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### Review Comments

#### Compulsory REVISION comments

This manuscript focussed on decomposition of riemannian curvature tensor field and its properties. But there are significant and important missing parts and mistakes to be corrected in both English language editing and typing and also other points stated as follows:

1. Abstract is too weak and not clear, also reference is cited not properly and this reference is not available in Reference section.
2. The purpose and importance of this manuscript should be clearly stated in Introduction part.
3. Some words are contiguous so typing should be examined and controlled carefully.
4. All equations and also formulations should be numbered.
5. Some references such as given in line 10, line 135 are not available in reference section.
6. ‘project’ word should be replaced by ‘study’.
7. The sentence in line 150 should be reconsidered.
8. Singh 2000 in line 199 is not found in reference section.
9. The formulation in line 272 is to be corrected.
10. The physical meaning of this tensor field should be given and also the reason of why this tensor decomposed should be described in section 4.1.
11. The contributions and important results of this manuscript to literature should be stated explicitly in conclusion section.
12. First Reference in lines 467-468 should be corrected.
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#### Optional/General comments

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
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