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PART 1: Review Comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer’s comment</th>
<th>Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Compulsory REVISION comments | 1. The underlying reasons for the observations were 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 240, 360 and 21 hours. The observation time lag is very long, there is the possibility that there is still a missed observation.  
2. The types of insecticides used in the study are contact and systemic toxins. It will be clear the difference in insect mortality due to different ways of working. It is necessary to explain in such a test what kind of results |
| Minor REVISION comments | in the article there is a table but no table is presented (table 1,2,3) and figure 1 also does not exist. |
| Optional/General comments | Need improvement of article writing especially tables and figures that do not exist |
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