



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_26726
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection of Metastatic Lung Cancer by Immunocytochemistry and Flow Cytometry in a Sample of Pleural Fluid
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>As this topic is about a newer method of ddiagnosis, it's interesting to know about it.</p> <p>Discussion needs to be elaborated ,it's too short.</p> <p>In spite of having routine techniques , reason for choosing this patient for this technique needs</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	clarification / explanation.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>As it is done in only 1 case , we can't conclude completely depending on this , henceforth mention of need for further studies is to be mentioned in conclusion.</p> <p>Even if this method is sensitive , what is the cost burden , complexity of technique are all need to be mentioned and discussed further.</p> <p>Discussion about sensitivity and specificity of this Diagnostic test is needed</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>V.Harshini</i>
Department, University & Country	Department of obstetrics and gynecology, College Post graduate Institute and Research, Bangalore, India