



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_41277
Title of the Manuscript:	QT interval variability in patients with obstructive sleep apnea
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Previous findings related to the present study to be placed in Introduction section. Implication of these leading to the reason why present study was undertaken must be included</p> <p>Most of the background information is located in the Discussion section. This needs to be in the Introduction to provide context for the present study. It is not clear why this study was undertaken in the current format –e.g.. measurements taken at different times, nor is there any commentary as to the implication of the present findings in the context of the literature – e.g. in the authors' view, what is the importance of the present negative findings? The authors suggest what must be done in future research, but it is unclear why they, themselves, did not follow up some of the suggestions derived from prior research in their area of interest.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eva Libman
Department, University & Country	Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, Canada