**Editor's Comment:**

I have gone through the revised manuscript entitled “Chemical constituents and nutrient composition of Carica papaya and Vernonia amygdalina leaf extracts”. The manuscript till contains errors as well required moderate modifications like:

1. In earlier reply you have mentioned that you did not worked on aqueous extract but it was mentioned in abstract till now.
2. Unnecessary capitalizations were found in different parts of the manuscript till now.
3. In Antinutrient Analysis “Tannin content was determined as described by [29]” should be corrected as “Tannin content was determined as described by Doss et al. [29]”. Similar correction should be made in different parts of the manuscript.
4. Headings are un-uniform. E.g. somewhere it was mentioned like “Plant materials” somewhere “Proximate Analysis”.
5. I am still not clear why you have compared tannin, saponin and alkaloids in both plants? Are they in same category?
6. ‘CHO’ in table 1 should be mentioned properly.
7. ‘a’ and ‘b’ in table 3 should be mentioned properly.
8. What do you mean by ‘NK’ in line no 180?
9. “Both extracts were found to contain an appreciable amount of minerals, which can be exploit as a source of nutraceutical” have syntax error.
10. “Thus, the first step towards understanding of the active chemical components in the medicinal plants, which is helpful for further detailed study.” Without specific study how you can not mention that isolated compounds by GC-MS are active?
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