

Editor's comments:

I get time to review the authors' response and the revised MS. The study is a bit thin with small sample size. Can the authors have deep discussion around other studies to support their point to compensate this important issue.

Besides, the English should be improving before acceptance. I think the paper can be accepted after fixing these two issues.

Author's feedback:

1. This study is primarily a baseline study or first study of its kind in resource settings of subhimalayan population as no other research has been undertaken in this region on the aforesaid topic, hence a small sample was being taken on an initial phase. The second phase of the research is being planned with a larger sample size and with a longer follow up. Our study will be serve as a baseline for the next part.
2. I have putted some another studies with small sample size to compensate this important issue. This has been highlighted in yellow.

All the corrections related to language have been made in the manuscript.