

Original Research Article

ANTIMICROBIAL AND PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSES OF EXTRACTS OF *Diplazium sammatii* and *Pneumatopteris afra* on SELECTED CLINICAL STRAINS OF BACTERIA

ABSTRACT:

Aims: *This study was carried out to test for the antibacterial effects of Diplazium sammatii and Pneumatopteris afra plant leaves extracts on some pathogenic bacteria isolates.*

Study Design: *This study was carried out in triplicates and the results presented are mean values of the recordings.*

Place and Duration of Study: *This study was carried out in the Microbiology Laboratory of Ekiti State University between January and June, 2011.*

Methodology: *The plants were collected and air dried at room temperature. The phytochemical constituents were extracted using ethanol, methanol, acetone and cold redistilled water. The agar well diffusion method was used to determine the antimicrobial activity of the plant extracts against Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella typhi, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella species, Escherichia coli and Shigella dysenteriae. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the extracts against the test bacteria was also determined.*

Results: *The acetone extracts gave the highest zones of inhibition (19.0mm) of the test bacteria at concentrations ranging from 50mg/ml (9.0mm) to 250mg/ml (19.0mm), while aqueous extracts gave the least zone of inhibition 2.0mm at the same range of concentrations. The MIC was also observed for both plants at 50.0mg/ml. Phytochemical screening of the plants revealed the presence of tannins, saponins, flavonoids, cardiacglycosides, anthraquinones and alkaloids.*

Conclusion: *The growth of all bacteria were inhibited at varying degrees thus justifying their use in traditional medicines in treating bacteria infectious and other diseases.*

Keywords: Antimicrobials, MIC, plant extracts, pathogens, phytochemicals, bioactive

30 1. Introduction

31 In an effort to improve the quality of life, man has always looked up to plants as sources of food, medicine, shelter
32 and for relief from the hardships of life [1]. Since ancient times, varieties of drugs have been obtained from
33 medicinal plants with the search for potent antimicrobial agents shifting to plants [2]. Over 2000 plant species have
34 been found to have medicinal value and these properties have been exploited over the years [3]. Some plants are
35 referred to as medicinal plants because they contain certain bioactive substances, that could be used for therapeutic
36 purposes or which could serve as precursors for the synthesis of useful drugs [4]. The medicinal value of these plants
37 lies in the active phytochemical constituents that produce definite physiological reactions relentless to the cure of
38 diseases of man. The use of medicinal plants in the treatment of human diseases is as old as the disease itself as it
39 predates the introduction of antibiotics [5]. However, the use of antibiotics to treat infections have posed a serious
40 threat to humans and the environment because of the increasing dissemination of antibiotics resistance genes and the
41 acquisition of antibiotics resistance by commensals hence the need for an alternative [6]. So, resistance to drugs
42 especially antibiotics has become a major challenge facing the medical world today coupled with the high cost of
43 production of this drugs and this has brought a renewed interest in plant medicinal drugs [7]. This has necessitated
44 the search for newer drugs which is better and cheaper with plants being the better alternative. The selection of crude
45 plant extracts for screening for antimicrobial effects has the potential of being more successful in the initial stages
46 than the screening of pure compounds isolated from the natural products [8]. Researchers have reported that plant
47 extracts of many higher plants did exhibit antibacterial, antifungal and insecticidal properties during laboratory trials
48 with an observed proliferation of herbal drugs in Nigeria. But very few literature exist on the antimicrobial properties
49 of lower green plants. *Diplazium sammatii* (Arthyriaceae) a fern plant belongs to family Arthyriaceae in
50 the eupolypods II clade of the order Polypodiales [28] in the class polypodiopsida [29] is known to grow on the
51 banks of streams in the tropics and is increasingly getting endangered due to pollution by industrial effluents. Also
52 *Pneumatopteris afra* (Christ) Holttum is equally a tropical plant .

53 This study was aimed at determining the antimicrobial activities, and phytochemical constituents of extracts of *Diplazium*
54 *sammatii* and *Pneumatopteris afra* on some pathogenic bacteria

55 **2.0 Materials and Methods**

56 **2.1 Collection of Plant Materials**

57 Fresh leaves of *Pneumatopteris afra* and *Diplazium sammatii* were collected from the University farm and Ikogosi
58 warm spring, Ekiti State, Nigeria. The plants were identified and authenticated at the herbarium section of Plant Science and
59 Biotechnology Department, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. The leaves were air-dried at room temperature for
60 twenty eight days. The dried leaves were then milled into a fine powder using an electronic blender. Plants were stored in an
61 air-tight container at room temperature until required for further use.

62 **2.2 Extraction Procedure**

63 **2.2.1 Aqueous Extraction:** Twenty five grammes each of powdered leaves of *Diplazium sammatii* and *Pneumatopteris afra*
64 were separately weighed into a clean sterile Erlenmeyer flask and 100ml of distilled water was added into the Erlenmeyer
65 flask. The mixture was allowed to stand for a period of 120hours. The extract was collected by filtration using Whatmann
66 No1 filter paper.

67 **2.2.2 Solvent extraction:** Twenty five grammes each of dried powdered leaves of *Diplazium sammatii* and *Pneumatopteris*
68 *afra* were each soaked in 100ml of 95% ethanol, methanol and acetone in 250ml Erlenmeyer flasks for a period of
69 120hours. The extracts were then obtained by filtration using filter paper (Whatmann No1 filter paper) into small sterile
70 crucibles. Extracts were evaporated to dryness by the use of rotary evaporator and reconstituted with 50%
71 Dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO, Merck). The stock extracts were kept in the refrigerator at 4°C until used.

72 **2.3 Determination of Antimicrobial Activities**

73 **2.3.1 Source of Microorganisms**

74 Six bacteria strains were used for this study, namely *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas*
75 *aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella* spp, *Salmonella typhi* and *Shigella dysenteriae*. All the bacteria strains were obtained from the stock
76 culture of the Department of Microbiology, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti. The bacteria strains were hitherto

77 authenticated by carrying out biochemical tests and comparing to Bergey's manual. The bacteria isolates was maintained on
78 agar slant at 4 °C.

79 **2.3.2 Standardization of Inocula**

80 The test bacteria were grown at 37°C in Mueller-Hilton broth (Oxoid) (McFarland standard) at optical activity of
81 625nm with Mueller-Hilton Broth and stored at 4°C to prevent further bacteria growth [9].

82 **2.3.3 Determination of Antimicrobial Activity**

83 Antibacterial activity was measured using agar well diffusion technique [2], whereby the test bacteria were
84 inoculated into the sterile Mueller-Hinton agar plates by aseptically transferring 0.1ml of each of the standardized test
85 bacteria into petri dishes containing solidified Mueller-Hinton agar. A sterile glass spreader was used to evenly spread this
86 over the surface of the Mueller-Hinton agar. A sterile cork borer 6mm in diameter was used to bore wells on the Petri dishes
87 and 0.1ml of each extract was then transferred into the holes. About 0.1ml of DMSO was introduced as control into a well
88 on each plate. The plates were allowed to dry for one hour for diffusion. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24hours
89 in an inverted position. The experiment was carried out in triplicates and the mean values were recorded.

90 **2.3.4 Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) Using Agar Dilution Method**

91 The leaves extracts were aseptically introduced into sterile Petri dishes at different concentrations (50mg/ml,
92 100mg/ml, 150mg/ml, 200mg/ml and 250mg/ml) with the aid of a micropipette at 100µl volume (30). Fifteen milliliters of
93 sterile Mueller-Hinton agar was added to each of the sterile Petri dishes containing the extracts and was carefully swirled.
94 The agar was then allowed to set. Standardized test bacteria were carefully streaked with the aid of a sterile inoculating loop
95 on the nutrient agar and incubated at 37°C. The plates were observed for growth and the MIC was determined as the lowest
96 concentration that inhibited the growth of the test organisms.

97 **2.4 Phytochemical Analysis of the Extracts**

98 Qualitative phytochemical analysis was carried out to determine the presence of alkaloids, tannins, saponins,
99 steroids, terpenoids, flavonoids, anthraquinones, cardiacglycosides and cyanoglycosides [4, 10].

100 2.5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

101 The result of the antimicrobial activities of the leaf extracts are given in Tables I and II by measuring the diameter of
102 the zones of inhibition compared to standards. The result of the antimicrobial activities of extracts of *Diplazium sammatii*
103 are shown in table I, acetone extracts gave the highest zones of inhibition at 250mg/ml (19.0mm) on *Staphylococcus aureus*,
104 *Salmonella typhi* and *Shigella dysenteriae* with the lowest zone of inhibition occurring at 50mg/ml (9.0mm) on *E. coli*.
105 Methanol extracts of *Diplazium sammatii* also showed considerable level of antibacterial activity with zones of inhibition
106 ranging from 250mg/ml (19.0mm, 18.0mm, and 16.0mm) on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Escherichia coli* while it had its
107 lowest zone of inhibition at 50mg/ml (5.0mm) on *Salmonella typhi*. This result obtained is quite higher when compared to
108 results obtained by [5] as the entire results obtained were susceptible to *Diplazium sammatii* to a varying degree.

109 Table II shows the result of the antimicrobial activities of *Pneumatopteris afra*. Acetone extracts of *P. afra* showed
110 the highest antimicrobial activity at 250mg/ml and 200mg/ml (19.0mm) on *S. typhi* with the lowest level at 50mg/ml
111 (4.0mm). *S. typhi* showed reasonable susceptibility to the extracts at 250mg/ml. the aqueous extracts also showed
112 appreciable levels of antimicrobial activity on the tested bacteria except for *E. coli* which showed no susceptibility to the
113 aqueous extracts which agrees with the works of [11, 12].

114 The Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was observed at 50mg/ml for ethanol extract of *Diplazium sammatii*
115 against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella typhi* while *Pneumatopteris afra* had an MIC at 50mg/ml for *Pseudomonas*
116 *aeruginosa* and *Salmonella typhi*. When compared with the works of other authors [2, 3, 13, and 14] the solvents used were
117 found to be relatively effective in extracting the polar and non-polar constituents of the plants.

118 Results obtained from the antimicrobial effects of *Diplazium sammatii* and *Pneumatopteris afra* against the bacteria
119 isolates was broad spectrum in activity [12, 15], though with variations in the degree of sensitivity as observed in the Tables
120 presented. The control used in this study showed no inhibitory effect on the microorganisms. From the results obtained
121 acetone, ethanol, methanol and aqueous extracts of both plants inhibited the growth of the test bacteria. Also aqueous
122 extracts of *Diplazium sammatii* and *Pneumatopteris afra* did not have any inhibitory effect on *Klebsiella* spp and
123 *Staphylococcus aureus*.

124 The antimicrobial properties of many medicinal plants have been previously studied [2, 14, 16 and 17]. The acetone
125 extracts of *Diplazium sammatii* and *Pneumatopteris afra* was more effective followed by the methanol extract which
126 correlates with the works of [2] who reported the antimicrobial activities of methanol extracts of lower green plants against
127 *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Salmonella typhi* and *Klebsiella* spp. The extracts of *Diplazium sammatii* and
128 *Pneumatopteris afra* had higher inhibitory effects when compared with the works of [18].

129 The effect of the extracts on all the test organisms increased with the increase in concentration of the extracts which
130 is in agreement to the works of other authors. Aqueous extracts of the leaves showed considerable inhibition which also
131 correlates with the work of [3 and 14] who reported higher zones of inhibition for aqueous extracts on both Gram positive
132 and Gram negative bacteria.

133 The phytochemical analysis of the plants showed the presence of certain bioactive (tannins, saponins, alkaloids,
134 flavonoids, cardiacglycosides and anthraquinones except for steroids which was absent in both plants) compounds which
135 have been reported to exhibit various medicinal and physiological activity [19].

136 Differences observed in the antimicrobial activities of the plants could be due to the quantitative and qualitative
137 differences in them [13, 20], the extraction methods employed and the level of concentration of such extracts [21].
138 Alkaloids have also been reported to have antimicrobial potentials [22] as well as antibacterial activities [23]. Flavonoids
139 complex with extra cellular and soluble proteins and with bacterial cell walls [24]. Tannins interfere with protein synthesis
140 by binding to proline rich proteins [25]. Plant glycosides, which are not normally toxic when ingested orally, are known to
141 inhibit chloride transport in the stomach [17, 26].

142 **Conclusion**

143 This study shows that lower green plants show much promise in the development of phytomedicines with great
144 antimicrobial properties as observed presently in the traditional context. It can be concluded that this plants showed much
145 antimicrobial potential against the selected test microorganisms and has greater potential in the development of
146 phytomedicines.

147

148

149

150

151

152

153 **Table I: Antimicrobial activities of extracts of *Diplazium sammatii***154 **Zones of inhibition of the extracts in (mm)**

155

Organisms	50mg/ml				100mg/ml				150mg/ml				200mg/ml				250mg/ml			
	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	8.0	10.0	10.0	4.0	9.0	14.0	13.0	6.0	11.0	16.0	15.0	6.0	12.0	18.0	15.0	6.0	14.0	19.0	17.0	5.0
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	5.0	11.0	10.0	4.0	8.0	13.0	11.0	5.0	10.0	16.0	8.0	6.0	14.0	18.0	14.0	6.0	15.0	19.0	15.0	6.0
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	6.0	11.0	12.0	4.0	9.0	13.0	13.0	5.0	10.0	15.0	15.0	6.0	14.0	16.0	18.0	6.0	16.0	17.0	18.0	6.0
<i>Klebsiella spp</i>	6.0	11.0	8.0	0.0	7.0	14.0	10.0	4.0	9.0	14.0	12.0	6.0	10.0	16.0	14.0	6.0	12.0	18.0	15.0	7.0
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	6.0	9.0	13.0	2.0	9.0	12.0	15.0	4.0	10.0	15.0	16.0	6.0	14.0	16.0	17.0	6.0	16.0	17.0	19.0	7.0
<i>Shigella dysenteriae</i>	8.0	13.0	5.0	4.0	10.0	14.0	8.0	5.0	10.0	16.0	10.0	6.0	14.0	17.0	12.0	6.0	15.0	19.0	14.0	8.0

156

157 **Keys: ET – Ethanol AC – Acetone ME – Methanol AQ – Aqueous**

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166 **Table II: Antimicrobial activities of extracts of *Pneumatopteris afra***167 **Zones of inhibition of the extracts in (mm)**

168

Organisms	50mg/ml				100mg/ml				150mg/ml				200mg/ml				250mg/ml			
	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	4.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	3.0	5.0	6.0	6.0	4.0	6.0	8.0	9.0	6.0	8.0	12.0	11.0	7.0
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	10.0	13.0	10.0	2.0	12.0	15.0	12.0	4.0	13.0	18.0	12.0	7.0	15.0	19.0	14.0	8.0	16.0	19.0	16.0	8.0
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	11.0	4.0	4.0	2.0	12.0	8.0	7.0	4.0	13.0	6.0	8.0	6.0	14.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	15.0	10.0	10.0	9.0
<i>Klebsiella spp</i>	5.0	4.0	5.0	2.0	5.0	6.0	7.0	3.0	7.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	8.0	9.0	11.0	7.0	10.0	10.0	13.0	8.0
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	5.0	5.0	7.0	0.0	8.0	5.0	9.0	0.0	11.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	11.0	7.0	10.0	0.0	13.0	9.0	11.0	0.0
<i>Shigella dysenteriae</i>	5.0	5.0	5.0	2.0	8.0	6.0	6.0	5.0	11.0	6.0	6.0	7.0	12.0	11.0	8.0	9.0	14.0	14.0	10.0	7.0

169

170 **Keys: ET – Ethanol AC – Acetone ME – Methanol AQ – Aqueous**

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183 **Table III: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of Extracts of *Diplazium sammatii***

Organisms	50mg/ml				100mg/ml				150mg/ml				200mg/ml			
	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Klebsiella spp</i>	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Shigella dysenteriae</i>	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-

184

185 **Keys: ET – Ethanol AC – Acetone ME – Methanol AQ – Aqueous**

186 +: Growth of organisms

187 -: No growth of organisms

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195 **Table IV: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of Extracts of *Pneumatopteris afra***

	50mg/ml				100mg/ml				150mg/ml				200mg/ml			
Organisms	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ	ET	AC	ME	AQ
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Klebsiella spp</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
<i>Shigella dysenteriae</i>	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-

196 **Keys: ET – Ethanol AC – Acetone ME – Methanol AQ – Aqueous**

197 +: Growth of organisms

198 -: No growth of organisms

199 **Table V: Phytochemical analysis of the plants**

Tests	<i>Diplazium sammatii</i>	<i>Pneumatopteris afra</i>
Alkaloids	+	+
Tannins	+	+
Saponins	+	+
Steroids	-	-
Cardiacglycosides	+	+
Cyanoglycosides	+	+
Anthraquinones	+	+
Terpenoids	+	+
Flavonoids	+	+

200

201 Keys: +: Present

202 -: Absent

203

204 **References:**

- 205 1. Odunbaku, O.A. and Ilusanya, O.A. (2008). Antibacterial activity of the Ethanolic and
206 Methanolic Leaf Extract of some Tropical Plants on some human pathogenic microbes.
207 *Research Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences*. 4(5): 373-376.
- 208 2. Ojo, O.O., A.O. Ajayi and Anibijuwon (2007). Antibacterial potency of methanol
209 extracts of lower plants. *J. Zhejiang Uni. Sci.*, 8: 189-191.
- 210 3. Kannan, M., Lija, Lj. T. Francis, X., and Auxillia, A. (2013). Antimicrobial Activity of
211 The Medicinal Plant *Senna Obtusa Roxb* *IJBPAS* 2(5): 1135-1140.
- 212 4. Sofowora, E.A. (2008). *Medicinal plant and traditional medicine in Africa*. John Wiley
213 and sons LTD. Pp 110.
- 214 5. Yahaya, O., Yabefa, J.A. and Usman, B. (2012). Phytochemical Screening and
215 Antibacterial Activity of *Combretum glutinosum* Extract against Some Human
216 Pathogens. *British Journal of Pharmacology and Toxicology* 3(5): 233-236.
- 217 6. Wellington, E.M.H., Boxall, A.B.A., Cross, P., Feil, E.J., Gaze, W.H., Hawkey, P.M.,
218 Johnson- ollings, A.S., Jones, D.L., Lee, N.M., Otten, W., Thomas, C.M. and Williams,
219 A.P. (2013). The role of the natural environment in the emergence of antibiotic resistance
220 in Gram-negative bacteria. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 13: 155-65.
- 221 7. Fagbohun, E.D. and Bamikole, A.M. (2016). Antifungal Effects of Methanolic Extract of
222 Stem Bark of *Bridelia ferruginea* Benth. Leaves of *Aloe vera* L. and Stem Bark of
223 *Alstonia boonei* De Wild *British Microbiology Research Journal* 2(3): 1135-1140.
- 224 8. Okigbo, R.N. and Ogbonnaya, U.O. (2006). Antifungal effects of two tropical plant leaf
225 extract (*Ocimum gratissimum* and *Aframomum melegueta*) on postharvest yam
226 (*Dioscorea* spp.) rot, *Afr. J. Biotech.* 5(9):727- 731.

- 227 9. Bauer, A.W., Kirby, W.W., Shorries, J.C. and Turicks, M. (1996). Antibiotics
228 susceptibility testing by a standard single disc method. *American Journal of Clinical*
229 *Pathology* 45: 493-496.
- 230 10. Adegoke, A.A. and Adebayo-Tayo, B.C. (2009). Antibacterial activity and
231 phytochemical analysis of leaf extracts of *Lasienthera africanum*. *African Journal of*
232 *Biotechnology*. 8(1):77-80.
- 233 11. Bishnu, J., Govind, P.S., Buddha, B., Basnet, M.R. Bhatt, D.S. Krishna, S., J., Pandey, J.
234 and Rajani, M. (2011). Phytochemical extraction and antimicrobial properties of different
235 medicinal plants: *Ocimum sanctum* (Tulsi), *Eugenia caryophyllata* (Clove), *Achyranthes*
236 *bidentata* (Datiwan) and *Azadirachta indica* (Neem). *Journal of Microbiology and*
237 *Antimicrobials* 3(1):1-7.
- 238 12. Abhijit, B.S. and Yogini, R.M. (2015). Phytochemical Analysis and Antibacterial
239 Properties of Some Selected Indian Medicinal Plants *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci*
240 4(3): 228-235.
- 241 13. Ogueke, C.C., Ogbulie, J.N. and Njoku, H.O. (2006). Antimicrobial properties and
242 preliminary phytochemical analysis of ethanolic extracts of *Alstonia boonei*. *Nigerian*
243 *Journal of Microbiology* 20 (2): 896-899
- 244 14. Fagbohun, E.D., Asare, R.R. and Egbebi, A.O. (2010). Chemical composition and
245 antimicrobial activities of *Urena lobata* L. (Malvaceae) *Journal of Medicinal Plant*
246 *Research* 4(13), In Press.
- 247 15. Rahman, S.M., and Junaid, M. (2008) Antimicrobial activity of leaf extracts of
248 *Eupatorium triplinerve Vehl.* against some human pathogenic bacteria and
249 phytopathogenic fungi. *Bangladesh Journal of Botany* 37(1): 89-92.
- 250 16. Nair, R., T. Kalaraiya and S. Chanda (2005). Antibacterial activity of some selected
251 Indian medicinal flora. *Turk. J. Biol.* 29: 41-4.
- 252 17. Joshi, B., Sah, G.P., Basnet, B.B., Bhatt, M.R., Sharma, D., Subedi, K., Pandey, J. and
253 Malla, R. (2011). Phytochemical extraction and antimicrobial properties of different
254 medicinal plant: *Ocimum sanctum* (Tulsi), *Eugenia Caryophyllata* (Clove), *Achrynanthes*
255 *bidentata* (Datiwan) and *Azadirachta indica* (Neem). *Journal of Microbiology and*
256 *Antimicrobials* 3(1): 1-7.
- 257 18. Fagbohun, E.D., Egbebi, A.O. and Lawal, O.U. (2012). Phytochemical Screening,
258 Proximate Analysis And In-Vitro Antimicrobial Activities Of Methanolic Extract of
259 *Cnidioscolus Aconitifolius Leaves Intl. J. of Pharm. Sc. Rev. & Res.* 13(1):28-33.
- 260 19. Edeoga, H.O., Okwu, D.E. and Mbaebie, B.O. (2005). Phytochemical constituents of
261 some Nigerian Medicinal plants. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 4(7): 685-688.
- 262 20. Perumal, S.R. and Ignacimuthu, S. (2000): Antibacterial activity of some folklore
263 medicinal plants used by tribes in Western chats of India :69: 63-71.
- 264 21. Rates, S.M.K. (2001). Plants as sources of drugs. *Toxicons* 2001; 39: 603-613
- 265 22. Duke, J.A. and Ayensu, E.S. (1985). *Medicinal plants of China*. Mich. Reference
266 Publications, Algonae, pp: 705.
- 267 23. Mantle, D., Eddeb, F. and Pickering, A.T. (2000). Comparison of relative antioxidant
268 activities of British medicinal plant species *in vitro*. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 72:47-51.

- 269 24. Marjorie, C. (1999). Plant products as Antimicrobial Agents. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 12:
270 564-582.
271
- 272 25. Shimada T (2006). Salivary proteins as a defense against dietary tannins. *J. Chem. Ecol.*,
273 32(6): 1149-1163.
- 274 26. Machen, T.E. and Forte, J.G. (1979). Gastric Secretion. In: Guibischil, G; Tasteson, D.C,
275 Using H.H (Eds), *Handbook of transport organs* Springer, Berlin, pp. 693-747.
- 276 27. WHO. *WHO traditional medicine strategy 2002-2005*. WHO, Geneva, 2002.
- 277 28. Maarten, J.M. Christenhusz; Xian-Chun Zhang; Harald Schneider (2011). "A linear
278 sequence of extant families and genera of lycophytes and ferns"(PDF). *Phytotaxa*. **19**: 7–
279 54.
- 280 29. Alan R. Smith; Kathleen M. Pryer; Eric Schuettpelz; Petra Korall; Harald Schneider; Paul
281 G. Wolf (2006). "A classification for extant ferns". *Taxon*. **55** (3): 705–731
- 282 30. Fagbohun, E.D. Aderiye, B.I. and Adesanya, S.A. (2015). Antibacterial Activity of
283 Phytoalexins from Infected *Theobroma cacao* L. *British Biotechnology Journal*
284 7(2): 85-93