



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_BJMMR_34186 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | CORNUAL TWIN ECTOPIC PREGNANCY: A CASE REPORT |
| Type of the Article | Case Report |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>In the abstract the authors wrote: She has had a previous history of right partial salpingectomy for ruptured ectopic gestation. She had a repeat laparotomy and right-sided wedge resection. But in the introduction and also in the case reports, they wrote: She had laparotomy and left salpingectomy for ruptured ectopic gestation 8months prior to this event.</p> <p>In the introduction, the authors don't write nothing about the twin ectopic pregnancy.</p> <p>The most recent bibliography is from 2009. There are more updated references on this topic. The authors could actualize the references.</p> <p>ETHICAL ISSUE:</p> <p>There is no inform consent of the patient to publish the case reports. Although the identity of the patient is preserved. It's better to eliminate the "Miss AJ". Only female patient it's enough.</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>The term P0 +1, is confused. It's better to use G1P0E(ectopic)1.</p> <p>It's better to describe the race, occupation and socio-economical level of the patient.</p> <p>In one paragraph the authors wrote: She is unmarried but resides with her fiancée, and in other they wrote the spouse.</p> | |



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| | <p>1.5litrs: the international abbreviation is incorrect</p> <p>Did the patient that she was in pregnancy? Did she begin prenatal controls?</p> <p>In the line 84: Cornual pregnancy with its closeness to both the uterine and ovarian vessels. Instead of ovarian vessels, they are actually the ovarian branches of the uterine artery and the internal tubal artery.</p> <p>In the line 91 appears: salpingostomy salpingectomy, I don't undertand. Or maybe a comma is missing?.</p> | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | <i>Edith Angel-Müller</i> |
| Department, University & Country | <i>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Colombia</i> |