



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJPR_32070
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-Inflammatory activity and Insilco Approaches on root extract of Decalepis hamiltonii Wight & Arn
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Several comments for authors. 1. The title doesn't match with the content. The experiments mainly did antioxidant activity, while the title is anti-inflammatory activity. 2. The logic seems not so clear. 3. What's the real results of In-silco experiments? The conclusion is strange. 4. Many spelling and grammar mistakes.	
Minor REVISION comments	No.	
Optional/General comments	No.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ren-You Gan
Department, University & Country	School of Biological Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong