands controlled most of
147 their wives' personal property until the *Married Women's Property Act 1870* and the *Married*
148 *Women's Property Act 1882*. During this period, children were regarded as the husband's property
149 and rape was legally impossible within marriage. The civil law tradition dictated that wives were also
150 perceived to lack legal personhood, since the husband was taken as the representative of the family.

²⁰ Women and the Law. In http://www.umich.edu/ece/student_projects/bonifield/rape2.html. Accessed 23/5/2016.

²¹ Ward, Jennifer. 2006. *Women in England in the Middle Ages*. New York: A & C Black, pp. 3-4. ISBN 1852853468. In Wikipedia: The Free Encyclopedia. *Legal Rights of Women in History*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legal_rights_of_women_in_history. Accessed 23/05/2016.

²² Langdon-Davies, John. 1962. *Carlos: The Bewitched*. Jonathan Cape, pp. 167-170. In Wikipedia: The Free Encyclopedia. *Legal Rights of Women in History*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legal_rights_of_women_in_history. Accessed 23/05/2016.

²³ Women and the Law. In http://www.umich.edu/ece/student_projects/bonifield/rape2.html. Accessed 23/5/2016.

151 This unfair treatment of women by the laws actuated Thomas Paine who asserted that women were
152 robbed of freedom of will by both the civil and the common law.²⁴

153 The remonstrance by Thomas Paine and other concerned legal scholars against the oppressive
154 nature of these laws evoked a language of rights in relation to women in the 1890's. Inspired by the
155 ideas and thoughts of Paine, John Stuart Mill argued that women deserve the right to vote and he,
156 therefore, proposed that the term "man" be replaced with a more neutral terminology like "person."
157 His proposal, at that stage, won little support amongst contemporaries and was met with ridicule. It
158 was subsequently divulged that Mill's bold stand reaps the fruits when the issue of suffrage to
159 women attracted attention. Suffrage became the primary cause of women's movement at the
160 beginning of the 20th century.²⁵ And today, women's suffrage is considered a right under the
161 international legal instrument, the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination
162 Against Women.²⁶

163 The arguments and championing for the cause of women by Paine and Mill were the impetus
164 women were waiting for. With these sentiments – women rampart against the walls of the common
165 and civil law traditions, which aimed at their exclusion from the profession and their subsequent
166 legal incapacity. Women hope, like in the case of Paine and Mill, that their efforts might reap fruit.
167 But the law which is a male construct bolstered by patriarchal undertones would not lost its grip
168 over its power structure so easily. Law saw women's attempt as a revolt against the order of nature
169 that needs to be stop at all cost.

170 The legal fraternity therefore constructed barriers to keep women out of the legal realm.

171

172 **4. DISCUSSION**

173 **5.1 THE BARRIERS AGAINST WOMEN AND THEIR STRUGGLE TO POSITION THEMSELVES IN THE** 174 **LEGAL FRATERNITY**

175 **5.1.1 Social rationale for barring women**

176 Ever since antiquity, law was seen to be a male prerogative. Although Rousseau's Social Contract
177 theory of the late eighteenth century and the French Revolution had introduced the idea of a
178 modern civic society, its blessings, however, were restricted to the male sex. Women were denied
179 civic rights (see paragraphs 3 and 4 of the text) before and during the late eighteenth century and
180 were legally subordinate to their fathers and husbands. They were only allowed to choose legal
181 careers in the late nineteenth century. In Western countries, just before or just after World War I,
182 women were granted suffrage and full civic rights (as for the efforts of Paine and Mill). World War II
183 and its aftermath brought and contrived a drive towards the full integration for women into society

²⁴ Jehlen, Myra & Warner, Michael. 2013. The English Literatures of America: 1500-1800. Routledge. ISBN 9781317795407. In Wikipedia: The Free Encyclopedia. Legal Rights of Women in History. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legal_rights_of_women_in_history. Accessed 23/05/2016.

²⁵ Philips, Melanie. 2004. The Ascent of Woman: A History of the Suffragette Movement. Abacus.

²⁶ "Women's Suffrage." Scholastic. Retrieved 8 October 2015.

184 and certain professions.²⁷ Backed up by egalitarian views and sentiments, women challenged now
185 the contention that maleness was equated with “persons” in the legal sense, and wanted isonomy.
186 Special legislation was needed in many countries to open the doors for women to the legal
187 profession.

188 But, granting women access to the legal professions was delayed in all countries. For example, in
189 Venezuela the first woman was awarded a law degree in 1936 and in South Korea it took until 1952
190 for the first women to be admitted to the advocacy. While gaining initial access to the legal
191 professions was one thing, achieving equal participation for women within them proved to be quite
192 another. Women academics, for example, suffered isolation, marginalisation and underrating of
193 their achievements.

194 The social rationale of the legal fraternity to bar women from the legal profession had been that
195 women had never been admitted to the bar. Women’s participation in the public sphere during the
196 12th century was confined to suffrage. The rationale of the legal fraternity was sufficient reason for
197 Courts, as adumbrated in this study, to refuse to admit women to the bar. The other factor that
198 could be added to the barring of women was that they were also not able to hold office during this
199 period under common law.²⁸ It was proposed by the legal profession that the inability for women to
200 hold office was that their mental and physical nature rendered them unfit for legal practice. It was
201 further contended by a stereotypical thought that women did not possess a “legal mind.” Women
202 were thought to be emotional rather than rational and logical. It was also noted that women did not
203 have the natural proclivities to perform the duties required by the legal profession. Weisberg
204 interpreted the assertions of a woman lawyer by saying that women have been told that a successful
205 lawyer must have a logical mind, and since the mind of woman is sadly lacking in this respect, her
206 unfitness for the legal profession is obvious. According to Weisberg women possessed a delicate
207 constitution which could not withstand the conflicts of the courtroom. She made reference to
208 women’s mental and physical nature in a story portrayed by Charles C. Moore. The anecdote by
209 Moore featured Miss Padelford who has loses her first case in court. It is alleged that Miss Padelford
210 bursts into tears in the courtroom. In another courtroom incident she fainted and fell from her
211 chair.²⁹

212 In the light of these anecdotes it would seem that women’s physical disabilities rendered them unfit
213 for the practice of law. By adding insult to injury, it must be noted that women’s peculiar
214 physiological condition (menstruation) would also inhibit women’s practice of law. On the issue of
215 menstruation, an unknown woman noted how a female lawyer would not be able to consult with
216 her clients, when she was attacked by the nausea of the first few months of pregnancy. The
217 unknown woman also stated further that what a figure a female lawyer would make in court, when,
218 the months of her interesting situation being advanced, her curved lines become crushed with an
219 anterior round line. If the pains should come upon her in the heat of argument! Would she invite her

²⁷ Schultz, Ulrike and Shaw, Gisela. 2003. *Women in the World’s Legal Professions*. Onati International Series in Law and Society. A Series published for the Onati Institute for the Sociology of Law. Hart Publishing, Oxford, Portland Oregon p, xxxiii.

²⁸ Weisberg, D. Kelly. *Barred from the Bar: Women and Legal Education in the United States 1870-1890*. 28 J. Legal Educ. 485 (1977), p. 488. Available at: http://repository.uchastings.edu/faculty_scholarship/782.

²⁹ Weisberg, 28 J. Legal Educ. 490.

http://repository.uchastings.edu/faculty_scholarship/782.

220 colleagues to serve her as midwives? Weisberg linked up with the sentiments of the unknown
221 woman and concluded this childbirth courtroom drama, by saying, "I assure you that I laugh to
222 myself thinking of the ridiculous figure that a woman lawyer would make".³⁰

223 Another argument furnished against women entering the legal profession concerned the fear that
224 the interests of justice would suffer. It has been averred that the female sex is garrulous and wanting
225 in discretion. Because of this assertion, the interests of clients are less likely to be entrusted into
226 women's hands.³¹ It was thought that judgment would no longer be impartial if women lawyers
227 were present in the courtroom. This notion can be demonstrated in the following rendition whereby
228 a woman lawyer asked her client why he came to her for legal assistance. This client, who had been
229 tried and convicted of a crime and awarded a new trial by an Appellate Court, replied: "Well, ma'am,
230 I reckon I've had justice. What I need now is mercy, and I figure them jurors will feel mighty sorry for
231 me if all I have is a woman to defend me."³²

232 The social rationale for barring women can also be forged beyond the legal profession to the family
233 realm. The constitution of the family organisation, which is found in divine ordinance, as well as in
234 the nature of things, indicates the domestic sphere as that which properly belongs to the domain
235 and functions of womanhood. The destiny of woman is to fulfil the noble and benign offices of wife
236 and mother. This is the law of the Creator and the argument that women who want to practice as
237 lawyers and other public officers, by so doing committed treason against the order of nature. Justice
238 Ryan maintained in the *Goodell* case that the law of nature tailored the female sex for the bearing
239 and nurture of children and for the custody of the homes.³³ An engagement of the legal profession
240 by women would conflict with such rationale echoed by *Goodell* case law and divine ordinance. It is
241 ruled by *Goodell* case law that the socially approved role for woman as wife and mother had duties
242 associated with it which were expected to be woman's first and primary obligation, and such duties
243 supersede any other claim. For the (male) lawyer, obviously, his occupation was intended to be his
244 first priority. For woman, only her husband and children were supposed to exact the devotion of her
245 life. The requisite personality attributes of a lawyer, moreover, were seen as incompatible with
246 those necessary for the role of wife and mother. A lawyer is supposed to be aggressive. Woman is
247 seen as nurturant, gentle and tender. These personality attributes required are apt for the fulfilment
248 of the role of wife and mother by women.³⁴ The Court in the *Goodell* case decided that there are
249 many employments in life not unfit for the female character, but the profession of law is not one of
250 these.³⁵

251

³⁰ Weisberg, 28 J. Legal Educ. 491.

http://repository.uchastings.edu/faculty_scholarship/782.

³¹ Weisberg, 28 J. Legal Educ. 491-2.

http://repository.uchastings.edu/faculty_scholarship/782.

³² Weisberg, 28 J. Legal Educ. 492.

http://repository.uchastings.edu/faculty_scholarship/782.

³³ *In re Goodell*, 39 Wis. 245 (1875).

³⁴ Weisberg, 28 J. Legal Educ. 492-3.

http://repository.uchastings.edu/faculty_scholarship/782.

³⁵ Weisberg, 28 J. Legal Educ. 493.

In re Goodell, 244-245.

http://repository.uchastings.edu/faculty_scholarship/782.

252 5.1.2 Patriarchal tools to frustrate women legal practitioners

253 Now that female lawyers won the battle against their exclusion from the legal profession they
254 encountered another one to stay and exert themselves in the profession. Such a ride or move,
255 however, is not without speed bumps as women face many challenges, especially discrimination.
256 Discrimination against female lawyers were and are still rife even to this day, and occurred in the
257 conviction that it can be rationally justified by the male dominated legal fraternity.³⁶

258 Female lawyers found that in order for them to be successful, academic capital needs to be
259 complemented by social capital. In order to achieve this academic/social capital phenomenon,
260 women try to set up their own mentoring systems and networks, but their slight representation at
261 the higher echelons makes this a less effective process. Male lawyers enjoy greater network support
262 and male cultural capital. Male applicants, for example, on entering the profession, are often
263 preferred and firms even advertise exclusively for male applicants.³⁷

264 Because of their barred status (of exclusion) from the legal profession, female lawyers tend to be
265 less specialised than their male counterparts. It has been established that female lawyers are more
266 likely to work in particular female-dominated segments of the legal profession such as family law.
267 While male lawyers dominate commercial and property work, women are more likely to be found in
268 areas of little prestige and financial gain, but greater emotional labour. As a result of their penchant
269 towards certain areas of the legal profession, female lawyers were pushed into certain areas of work
270 which are associated with supposed feminine features such as sympathy, intuitiveness and altruism.
271 As a result women do usually prefer certain areas of law work which requires less technology,
272 literature and regular updating through training. Divorce cases, for example, can be dealt with in
273 small firms, and unlike commercial cases, rarely require working overtime or giving up one's week-
274 ends.³⁸

275 Patriarchal sentiments have portrayed that female lawyers are more likely to be encouraged to
276 concentrate on matters of lower visibility, profile and financial rewards. Male lawyers, on the
277 contrary, are more inclined to focus on work which offers greater prestige as well as better
278 opportunities to develop legal skills and client contact and correspondence, which is important to
279 develop a client base. Because of these masculine ambition partnerships are less likely to go to
280 female lawyers than to men, particularly in the face if a continuing increase in the overall number of
281 lawyers, which encourages the introduction of more hierarchical structures. Male lawyers are
282 therefore more likely to make it to partner status, irrespective of any specific achievements
283 (experience, specialisation, billable hours, client structure) thus lending support to the view that
284 partnerships are based on fraternal trust and male bonding. Promotion is also rendered more
285 probable for male lawyers than for women. With regard to their career ambitions, both sexes
286 mentioned family responsibilities, but for male lawyers this represented a further reason to aim for
287 promotion, while female lawyers saw it as a reason for cutting back on their career in order to
288 accommodate their domestic duties.³⁹

³⁶ Schultz & Shaw (2003) xxxviii.

³⁷ Schultz & Shaw (2003) xli.

³⁸ Schultz & Shaw (2003) xlii.

³⁹ Schultz & Shaw (2003) xliii.

289 Patriarchal notions further stress that low incomes are typical of female lawyers working on their
290 own, although this cannot altogether be blamed on a self-chosen limitation of work but is also due
291 to lack of clientele or the type of cases dealt with. Significant income and salary differentials in law
292 firms are only due to differences in specialisation, age, professional experience and the size of the
293 firm, but have to be attributed also to female commitment and productivity being held in lower
294 esteem, for example, discrimination. As a result, starting salaries for female lawyers tend to be lower
295 than those for men. The old argument that women's incomes do not need to support a family still to
296 this day is alluded to by patriarchal proclivities.⁴⁰

297 From a general perspective, it is axiomatic that in law firms usually dominated by male culture,
298 female lawyers who have risen to full partnerships and higher incomes do not have the same degree
299 of power, independence, decision-making and other authority as their male colleagues. It is
300 surmised that female lawyers lack the appropriate social and cultural capital. This emerges
301 particularly about large firms and their corporate identity and about these firms' chambers, which
302 tend to be organised on the model of fraternities. Female lawyer's social capital is seen to be less
303 valuable, because they have fewer contacts in male networks and participate less readily in male
304 socializing processes such as talking sports, dining and drinking. Demeaning ways of talking about
305 their female colleagues and insulting remarks about errors of these female colleagues are a regular
306 occurrence. Patriarchal tools criticised female lawyers for lacking authority and self-confidence and
307 for putting moral and consensual values above profit.⁴¹

308 L

309 egal professions established by a patriarchal culture have always been characterised by a philosophy
310 of total commitment and a long-hours culture. This means that the domestic scene needs to be left
311 to somebody else. Female lawyers with children tend to lack both time as well as domestic support,
312 as they still take on the bulk of family duties. Women's involvement in domestic chores is three
313 times higher than that of men and their total workload burden is accordingly higher. Men regard
314 their professional commitment as fixed and unchangeable, while considering that of women as
315 perfectly negotiable. Women are expected to prioritise the family and therefore have to make a
316 choice which men are spared. Women with children are suspected of lacking full commitment. And
317 a woman's place is seen to be in the home.⁴²

318 If men leave their jobs, they do so for professional reasons, in particular for purposes of career
319 advancement, while women are often left with no choice because they find it impossible to cope
320 with their dual burden of job and family.

321

322

323

324

⁴⁰ Schultz & Shaw (2003) xlv.

⁴¹ Schultz & Shaw (2003) xlv.

⁴² Schultz & Shaw (2003) xlv.

325 **5.2 PRACTICAL JUDICATURE EXPLICATIONS OF WOMEN’S TREASON AGAINST**

326 **5.2.1 Women and public office and the semantics around the word “person”**

327 In *Incorporated Law Society v Wookey*, the applicant, Miss Wookey, wanted to do her articles of
 328 clerkship with an attorney. The secretary of the Cape Incorporated Law Society, refused to register
 329 the articles under Act 27 of 1883, section 4, and accordingly the Registrar of the Supreme Court was
 330 unable to accept and register Miss Wookey. Miss Wookey therefore applied to the Provincial
 331 Division for an order to compel the Law Society to accept and register her articles of clerkship. The
 332 Court finally ruled that Miss Wookey is entitled to enter into articles of clerkship as an attorneys’s
 333 clerk contingent thereupon that she is duly qualified. A judge of the Provincial Division thereupon
 334 made an order compelling the society to register the articles. The order was granted and the matter
 335 came before the Court of Appeal from the decision of the judge (of the Provincial Division).

336 In harking back into history under the Roman Empire, *Digest* 3, 1, 1, which was a postulation of the
 337 Praetor’s edict, it was divulged by the Court of Appeal that women were prohibited from taking
 338 charge of law suits for others. In other words, according to the Court, women should not have taken
 339 charge of duties appropriated only to men (“*ne virilibus officiis fungantur*”). To similar effect, *Digest*
 340 50, 17, 2, read as follows: “Women are entirely excluded from rendering civil or public services.”
 341 (“*feminae ab omnibus officiis civilibus vel publicis remotae sunt*”). This paraphrase hinges upon the
 342 notion that women can therefore neither be judges “*judices*,” nor exercise a magisterial office, nor
 343 bind themselves for another, nor hold the position of “*procurators*.” The Court of Appeal alleged
 344 that the reason why women were prevented from becoming “*procuratores*” was not because the
 345 office was at that time considered a “public” one, but because the duties of procurators were
 346 reserved exclusively for men and could not be discharged by females.⁴³

347 In *Code* 2, 13, 18, which dealt with guardianship, it was derived in the *Wookey* case that the
 348 undertaking of the defence of another is the exclusive duty of a male (“*virile est officium*”) and is
 349 outside the function of the female sex. Even with regard to other professions (if I may digress), the
 350 *Digest* 2, 13, 12, declares that women ought not to be bankers, because that is work allowed only to
 351 men (“*cum ea opera virilise sit*”).⁴⁴

352 The *Digest* (50, 17, 12) of Justinian excluded women from civil and public offices. This civil disability
 353 of women under the Roman Empire perpetuated into the Roman-Dutch common law. Rules 149 to
 354 152 of the Rule of Court (of the Roman-Dutch law), which dealt with attorney, used the word
 355 “person” and “he” and “she” and “his.” These words imply or allude to masculinity. The Court of
 356 Appeal adopted these erstwhile legal authorities by barring women from entering the legal
 357 fraternity.

358 **5.2.2 Is a woman a “person” under the law?**

359 It is evident from the Roman legal texts, the *Code* and the *Digest*, that the legal incapacity that is
 360 associated with women connote to them not being regard as “persons” in the eye of the law. The
 361 Roman-Dutch law adopted and perpetuated the implications of these erstwhile or antique legal
 362 constructs of Roman law. The Courts of Holland, therefore, like those under Roman law, admitted

⁴³ *Incorporated Law Society v Wookey*, p. 563.

⁴⁴ *Incorporated Law Society v Wookey*, p. 564.

363 only men as legal practitioners.⁴⁵ Although the language portrayal of the *Charter of Justice* seems to
364 be gender neutral by employing the term “persons” as those applicants, who on proof of due
365 qualification, were entitled to be enrolled as attorneys, the reality proved different. An attempt was
366 made as to whether to interpret the term “person” to mean male person or any person. The
367 principle of statute interpretation dictates that if a word is capable of one meaning then we should
368 have to give effect to the language used by the Legislature, even if we felt serious doubts as to
369 whether it really intended what it had said. Also, when a word is capable of bearing equally well
370 more than one meaning, we are then bound to enter as far as we can into the mind of the
371 Legislature, and so determine in which sense the word was really used. If I may adumbrate or
372 anticipate the tenor of this discussion: when the Legislature used the word “person” it meant male
373 person, for it was thinking only of men. This impression was buttressed by section 15 of the *Charter*
374 *of Justice*, which provided for the appointment of a Registrar and a Master of the Court. Sections 18
375 and 19 of the same statute law empowered the Court to admit any person to practice as barristers,
376 who had previously been admitted to practice as advocates in the Supreme Court. It can be deduced
377 that the terms “person” or “any person” meant men, as no women had ever been admitted to
378 practice as barrister. Another example can be postulated with regard to the issue of succession of an
379 incumbent of an officer of the Court. In order to fathom or derived the exact meaning of the
380 Legislature, a solution to the problem regarding incumbency of the position of Chief Justice, would
381 have served as determining factor. Section 4 of the *Charter of Justice*, for example, empowers the
382 Governor, in case of the death, resignation, or incapacity of the Chief Justice or any of the puisne
383 Judges to appoint some fit and proper “person or persons” to act in their stead. If we read this
384 statute law within the context, time frame and meaning of the concerned Charter, it would be
385 obvious that the appointment of a woman to be Chief Justice would have been illegal. It is clear that
386 the Legislature under section 4 of the *Charter of Justice* must have meant that only male persons
387 could occupy such office.⁴⁶

388 From time immemorial, only men had been admitted and enrolled as attorneys of the Court. It
389 matters not whether it is the Courts of England, Holland or of South Africa and Botswana. In neither
390 country was there a single case on record where a woman has been admitted as an attorney during
391 antiquity. If the Legislature had intended to introduce so great a change and to throw open the
392 doors of the profession to women, it would not have done so in clear and unambiguous language,
393 instead of leaving it as an inference to be drawn from the use of the word person, which might or
394 might not include women as well as men.⁴⁷

395 On the ground of the immemorial practice of centuries, the word “person” must be construed in
396 accordance with that practice, and must, therefore, be taken to mean men only and to exclude
397 women.

398 The judge decided in the *Wookey* case that if the Legislature had meant women as well as men, in
399 future to be admitted as attorneys and notaries, it would have said so in plain language.

400 Over and above their disqualification as a person under the law, women were barred for reason of
401 their sex from practising the profession of attorneys of the Court. The status of the *procureur* in

⁴⁵ Incorporated Law Society v Wookey, p. 561.

⁴⁶ Incorporated Law Society v Wookey, p. 562.

⁴⁷ Incorporated Law Society v Wookey, p. 571.

402 Holland during the 16th century and onward was considered honourable and filled only by qualified
 403 men. The enrolled *procureurs* of Holland were officers of the Court. Their duties being of a public
 404 nature could, therefore, not be executed by women. Voet asserted that because of Court practice
 405 and tradition, no woman could be entered as procurators. Huber said women are excluded from the
 406 office of attorney as they are from all public offices. *Van Leeuwen's Roman-Dutch Law* (1, 6, 1) stated
 407 that the whole of womankind by reason of an inborn weakness is less suited for matters requiring
 408 knowledge and judgment than men. Women are therefore excluded from holding any office or
 409 dignity relating to the government of a people and its affairs.⁴⁸

410 Although one of the judges interpreted that there were no positive laws disqualifying women from
 411 following the profession of attorneys, the Courts of Holland had laid down a rule of practice that
 412 men only should be admitted in the roll of attorneys who were entitled to practice in the Courts.
 413 The opinion of this dissident judge is that women were disentitled by reason of their sex from
 414 practising the profession of attorneys of the Court. The presiding judge in the present case came to
 415 the conclusion that on the construction of the statutes that the word person meant men only and
 416 did not include women.⁴⁹

417

418 **5. IMPLICATIONS OF WOMEN'S LATE ENTRY TO THE BAR**

419 **6.1 A modern-day judicatory analysis**

420 **6.1.1 United States of America jurisdiction**

421 *In re Goodell*⁵⁰ (*supra*) dealt with a state statute governing the admission of a woman to the bar. In
 422 February 1876, the Court denied Miss Goodell's petition of admission to the bar. Justice Ryan ruled
 423 that the Legislature's use of the masculine pronoun in the statute indicated an intent that it should
 424 apply only to men. He averred that by interpreting the statute to include women, would lead to
 425 judicial revolution. The judge alleged that women were not suited to practice law, because their
 426 peculiar qualities of womanhood, its gentle graces, its quick sensibility, its tender susceptibility, its
 427 delicacy, and its emotional impulses unfit her for the practice of law. Justice Ryan sentiment must
 428 have been influenced by reference of Judge O'Regan citation of *Incorporated Law Society v Wookey*
 429 at page 641. In this citation it was divulged that two women, Carfinia and Calphurnia offended the
 430 sensibilities of the Courts. Carfinia vexed a praetor with her pleading and Calphurnia, pleading
 431 before the Senate, lost her case and in an act of extreme contempt of court, turned her back to the
 432 judges, lifted her robes and displayed her derriere. Women were therefore excluded entirely from
 433 rendering any Court or public service on account of their temperament. It seems as if, by their
 434 actions, these two women tainted all other women.⁵¹

435 The judge mentioned that the Wisconsin statute refers to a "person" being admitted to the bar in
 436 the masculine pronoun throughout. It is thus obvious that the statute strived to exclude women as

⁴⁸ *Incorporated Law Society v Wookey*, p. 565.

⁴⁹ *Incorporated Law Society v Wookey*, p. 569.

⁵⁰ 39 Wis. 232 (1875).

⁵¹ Catherine B. Cleary, "Lavinia Goodell, First Woman Lawyer in Wisconsin." *Wisconsin Magazine of History* (Summer 1991), p. 16.

437 late-comers from admittance to the bar. As a result Miss Goodell's application for admission to the
438 Supreme Court bar, was unsuccessful. Disgruntled by the decision of the Wisconsin Supreme Court,
439 Miss Goodell demonstrated on appeal that, despite of Judge Ryan contention for the historical non-
440 admittance of women to the bar, it was never the intention of the state law of Wisconsin to exclude
441 women. She maintained further that, although, the statute referred to admission of a "person" and
442 used the male pronoun, the statute (bolstered by another statute) actually provided that male
443 pronouns in state laws should be construed as extending to females as well. Miss Goodell argued
444 that for the purpose of the proper administration of justice it would be feasible to allowed women
445 admission to the practice of law. The reciprocal argument for this contention was, that by their
446 exclusion, a class of people like women, cannot obtain justice in courts where its members are not
447 represented. According to Miss Goodell, the firmness and vigor of men in the profession will be
448 complemented by the peculiar delicacy, refinement and conscientiousness attributed to women.
449 And she held that it is unjust to shut out anyone (or a class of persons) with the ability and interest
450 of a lucrative and honorary profession.⁵²

451 As echoed earlier in this study, Justice Ryan declared that the law of nature destines and qualifies
452 women for the bearing and nurture of children and for the custody of the homes. If a woman
453 engaged in professional callings such as law she would infringed the sacred duties of her sex. This
454 would be a departure from the order of nature and treason against nature.⁵³

455 In case law of *Bradwell v The State*⁵⁴, the Supreme Court of Illinois refused to grant (to) a woman a
456 license to practice law on the ground that females are not eligible under the laws of that state to
457 practice law. Mrs Mary Bradwell made an application to the Supreme Court for a licence to practice
458 law. On due examination she had been found to possess the requisite qualifications. But when her
459 application came before the Court, her application for licence to practice law was refused, on the
460 basis that she was a married woman who would neither be bound by her express contracts nor by
461 those implied contracts which is a creation of the attorney/client relationship.⁵⁵ Such capacity
462 pertains to males only. It is averred in the *Bradwell* case that under common law a woman had no
463 legal existence separated from that of her husband. A married woman is incapable, without her
464 husband's consent, of making contracts, which shall be binding on her or him. This incapacity was
465 one of the reasons which the Supreme Court deemed important in rendering Mrs Bradwell, a
466 married woman, incompetent to fully perform the duties that belong to the office of an attorney and
467 counsellor.⁵⁶ The judge opined that the divine ordinance as well as the nature of things alludes
468 thereto that women belong to the domestic sphere. And he also noted that the idea or philosophy
469 of a family institution is repugnant to the perception of a woman adopting a distinct and
470 independent career from that of her husband.⁵⁷

471 The admission or not of Mrs Bradwell was left to the discretion of the Court. In rendering its
472 decision, the Court, as a result adhered to two limitations. One was that it should establish such

⁵² Catherine B. Cleary, "Lavinia Goodell, First Woman Lawyer in Wisconsin," pp. 16-7.

⁵³ In re Goodell, 39 Wis 232, 245 (1875).

Maithili Pradhan. "Exclusion of women from the legal profession in the United States of America, the United Kingdom, and South Africa." Women & Justice Fellow, Avon Global Center for Women and Justice, p. 4.

⁵⁴ 83 U.S. 130 (1872). <https://supreme.justitia.com/cases/federal/us/83/130/case.html>. Accessed 2/26/2016.

⁵⁵ *Bradwell v The State*, p. 131. (83 U.S. 1872).

⁵⁶ *Bradwell v The State*, p. 141 (83 U.S. 1872).

⁵⁷ *Bradwell v The State*, p. 141 (83 U.S. 1872).

473 terms of admission as would promote the proper administration of justice, and the other that it
474 should not admit any persons, or class of persons, not intended by the legislature to be admitted. In
475 view of this latter limitation, and in support of the *Wookey* case, the Court felt compelled to deny
476 the application of females to be admitted as members of the bar. This is a rule of the common law
477 from time immemorial and it could therefore not be supposed that the legislature had intended to
478 adopt any different rule. The Court, therefore, ruled that the paramount destiny and mission of
479 woman are to fulfil the noble and benign offices of wife and mother. It said this is the law of the
480 Creator and the rules of civil society must be adapted to the general constitution of things and
481 cannot be based upon exceptional cases. This notion finds resonance with Justice Bradley who
482 echoed that in the nature of things, it is not every citizen of every age, sex and condition that is
483 qualified for every calling and position.⁵⁸

484 In *Re Maddox* case law is a petition of Miss Etta H. Maddox for an order directing her to be admitted
485 to practice law if certified to be qualified by the State Board of Law Examiners. Miss Etta H. Maddox
486 has made an application for admission to the bar. In her application she noted that she is a female
487 over twenty-one years of age and a graduate of the law school of Baltimore. She alleged she is
488 entitled to be admitted to the bar upon the ground that the right to practice law is a natural right
489 possessed by everyone alike without regard to sex.⁵⁹

490 Chief Justice Bartol denied the claim of Miss Maddox and asserted that the privilege of admission to
491 the office of an attorney cannot be said to be a right or immunity belonging to the citizen, but is
492 governed and regulated by the Legislature, who may prescribe the qualifications required and
493 designate the class of persons who may be admitted. The Act of 1892, Chapter 37 provided that any
494 male citizen of Maryland possessing the qualifications mentioned therein, might be admitted to
495 practice law. Section 3 stated that all applicants for admission to the bar shall be referred by the
496 Court of Appeals to the State Board of Law Examiners, who shall examine the applicant. If the Court
497 of Appeal shall find the applicant to be qualified to discharge the duties of an attorney, they shall
498 pass an order admitting him. A perusal of the Act of 1892 showed that there was no design to
499 enlarge the class of persons entitled to admission. The Act connotes to the understanding that not
500 being a male citizen would have ineligibled Miss Maddox. It is evident that the phraseology of the
501 Act of 1898 dealt with the masculine gender only, and it is unlikely that it would have included Miss
502 Maddox. In light of these versions of the Act, it seemed that there is no legislative provision under
503 which Miss Maddox can claim that she is entitled to practice law. If there is no such legislative
504 provision, the Court of Maryland is powerless to admit her. The Court ruled that it cannot enact
505 legislation that it is restricted to an interpretation of that which has been adopted by the General
506 Assembly.⁶⁰

507 The Court therefore ruled that it has no power to admit Miss Maddox and her request been denied.

508

509

⁵⁸ *Bradwell v The State*, p. 142 (83 U.S. 1872).

⁵⁹ *In Re Maddox*, 93 Md. 727.

<https://casetext.com/case/in-re-maddox-12>.

⁶⁰ *In Re Maddox*, 93 Md. 727.

510 **6.1.2 English law jurisdiction**

511 In case law of *Hall v The Incorporated Society of Law-Agents*,⁶¹ Hall wrote to the Board of Examiners
 512 of Law-Agents wherein she intimated her wish to enter the bar examination. The secretary of the
 513 Examiners declined to enrol Hall for examination, whereupon she petitioned to the Court to
 514 authorised and direct that she be enrolled and examined in respect of all subsequent examinations
 515 relating to law-agents practising in Scotland.

516 The *Law-Agents Act* of 1873 neither expressly nor by implication excluded women. The appellant
 517 inferred that the use of the word “person” can, therefore, be construed to apply to both genders. In
 518 support of her arguments, the appellant contended that an Act of 1850 dictates that in all Acts,
 519 words importing the masculine gender shall be deemed and taken to include females, unless the
 520 contrary as to gender is expressly provided. And, it is clear that the Act of 1873 did not expressly
 521 exclude women from being examined as law-agents.⁶²

522 The respondent, the Incorporated Society of Law-Agents, hit back and traverse the applicant’s plea
 523 by stating that before the Act of 1873 women were not eligible to be appointed law-agents, and that
 524 they are not made eligible by the 1873 Act. The respondent maintains that the Court let itself by
 525 inveterate usage and custom in Scotland. According to usage and custom, law practising had been
 526 confined exclusively to men. It might, therefore, be doubtful whether women had legal right to be
 527 admitted.⁶³ The presiding judge in the Hall case asserted that no woman had been admitted to
 528 practice law in England and Ireland before. And no woman sought to be admitted before the
 529 commencement of the Hall case.⁶⁴

530 The presiding judge in the Hall case revert back to the Law-Agents Act of 1873, and in the same
 531 breath ruled that the word “man” did not include “woman”.⁶⁵ On the basis of the practice of usage
 532 and custom, the Court, therefore, denied Hall’s petition for admittance to write bar exams.

533 In *Bebb v Law Society*⁶⁶, the plaintiff, Bebb, sent to the Law Society a notice of her intention to
 534 present herself at their preliminary examination with a view to be admitted as solicitor when she
 535 passed the examinations. She enclosed thereupon the requisite fee. The Society returned the fee
 536 and informed her that if she presented herself for examination she would not be admitted, giving
 537 the reason that she was a woman, and therefore could not be admitted as a solicitor of the Supreme
 538 Court.

539 The plaintiff declared that she was a person within the meaning of the *Solicitor’s Act*, 1843, and that
 540 she ought not to be refused admission. She asked for a mandamus from the Court in, which she,
 541 directed the Society to admit her to the examination, and at the same time or alternatively, she
 542 requested an injunction from the Court restraining the Society to admit her.⁶⁷

⁶¹ July 12, 1901.

⁶² *Hall v Incorporated Society of Law-Agents*, p. 1061.

⁶³ *Hall v Incorporated Society of Law-Agents*, p. 1063.

⁶⁴ *Hall v Incorporated Society of Law-Agents*, p. 1064.

⁶⁵ *Hall v Incorporated Society of Law-Agents*, p. 1064.

⁶⁶ [1913 B 305.]

⁶⁷ *Bebb v Law Society*, p. 286.

543 The Law Society refused to admit the plaintiff to the examination process and stated that its decision
544 was in accordance with law. Counsel for the Law Society suggested, that according to the *Solicitor's*
545 *Act* of 1843, women had never acted as solicitors even before the commencement of the Act. They
546 have never been barristers or solicitors. These counsellors also averred that there is nothing in the
547 *Solicitor's Act* which confers on women the right to become solicitors. Although it is alluded that
548 words importing the masculine gender are to include females, counsel for the Law Society stressed
549 that there was something in the subject repugnant to the application inasmuch as women never had
550 been solicitors.⁶⁸

551 In order to rationalise the Courts verdict, the Court stated that, in early days, from the time that
552 attorneys (statute of the 4th Henry IV) and solicitors (statute of 3rd James I) became a profession,
553 there was no instance of a woman ever being an attorney or solicitor. The only professional
554 representative or agent of a litigant in the Court of Chancery were always men. There is, therefore, a
555 consensus of usage that the law agents of clients in all the Courts have always been men. All these
556 renditions or evidences taught us that there is an inveterate usage to the effect that the law
557 profession had not been open to women before the 1900's.⁶⁹

558

559 **Conclusion**

560 Women's attempt to practice law in Rome and Greece was viewed with opprobrium. Patriarchal
561 sentiments have used law as a male construct to bar women from entering the legal profession.
562 Such patriarchal notions employed language as a conduit to obtain its object, namely the semantics
563 around the word "person" to thwarted women's efforts to enter the legal profession. It has been
564 portrayed by semantics that women lack personhood or was not regard as a persons under the law.
565 For that reason, women had never been admitted to practice law. Beyond their incapacity or failure
566 as "persons" under the law, patriarchy portrayed that the mental and physical nature of women
567 rendered them unfit for legal practice. Women were thought to be emotional rather than rational
568 and logical. It is the perception of patriarchal notions that women did not have the natural
569 proclivities to perform the duties required by the profession. The anecdotes about the ignoble
570 conduct of Carfinia and Calphurnia before the Courts also attested to women's exclusion for the
571 legal profession. Patriarchy also contents that the constitution of the family and divine ordinance
572 dictates that women belong to the domestic sphere, where they executed the nurturing duties of
573 wife and mother. This is the law of the Creator and women infringed this law when they militate
574 against it by entering the legal profession. Patriarchal notions regard women's intention to enter the
575 legal profession as treason against the order of nature.

576 But, nevertheless, sentiments as to the exclusion of women from the legal profession, have been
577 regarded in modern-day legal perspective as anachronistic. The demands of socio-economic realities
578 today require (of) both sexes to engage in the public and legal arena in order to sustain the family
579 organisation. And women are forced to eked out a livelihood for themselves in the dynamics of
580 single female parenthood and with regard to an incapacitated male partner.

⁶⁸ Bebb v Law Society, p. 290.

⁶⁹ Bebb v Law Society, p. 298.

581 **REFERENCES**

- 582 Bauman, Richard A. 1992. *Women and Politics in Ancient Rome*. Routledge.
- 583 *Bradwell v The State* 83 U.S. 130 (1872).
- 584 Blundell, S. 1995. *Women in Ancient Greece*. Volume 1995, Part 2. Harvard University Press.
- 585 *Bebb v Law Society* [1913 B 305].
- 586 Cleary, Catherine B. 1991. "Lavinia Goodell, First Women Lawyer in Wisconsin." *Wisconsin Magazine*
587 *of History* (Summer).
- 588 Edwards, C. 2002. *The Politics of Immorality in Ancient Rome*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge.
- 589 Frier, Bruce W. & McGinn, Thomas A.J. 2004. *A Casebook on Roman Family Law*. Oxford University
590 Press, Oxford.
- 591 *Hall v The Incorporated Society of Law-Agents*. July 12, 1901.
- 592 *Incorporated Law Society v Wookey*, 1912 Bloemfontein-Cape Town.
- 593 *In re Goodell*, 39 Wis. 245 (1875).
- 594 *In re Maddox*, 93 Md. 727.
- 595 Jehlen, M. & Warner, M. 2013. *The English Literatures of America: 1500-1800*. Routledge.
- 596 May, Rebecca S. *A Biblical Perspective on Women and Work*. Relational Concepts Inc. Distributed by
597 www.relationalconcepts.org
- 598 McGinn, T. 1991. "Concubinage and the Lex Julia on Adultery." *Transactions of the American*
599 *Philological Association* 121 (1991).
- 600 O'Brien, J. 1986. *A History of Women in the Legal Profession in New South Wales*. Department of
601 History. University of Sydney.
- 602 Philips, M. 2004. *The Ascent of Women: A History of the Suffragette Movement*. Abacus.
- 603 Pradhan, M. "Exclusion of Women from the Legal Profession in the United States of America, the
604 United Kingdom, and South Africa." *Women & Justice Fellow*, Avon Global Center for Women and
605 Justice.
- 606 Pry, Kay O. 2012. "Social and Political Roles of Women in Athens and Sparta." *Sabre and Scroll*, vol. 1,
607 issue 2.
- 608 Schultz, U. & Shaw, G. 2003. *Women in the World's Legal Professions*. Onati International Series in
609 Law and Society. A Series published for the Onati Institute for the Sociology of Law. Hart
610 Publishing, Oxford. Portland Oregon.
- 611 Smith, Bonnie G. 2008. *The Oxford Encyclopedia of Women in World History*. 4 Volumes Se. London,
612 UK, Oxford University Press, Oxford.

- 613 The Holy Bible. 1973. The New International Version. The Bible Societies. Great Britain.
- 614 Ute, G. 2001. Debating Women's Equality: Toward a Feminist Theory of Law from a European
615 Perspective. Rutgers University Press.
- 616 Ward, J. 2006. Women in England in the Middle Ages. New York: A & C Black.
- 617 Weisberg, D. Kelly. Barred from the Bar: Women and Legal Education in the United States 1870-
618 1890. 28 J. Legal Educ. 485 (1977).
- 619 "Women's Suffrage." Scholastic. Retrieved 8 October 2015.
- 620 Women and the Law. In: http://www.umich.edu/ece/student_projects/bonifield/rape2.html.
621 Accessed 23/05/2016.