



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_44996
Title of the Manuscript:	THE THERAPEUTIC IMPACT OF LYMPHADENECTOMY IN THE MANAGEMENT OF EPITHELIAL OVARIAN CANCER: A SINGLE INSTITUTION EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In the abstract, I suggest to replace "Kaplan-Meier curve and log rank test were used to compare the survival curves between clinical variables" in the results by the numeric values that it was found. In Table 1, please, consider to present the ECOG status similar to the presentation in the Table 3.	
Optional/General comments	I am not sure about that, but the introduction is presented as one paragraph section ?! Please, review. Please, review line 73. "[("	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Thales paulo batista</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Federal University of Pernambuco, Brazil</i>