



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	2014_JSRR_15298
Title of the Manuscript:	Bias in Recruited Sample Research on Children with Same-Sex Parents using the Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Check the spaces out.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> . The last two phrases of the introduction should not be there because they are part of the conclusion (“The results suggest the presence of persistent bias, toward favorable outcomes for SS children, in non-random recruited samples. The probable causes of the bias, and suggestions for reducing and identifying it, are discussed”). . What are the bold results in the table 1 and 2? It complicates understanding the connection between table and text. . Table 2: put what REC and RAN means in the description . The discussion is repetitive. It should be more direct. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Put what SS and OS mean in the beginning of the text (it's conclusive, but it is still important to put what the acronyms signify); - Line 243: change the comma for a period; - Standardize the writer: “we” or “I”; - Some critiques of the topic “sample selection bias” go against the recent ethics protocol that values the anonymous and voluntary nature of the research. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	<p>Put the second paragraph of the topic “sources of bias”: “By definition...” before the first one.</p> <p>The main purpose of the study is test for the presence of bias on use of a common psychometric instrument but it seems that the author(s) is(are) doing a review aimed a particular study.</p> <p>I am not honestly sure about the relevance of this paper once the author(s) attack(s) a study biases (because of the sample), but according to (her)himself/themselves, the author of the study that is being compared to another one already highlight that the bias may exist in the study because of the sample. However, it brings, in the end of the paper, points that are valued to be highlight: how to avoid bias, etc.</p>	
----------------------------------	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Brazil