Landslide hazard Zonation mapping using RS and GIS techniques: A case study of Kumbur River Basin of Kodaikkkanal Taluk, Dindigul District, Tamilnadu, India

ABSTRACT (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED, CAPS)

Landslide is one of the disasters which lead to large scale damage to properties and life. It frequently occurs in hilly regions like Himalaya, Western and Eastern Ghats. In Tamil Nadu, most of the landslides are often seen in Blue Mountains, Kodaikanal and Yercaud, occasionally in the other areas. Kodaikanal hills are facing two major problems viz. urbanization and environmental degradation. In this study, the landslide hazard zonation maps are prepared based on the causative factors of slope instability, namely lithology, structure, slope morphometry, relative relief, land use and land cover and hydro geological conditions in facet wise by using BIS code: IS 14496 (Part-2) – 1998. As per BIS classification method, Kumbur River Basin area, the distribution pattern of Landslide Hazard Zonation (LHZ) indicates that in the total 82 facets, 3 facets come under very high hazard category, 17 facets come under high hazard category, 40 facets are present in moderate hazards and remaining 25 facets come under range in low hazard.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the last few decades, there had been tremendous increase in the occurrence of natural disasters like Earthquakes, Landslides, Floods, Cyclones, Cloudburst, etc. Urbanization can be attributed to the increasing growth of population and tourism. Environmental degradation is due to the agricultural and settlements expansion by means of deforestation.

Kodaikanal hills have been the centre of urbanization in the past few decades. The frequent problems in Kodaikanal area are mainly road blockades due to slope failure along the roadside during rains leading to smaller and medium slide due to unplanned construction, drainage and agricultural activities. The construction activities in the form of resorts, hotels, houses, cottages and other structures have resulted in a large-scale deforestation. The tourist’s inflow has increased alarmingly in the past few years, evidenced by large number of new resorts in and around Kodaikanal municipality.

Landslides are one of the natural disasters which account for huge damage of properties in terms of direct and indirect risk (Dai et al., 2002). The term “landslide” basically means a slow to rapid downward movement of unstable rock and debris masses under the action of gravity which can be categorized into various types on the basis of failure characteristics (Cruden, 1991). Increase in population and rapid urbanization has led to expansion of construction activities in hilly terrain and has catapulted frequency of landslides to dramatic proportions in recent decades (Mohammad Onagh et al., 2012). The present study areas, Kumbur River Basin of Kodaikanal Taluk are prone to landslides and were affected by frequent landslides in the past. In October 2011, heavy rain resulted in landslides at Kumbur River Basin, high range areas of Dindigul district bordering Tamilnadu State, caused massive loss to crop and property (Anonymous, 2011). Similarly in October 2012, nonstop rain Mannavanur, Poondi, on various areas of Kodaikanal taluk. Damage on farmland and houses were reported. Traffic was disrupted on several arterial roads, including Mannavanur and Poondi-Kodaikanal highway road, as water level rose drastically in Kumbur river.

The reliability of the hazard analysis depends on various factors and methods deployed. Various methods of analysis have been proposed by many different authors (Dikau et al., 1996; Lerio, 1997; Guzzetti et al., 1999; Dai and Lee, 2002a, b; Carrara et al., 1999). Vijith et al (2013) prepared landslide susceptibility zonation (LHZ) map for the upland catchment of river Kumbur Kodaikanal
Taluk, Tamilnadu, India using GIS techniques by recognizing and mapping the palaeoslide locations and the associated terrain attributes. The terrain parameters such as geomorphology, drainage density, soil type, soil thickness, land use, Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), slope, aspect, relative relief, slope length, profile curvature, plan curvature, flow path length, and topographic wetness index were selected for this study. Using GIS techniques and Weights of Evidence (WoE) model, the present research demonstrates the application of weighted overlay analysis method to produce the landslide hazard zone map for Kumbur River Basin.

1.1 Study area

Kodaikanal is situated in the southern tip of the upper Palani hills in the Western Ghats and long history of hill resorts in Dindigul district, Tamil Nadu. Mannavanur, Poondi town is situated in the central part of the Study area. It has a total geographical area of about 104.7736 sq. km and extent from 10° 07' 00" N to 10° 16' 00" N latitude and between 77° 16' 00" E to 77° 21' 00" E longitude. The sub-watershed is partly covered by 58F/7sw, 8nw, and 8sw of Survey of India Toposheets.

![Fig. 1: Location Map of the Study area](image)

The base map incorporating main details and reference information was prepared from above said toposheets. Using land use, soil and rain fall data, run off potential of each facet is identified and it is supplement to prepare landslide hazard zonation.

1.2 Geology and Structural discontinuity

The study area is made up of hard crystalline rock masses of Archean age, Charnockite and Gneisses are being the major formation. Major portion of Study area is covered by Charnockite rock and it is covered by about 90% of the taluk area. The remaining area comes under Hornblende Biotite gneiss. One of the gneissic bands is running along Mannavanur-Kumbur road and Kilavarai-Natampatti area and another small batch of gneissic band is seen in Poondi area. Fig. 2 shows Geology and structure of the study area, derived from Geological Survey of India, Chennai and structure features extracted from aerial photographs, 1985 and satellite image IRS-1C, LISS III, march 2008, National Remote Sensing Centre, Hyderabad (with limited field check).
The structural discontinuity in relation to the slope angle and direction has greater influence on overall stability condition of the area. The structural discontinuities were covered in 82 facets and they are furnished in table 3 and these observed structural details are plotted on stereo-net (Fig.3). As per the relationship of structural discontinuity with slope the numerical ratings for each of the situations in either plainer or wedge mode evaluated and possible failure is obtained.

1.3 Rainfall

The precipitation occurs during form season viz. South-West monsoon from June to September, North-East monsoon across October to December, winter falls between January and February and summer showers from March to May. Period from May to November is the chief rainy season during which period about 70 to 80% of annual rainfall is received. The area gets rain from two monsoon seasons, the south-west monsoon and the north-east monsoon. The south-west monsoon starts in June and ends in September. The north-east monsoon season is from October to November. The highest rainfall was recorded at Mannavanur and the lowest recorded at Kilavarai. The average rainfall is around 102.6 mm per year.

2. METHODOLOGY

The different data used in the study area as follows,

i. Base map prepared from topographical map of Survey of India at 1:25,000 scale.
ii. Geological map from Geological Survey of India, Chennai.
iii. Land use/land cover map derived from IRS -1C LISS III march 2008, Geocoded satellite imagery, National Remote Sensing Centre, Hyderabad.
iv. Field data involving observations of lithology, structure, slope morphometry, relative relief and hydrogeological conditions.
v. Software used ArcGIS 10.3, ERDAS Imagine 2015
The methodology followed was based on the Bureau of Indian Standards 14496 (part 2) 1998. The landslide hazard zonation of this area has been prepared using the maximum landslide hazard evaluation factor rating scheme (LHEF) and the total estimated hazard (TEHD). LHEF is a numerical system based on the major inherent causative factors of slope instability such as lithology, structure, slope morphometry, relative relief, land use/land cover and hydrogeological conditions. A detailed LHEF rating scheme showing ratings for a different types of sub categories for individual causative factors (Anbalagan 1992) shown in Table 1 and 2. The total estimated hazard indicates the net probability of instability calculated facet wise. The TEHD of an individual facet was obtained by adding the ratings of the individual causative factors obtained from LHEF rating scheme. On the basis of TEHD, five categories - very low hazard zone (< 3.5), low hazard zone (3.5–5.0), moderate hazard zone (5.1–6.0), high hazard zone (6.1–7.5) and very high hazard zone (> 7.5) were classified.

### Table 1
Maximum LHEF Causative factor ratings
(Source: BIS code no: (IS: 14496 (part-2); 1998)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Causative Factor</th>
<th>Maximum LHEF Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Lithology</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Slope Morphometry</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Relative relief</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Land Use and Land Cover</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Hydrological conditions</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2
Landslide Hazard Evaluation Factor (LHEF) Rating scheme
(IS: 14496 (part-2); 1998)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributory factor</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Lithology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type - I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartzite and limestone</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite and gabbro</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gneiss</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type - II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well cemented sedimentary rocks dominantly Sandstone with minor beds of claystone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly cemented sedimentary rocks dominantly Sandstone with minor clay-beds</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type - III</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slate and phyllite</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schist</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shale inter-bedded with clayey and non clayey rocks</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly weathered shale, phyllite and schist</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older well cemented fluvial fill material</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clayey soil with naturally form surfaces</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy soil with naturally form surface (alluvial)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debris comprising mostly rock pieces mixed with Clayey/sandy soil (colluvial)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>older well compacted</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Younger loose material</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Structure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) Relationship of parallelism</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>&gt; 30°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between the slope</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>21°-30°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuity</td>
<td>11°-20°</td>
<td>6°-10°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planar</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Relationship of dip</td>
<td>&gt; 10°</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discontinuity and inclination</td>
<td>0°-10°</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planar</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wedge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Dip of discontinuity</td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of Soil cover</td>
<td>&lt; 5 m</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-10 m</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11-15 m</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16-20 m</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 20 m</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### III. Slope morphometry

1. Escarpment/cliff
   - > 45° 2.0
2. Steep slope
   - 36°-45° 1.7
3. Moderately steep slope
   - 26°-35° 1.2
4. Gentle slope
   - 16°-25° 0.8
5. Very gentle slope
   - < 15° 0.5

### IV. Relative Relief

1. Low
   - <100m 0.3
2. Medium
   - 101-300m 0.6
3. High
   - >300m 1.0

### IV. Land use and land cover

1. Agricultural land/populated flat land
   - 0.6
2. Thickly vegetated forest area
   - 0.8
3. Moderately vegetated area
   - 1.2
4. Sparsely vegetated area with lesser ground cover
   - 1.5
5. Barren land
   - 2

### V. Hydro geological conditions

1. Flowing
   - 1
2. Dripping
   - 0.8
3. Wet
   - 0.5
4. Damp
   - 0.2
5. Dry
   - 0

**Correction factor for weathering**

1. Highly weathered – rock discoloured, joint open with weathered product, rock fabric alter to a large extent – correction factor C1
2. Moderately weathered – rock discolored with fresh rock patches weathering more around joint planes but rock intact in nature correction factor C2
3. Slightly weathered – rock slightly along joint planes, which may be moderately tight to open intact rock-correction factor C3. The rock correction for weathering to be...
multiplied with the fresh rock rating.

For rock type-I, C1=4, C2=3, and C3=2

For rock type-II, C1=1.5, C2=1.25, and C3=1.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A detailed evaluation of landslide hazard evaluation factor rating scheme (Table 1 and 2) showing numerical weightages of sub-watershed of all the causative factors has been made for each facet identified. The total estimated hazard of an individual facet is obtained for Kumbur basin sub-watershed by adding the rating of an individual facet. As per the BIS classification method, five different types of landslide hazard zonation are mentioned. Figure 4 shows Landslide Hazard Zonation of the study area. The distribution pattern of Landslide Hazard Zonation indicates that 3 facets are in very high hazard, 17 facets are in high hazard 40 are in moderate hazards, 10 facets go in low hazard range and remaining 12 facets go in very low hazard range.

Table 3 List of Structural Discontinuities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL.NO</th>
<th>LATITUDE – LONGITUDE</th>
<th>STRUCTURAL DETAILS</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1.    | 10°11.30 N - 77°20.06 E | J1 Strike  
Dip Direction  
Dip | N 105°  
N 35°  
40° | Poondi |
| 2.    | 10°11.46 N - 77°19.31 E | J1 Strike  
Dip Direction  
Dip Amount  
J2 Strike  
Dip Amount | N 50°  
N 20°E  
90°  
N 20°  
90° | Nattampatti Road Cut |
| 3.    | 10°12.09 N - 77°19.11 E | J1 Strike  
Dip Direction  
Dip Amount  
J2 Strike  
Dip Amount | N 15°  
N 80°E  
90°  
N 80°  
90° | Pollur Road cut |
| 4.    | 10°12.19 N - 77°19.21 E | J1 Strike  
Dip Direction  
Dip Amount | N 30°  
N 60°W  
50° | Pollur agriculture |
| 5.    | 10°11.68 N - 77°19.02 E | J1 Strike  
Dip Direction  
Dip Amount  
J2 Strike  
Dip Direction  
Dip Amount | N 15°  
N 260°W  
90°  
N 80°E  
35° | Kilavarai Road cut |
| 6.    | 10°12.42 N - 77°18.79 E | J1 Strike  
Dip Direction  
Dip Amount | N 10°  
N 260°E  
40° | Kilavarai Agriculture |
| 7.    | 10°11.89 N - 77°20.04 E | J1 Strike  
Dip Direction  
Dip Amount | S 200°  
N 100°E  
90° | Poondi Agriculture |
| 8.    | 10°11.91 N - 77°20.03 E | J1 Strike  
Dip Direction  
Dip Amount | N 30°  
N 310°W  
75° | Poondi Agriculture |
| 9.    | 10°11.84 N - 77°20.02 E | J1 Strike  
Dip Direction  
Dip Amount  
J2 Strike  
Dip Amount | N 90°  
N 10°E  
90°  
N 140°  
90° | Poondi Agriculture |
| 10.   | 10°12.39 N - 77°20.36 E | J1 Strike  
Dip Direction  
Dip Amount | N 350°E  
N 20°W | Kavunchi |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Strike 1 Dip</th>
<th>Strike 1 Dip Direction</th>
<th>Strike 1 Dip Amount</th>
<th>Strike 2 Dip</th>
<th>Strike 2 Dip Direction</th>
<th>Strike 2 Dip Amount</th>
<th>Strike 3 Dip</th>
<th>Strike 3 Dip Direction</th>
<th>Strike 3 Dip Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10° 12. 16 N - 77° 20. 40 E</td>
<td>80°</td>
<td>J1 Strike Dip Direction N 40° 320° W Dip Amount 82°</td>
<td>Kavunchi to Poondi Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10° 12. 31 N - 77° 20. 38 E</td>
<td>76°</td>
<td>J1 Strike Dip Direction N 140° 240° W Dip Amount 76°</td>
<td>Kavunchi water falls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>10° 13. 16 N - 77° 20. 61 E</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>J1 Strike Dip Direction N 140° 55° E Dip Amount 90°</td>
<td>Mannavanur</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10° 13. 54 N - 77° 20. 81 E</td>
<td>70°</td>
<td>J1 Strike Dip Direction N 320° 60° E Dip Amount 70°</td>
<td>Mannavanur Agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10° 13. 29 N - 77° 20. 79 E</td>
<td>41°</td>
<td>J1 Strike Dip Direction N 40° 325° W Dip Amount 90° J2 Strike Dip Direction N 120° 205° W Dip Amount 90° J3 Strike Dip Direction N 35° 310° W Dip Amount 41°</td>
<td>Mannavanur Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10° 13. 39 N - 77° 20. 75 E</td>
<td>60°</td>
<td>J1 Strike Dip Direction N 160° 60° E Dip Amount 46° J2 Strike Dip Direction N 150° 65° E Dip Amount 60°</td>
<td>Mannavanur Agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>10° 13. 86 N - 77° 20. 47 E</td>
<td>58°</td>
<td>J1 Strike Dip Direction N 160° S 240° W Dip Amount 58°</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kizhanavayal Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>10° 13. 94 N - 77° 20. 41 E</td>
<td>200°</td>
<td>J1 Strike Dip Direction N 10° 90° E Dip Amount 90° J2 Strike Dip Direction N 110° 15° E Dip Amount 62° J3 Strike Dip Direction N 95° 200° W Dip Amount 90°</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kizhanavayal Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>10° 13. 94 N - 77° 20. 40 E</td>
<td>190°</td>
<td>J1 Strike Dip Direction N 90° 190° E Dip Amount 80° J2 Strike Dip Direction N 70° 340° W Dip Amount 90°</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kizhanavayal Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10° 13. 97 N - 77° 20. 39 E</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>J1 Strike Dip Direction N 5° 280° W Dip Amount 45° J2 Strike Dip Direction N 355° 75° E Dip Amount 65°</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kizhanavayal Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>10° 15. 18 N - 77° 20. 06 E</td>
<td>40°</td>
<td>J1 Strike Dip Direction N 160° 85° E Dip Amount 31° J2 Strike Dip Direction N 50° 150° E Dip Amount 90° J3 Strike Dip Direction N 120° 40° E</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kizhanavayal Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The landslide hazard zonation map of the study area is prepared on a facet map, which in turn is derived from the topographical map. The facet map is prepared by demarcating slope facets on the Survey of India Toposheets No. 58F/7SE, 58F/8NE, 58F/11SW and 58F/12NW of 1:25,000 scale by dividing topographical sheet into smaller facet. The slope facets are generally delineated by ridge break in slope, stream, spurs etc. Overall 82 facets are identified in this area shown in Figure 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>10°15.03 N - 77°20.07 E</td>
<td>J1 Strike</td>
<td>N 110°</td>
<td>N 20° E</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kizhanavayal Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>10°14.79 N - 77°19.89 E</td>
<td>J1 Strike</td>
<td>N 65°</td>
<td>N 165° E</td>
<td>63°</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kizhanavayal Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>10°14.47 N - 77°20.10 E</td>
<td>J1 Strike</td>
<td>N 40°</td>
<td>N 125° E</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kizhanavayal Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>10°14.28 N - 77°20.18 E</td>
<td>J1 Strike</td>
<td>N 160°</td>
<td>N 80° E</td>
<td>75°</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kizhanavayal Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J2 Strike</td>
<td>N 145°</td>
<td>N 55° W</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J3 Strike</td>
<td>N 50°</td>
<td>N 330° W</td>
<td>45°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>10°13.66 N - 77°20.20 E</td>
<td>J1 Strike</td>
<td>N 80°</td>
<td>N 345° W</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kumbur Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>10°13.67 N - 77°20.19 E</td>
<td>J1 Strike</td>
<td>N 340°</td>
<td>N 80° E</td>
<td>52°</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kumbur Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>10°13.80 N - 77°20.17 E</td>
<td>J1 Strike</td>
<td>N 320°</td>
<td>N 50° E</td>
<td>50°</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kumbur Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J2 Strike</td>
<td>N 350°</td>
<td>N 95° E</td>
<td>61°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>10°13.82 N - 77°20.12 E</td>
<td>J1 Strike</td>
<td>N 330°</td>
<td>N 250° W</td>
<td>56°</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kumbur Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J2 Strike</td>
<td>N 330°</td>
<td>N 50° E</td>
<td>61°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J3 Strike</td>
<td>N 52°</td>
<td>N 340° W</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>10°13.81 N - 77°20.05 E</td>
<td>J1 Strike</td>
<td>N 10°</td>
<td>N 90° E</td>
<td>56°</td>
<td>Mannavanur to Kumbur Road cut</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J2 Strike</td>
<td>N 85°</td>
<td>N 165° E</td>
<td>90°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>J3 Strike</td>
<td>N 275°</td>
<td>N 10° E</td>
<td>58°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.1 Facet map

The landslide hazard zonation map of the study area is prepared on a facet map, which in turn is derived from the topographical map. The facet map is prepared by demarcating slope facets on the Survey of India Toposheets No. 58F/7SE, 58F/8NE, 58F/11SW and 58F/12NW of 1:25,000 scale by dividing topographical sheet into smaller facet. The slope facets are generally delineated by ridge break in slope, stream, spurs etc. Overall 82 facets are identified in this area shown in Figure 4.
3.2 Slope Morphometry

The slope morphometry map defines various slope categories of the study area and is prepared out of USGS/NASA SRTM image of 90 m resolution (2007).

Fig: 4 Slope Facet Map of the Study Area

The distribution pattern of slopes in this area varies from very gentle slope to escarpment, ranges between 0.5 and 2.0 respectively. The sub-watershed cover about 50 facets are in ranges on 35° – 45° inclination, 20 facets in 25° – 35° inclination and 12 facets in 15° – 25° ranges and remaining have slopes more than 45° inclination shown in Figure 5.

Fig: 5 Slope Map of the Study Area
3.3 Relative relief

The relative relief value of each face is calculated by using SOI toposheets and LHEF rating given to each facet.

Fig: 6 Relative Relief Map of the Study Area

The area generally has very high relative relief of about 260 m and high relative relief of about 170 m and moderate relative relief of about 120 m and followed by medium of about 92 m and remaining 66 m is low relative relief shown in Figure 6.

3.4 Land Use and Land Cover
Land use and land cover map where prepared by using NRSA classification and interpreted from IRS 1C and LISS III imagery. Land use and land cover map are the indirect indications of the stability of the hill slopes. In the Kumbur Ar sub-watershed 12 different types of land use classes were identified such as Settlement, Crop Land, Fallow Land, Plantation, Dense Forest, Degraded Forest, Forest Blank, Scrub Land, Barren Rocky, and River and reclassified into as per LHEF ratings. While, agriculture and plantation are the dominant land uses under the classification present in this area. About 72% of the total area is occupied by agricultural activities. Though scrubland is occupied around 12.35% of the area, most of the settlement is noticed in the southern part of the sub-watershed. The Reserve Forest lands are situated in the southwest and southeast parts of the study area shown in Figure 7.

3.5 Hydro-geology

After monsoonal season, Hydro geological conditions congregated from the field, for the reason that rainfall is an important triggering factor of the vulnerable slides in hilly region. The hydro-geological conditions of the sub-watershed show that eastern, central and southwestern parts of the facets are generally damp in conditions. Most of these areas are coming under agricultural activities; about 50 facets are shown in this condition. Sub-watershed shows that North and Northeastern portions, Kizhanavayal area, kumbur area are normally wet in condition. It is clearly shown that in these areas few springs are present. About 27 facets are coming under this condition. Remaining 5 facets are dripping condition.

4. CONCLUSION

LHZ mapping is a pragmatic approach which takes into accounts both inherent and external factors for slope instability. Stable zones like low hazard considered safe for civil constructions. Hill slopes falling in moderate hazard classes are also safe for construction practice, but may contain local
instability conditions, which should be suitably accounted during constructions. For slopes falling in high hazard classes, it is always advisable to avoid constructions. The results of the present study can help citizens, planners and engineers to reduce losses caused by existing and future landslides by means of prevention and mitigation.

Fig: 8 Landslide Hazard Zonation Map of the Study Area
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