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### Compulsory REVISION comments

I think this article should be considered as a collected work, not as a research article. Because, Material and method does not seem very suitable for research article. If the collected work is a good study but the research article is some questions. When did plant material sown? Which was plant variety used? How did yield determined? I don’t understand Figure 2a? Main rainfall was given 0°C in fig2. Who was conducted the experiment, Researcher or farmer?
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