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### Compulsory REVISION comments

The manuscript presents relevant information about the chemical and nutrient composition of two important medicinal plants, however some changes need to be done before considered for publication. Authors must add discussion of results, since no discussion was observed. Authors could explain why those differences between *C. papaya* and *V. amygdalina* in chemical constituents. Also authors must compared the composition with other similar plants and explain the differences in order to visualize the future bioactive properties attributed to constituents. This discussion can be orientated to explain the differences attributed by different extraction methods, varieties, etc. In addition, it will be better if authors evaluate the bioactive properties of the extracts.

### Minor REVISION comments

The manuscript can be improved if ordered by subtitles, for example proximal analysis and chemical constituents, without leaving a single subtitle for gas chromatography, as this information is already mentioned earlier. In each section authors can go describing the results, discussing these results and comparing with the same or similar previous studies. All references must be in the same format. Typo must be checked. Authors should change keywords for those not included in the title. Authors could improve the justification of the work and the interest for those plants.

### Optional/General comments

No comments
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