



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_26726
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection of Metastatic Lung Cancer by Immunocytochemistry and Flow Cytometry in a Sample of Pleural Fluid
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I do not feel a superior of flow cytometry. If the authors want to make the manuscript value stronger, they should review flow cytometry of cytology from the literature published so far.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Discussion is rather short.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Yoshihito Yokoyama</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hirosaki University, Japan</i>