



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_46080
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges and Resolution for Copper Wirebonding on Tapeless Leadframe Chip-On-Lead Technology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Manuscript requires only minor changes, my comments here are concerned solely with the organization of the manuscript.</p> <p>Observation: Please have a look at:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The introduction is voluminous <p><u>Figures:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The lettering in fig 3 and 14 must be increased to be sufficiently readable. - On the other hand I notice that the figures did not exist in the text. Please add. <p><u>References:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Many important references are missed. Please do not forget to add a reference. 	
Minor REVISION comments	- Absent	
Optional/General comments	<p>The article is very well written and provides excellent information.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The paper can be accepted. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Abdelkader Djelloul</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Centre de Recherche en Technologie des Semi-conducteurs pour l'Energétique (CRTSE), Algeria</i>