



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_32058
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study Between Anthracycline Based Regimen and Taxane Based Regimen In Metastatic Gastric Cancer
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors have done a great job. This manuscript is well structured and well written. I think the conclusion is a bit weak and can be revised.	
Minor REVISION comments	There are a few grammatical errors which are to be corrected.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nishith M Paul Ekka
Department, University & Country	Dept. Of Surgery, Rajendra Institute of Medical Sciences, Ranchi, India