



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJPAC_27627
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELING ELECTROCATALYTIC ACTIVITY OF NITROGEN RADICALS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is based on various references that cannot be downloaded or do not exist. For example references 7 and 8 could not be found. Unfortunately the manuscript is based on the results that should have been presented on those cited papers.</p> <p>The other references that are used to support the paper are randomly picked without a strict connection with the paper.</p> <p>The provided figures are very low in quality apparently hand drawn.</p> <p>In the introduction the state of the art of research on this topic is not provided. The obtained results are not commented or no conclusions are drawn from the obtained results. Figures are very poorly commented. Conclusions are not clear.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Anonymous</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University of Santiago of Chile, Santiago, Chile</i>