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This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
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**PART 1: Review Comments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer's comment</th>
<th>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Dear Colleague, This is a respected survey work, I have some comments that may help:  
1. In line 206, "Chinese were the majority respondents (52.3%) followed by Malays and other bumiputeras (36.7%)". Why are the Chinese the majority of tested sample, while Malays should be more as they represent local folks?  
2. In all questionnaires used, the right answer was mostly choice (A). This may lead permissive respondent to choose it as an easy way to end the questions given and false positive data are collected. Discuss |
| Minor REVISION comments | 1. Name of mosquito *Aedes* was wrongly written not italic all through the manuscript. Please correct. |
| Optional/General comments | Thank You and Good Luck |
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