



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_33924
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality Control Tests for Ophthalmic Pharmaceuticals: Pharmacopoeial Standards and Specifications
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Representation of data can be improved by tabular form showing which QC test are available in which pharmacopoeia, since few test are found in IP, few BP, and few in USP. If author had taken little care in arranging this in a tabular form the paper could have more weightage otherwise no problem.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In reference: Wikipedia has been shown as reference please avoid this as it a search engine, never the authors should quote as a reference	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suma B V
Department, University & Country	Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, M.S. Ramiah University of Applied Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India