

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

Original Research Article

THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN MICRONUTRIENTS LEVELS AND GESTATIONAL DIABETES: A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY IN ASHANTI REGION

ABSTRACT

SAMPLE ABSTRACT:

Aims: Micronutrients such as chromium, iron, magnesium and zinc can serve as good therapeutic and preventive agents for several diabetes complications including Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM). The aim of this study was to compare the serum levels of chromium, iron, zinc and magnesium in pregnant women with and without GDM and to assess the association between the levels of these minerals and GDM.

Study design: A cross-sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Antenatal clinics in five selected hospitals in Ashanti region of Ghana between august 2016 to august 2017.

Methodology: A total of 50 pregnant women with GDM and 50 controls of the same gestational age participated in the study. A structured questionnaire was used to collect demographic and clinical characteristics. Standard oral glucose tolerance test was used to measure glucose profile, serum levels of chromium, iron, zinc and magnesium were measured using the atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS).

Results: The results indicated that 14% of the respondents with GDM had previous history of gestational diabetes. However, there was no previous history among the control group. Serum zinc was not significantly different in the two groups (0.052 ± 0.01 mg/dl; 0.093 ± 0.03 mg/dl, $p=0.276$). Iron levels in the test group were high (0.956 ± 0.35 mg/dl) when compared with the control group (0.635 ± 0.41 mg/dl). There was no significant difference of the serum magnesium level in the two groups ($p=0.967$). Chromium was higher in the test group (0.051 ± 0.05) than the control group (0.012 ± 0.06). There was a significant positive correlation between iron ($r = 0.303$, $p = 0.000$) and chromium ($r = 0.302$, $p= 0.002$) with the markers of GDM

Conclusion: The study showed that high serum concentration of iron is associated with hyperglycemia. Serum magnesium and zinc levels did not exhibit any significant differences between gestational diabetic women and nondiabetic women. It is recommended that micronutrients supplementation during pregnancy needs to be carefully examined and commence only when significant deficiencies are observed.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

Keywords: diabetes, gestational, insulin, iron overload, hypertension.

ABBREVIATIONS

AAS:	Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry
GDM:	Gestational Diabetes Mellitus
GTF:	Glucose Tolerance Factor
IGT:	Impaired Glucose Tolerance
NDSS:	National Diabetes Service Scheme
NGDM	Non-Gestational Diabetes Mellitus

22 OGTT: Oral Glucose Tolerance Test

23

24 1. INTRODUCTION

25 Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is a type of diabetes that manifests during pregnancy
26 and normally disappears after delivery. About 8% of pregnant women between the
27 gestational ages of 24 to 28 weeks develop gestational diabetes [1]. In pregnancy, the
28 placenta (the blood source for the baby) synthesizes useful hormones that helps in the
29 baby's growth and develop. Some of these hormones (estrogen, cortisol and human
30 placental lactogen) block the action of the mother's insulin leading to insulin resistances.
31 During pregnancy, to keep normal blood glucose levels, the mothers pancreatic cells need to
32 produce up to 3 times the normal amount of insulin because of these hormones. If the body
33 is not able to achieve this, it might result in the development of GDM [1]. Micronutrients have
34 shown to be good therapeutic and preventive agents for several diabetes complications
35 including GDM. Abnormalities in the metabolism of magnesium, chromium, zinc and copper
36 have been shown to relate to diabetes [2].

37

38 Chromium, a trace element, is a member of the compounds called 'glucose tolerance factor'
39 (GTF) which are required for appropriate metabolism of glucose and lipid sensitivity. It
40 increases insulin sensitivity by inducing the binding of insulin to its receptors in cells and also
41 increasing receptor numbers. Chromium enhances an increased beta-cell sensitivity and
42 glucose utilization. A research conducted by Scott et al [3], has revealed that chromium
43 concentration in serum of pregnant women were considerably lower than normal.

44

45 Iron supplements and elevated iron stores is associated with increasing oxidative stress and
46 gestational diabetes [4]. Consequently, while iron supplementation may lead to improvement
47 in pregnancy outcomes when maternal iron levels are low, it is also possible that iron
48 supplementation intended to prevent iron deficiency problems may have serious
49 consequences when the woman's iron level is not low or she does not have iron deficiency
50 [4]. There are so many molecular mechanisms that seek to explain these effects. These
51 mechanisms are not clear but are said to include modulation of adipokines, oxidative stress
52 and intracellular signal transduction pathways [4].

53

54 Zinc as a mineral impact a lot on pancreatic function as well as insulin secretion. It is
55 believed that iron and folic acid supplementation during pregnancy has a negative influence
56 on zinc absorption. Zinc deficiency impact negatively on carbohydrate metabolism. Studies
57 have revealed how zinc deficiency associate with diabetes mellitus, glucose intolerance,
58 cardiovascular disease and insulin resistance. Thus, Zinc supplementation in pregnancy is
59 very important because it has been suggested to reduce the risk of some pregnancy
60 complications [5].

61

62 In this current study we investigated the association between levels of micronutrients in
63 serum and gestational diabetes among pregnant women.

64

65 2.0 Materials and Methods

66 2.1 Sample collection

67 This cross-sectional case-controlled study was restricted to pregnant women attending
68 antenatal clinic at the St. Michael Hospital, Ejisu Government Hospital, Kumasi South
69 Hospital and the KNUST Hospital all in Ashanti region of Ghana. These pregnant women
70 were within the gestational age of 24 to 28 weeks. Convenient sampling technique was used
71 till the required number was obtained. All those who qualified to participate in the study
72 signed a consent form. Pregnant women who had already been diagnosed with gestational
73 diabetes for the current pregnancy were included. Oral Glucose Tolerance test was

74 performed for the participants. They were asked to have an unrestricted diet rich in
75 carbohydrate for three days and then fast overnight before tests were conducted. First, they
76 were tested for fasting blood glucose after the 8-10 hours overnight fasting using
77 glucometer. The participants were made to drink 100 g/ml of glucose solution. They were
78 then tested for glucose levels using glucometer at 1, 2 and 3 hours afterward. The following
79 were considered as abnormal values of glucose according to the American Diabetes
80 Association [6].

- 81 I. Fasting blood glucose levels ≥ 95 mg/dL (5.33mmol/L).
- 82 II. 1 hour post-prandial blood glucose level ≥ 180 mg/dL (10mmol/L).
- 83 III. 2 hour post-prandial blood glucose level ≥ 155 mg/dL (8.6mmol/L).
- 84 IV. 3 hour post-prandial blood glucose level ≥ 140 mg/dL (7.8mmol/L).

85 For the participants who recorded abnormal values of glucose, the test was repeated after
86 one week to rule out false positives. Those who had abnormal OGTT values were chosen for
87 the study as those with GDM. Those that were normal and matched with those with diabetes
88 were chosen as the control group. A total of 50 pregnant women with GDM and 50 controls
89 of the same gestational age were recruited for study. A structured, pre-tested questionnaire
90 were used to solicited information such as age, occupation, educational background,
91 tobacco use, alcohol intake, previous history of GDM, family history of diabetes and
92 nutritional supplementation of the participants. Ethical approval for this project was sought
93 from the Committee on Human Research Publication and Ethics of Kwame Nkrumah
94 University of Science and Technology (CHRPE/AP/015/17).

95

96 **2.2 Micronutrients analysis**

97 Four (4) ml of blood was taken from each participant in to vacutainer tube by trained hospital
98 phlebotomist. The serum was separated by centrifuging at 3500 g for 10 min and stored at -
99 20⁰C prior to micronutrient analysis. After the total number of participants was reached, the
100 samples were digested by mixing (0.5) ml of serum with deionized water in a digestion flask.
101 Five milliliters of Nitric-perchloric acid (5 ml, 1:1 v/v) and 5 ml of sulphuric acid were added to
102 each sample in a fume chamber. The samples were then heated on a heat plate at
103 2000⁰C \pm 5 for 30 minutes and allowed to cool. Measurement for chromium, iron, magnesium
104 and zinc was done using the atomic absorption spectrophotometer. The sensitivity of
105 methods for measuring the macronutrients was 1ppm [7].

106

107 **2.3 Statistical analysis**

108 The data was analyzed using the **Statistical package for social science (PSS) version 20**
109 (IBM, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as means and standard deviation while
110 categorical variables were expressed as percentages. The significance of the differences in
111 the mean values between study group and controls for normally distributed parameters were
112 determined using the independent t-test for continuous variables and Chi-square test for
113 categorical variables at 95% confidence level. Pearson correlation coefficients were
114 calculated to signify the association between different quantitative variables. P values <0.05
115 were considered statistically significant.

116

117 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

118

119 **3.0 Results**

120 A total of 100 pregnant women participated in the study of which 50 **had** GDM as the study
121 group and 50 were without gestational diabetes mellitus (NGDM) as the control group. Table
122 1 summaries the demography and clinical characteristics of the participants. With the study
123 group, age, family history of GDM, previous history of diabetes, the use of alcohol and
124 tobacco, systolic and diastolic blood pressures compared to the control group were not

125 significantly different. The mean values for the standard oral glucose tolerant test (OGTT),
 126 showed that the control group recorded 8.98mmol/L, 7.00mmol/L and 5.02mmol/L blood
 127 glucose levels for the 1, 2 and 3 hours blood glucose measurements respectively while that
 128 for the study group was 14.40mmol/L, 12.51mmol/L and 10.42mmol/L for the same
 129 measurement (Table 2). The mean waist circumference for women with GDM was 109.0 cm
 130 and that for the women without GDM was 97.2cm. The mean fasting blood glucose level for
 131 the women with and without GDM was 7.90mmol/L and 4.39mmol/L respectively. Waist
 132 circumference and fasting blood glucose levels were significant different between the women
 133 with and without GDM (P=0.001). The urine glucose level of the participant presented in
 134 Table 3 showed that the control group had no trace of glucose in their urine. In the GDM
 135 group, 30% had no trace while 38% of them have 2+ of urine glucose. About 2%, 18% and
 136 12% had trace, 3+ and 4+ respectively of urine glucose. The urine glucose levels were
 137 significantly different in the two groups (P=0.000). The urine protein of the participants is
 138 presented in Table 4. The findings revealed that 80% of the test group and the entire control
 139 group had no proteins in their urine. It was also shown that 12%, 6% and 2% of the
 140 participant with GDM had trace, 2+ and 3+ of urine protein respectively. There was
 141 statistically significant difference between the two groups (p=0.001).
 142 Table 4 shows the serum micronutrients including chromium, iron, magnesium and zinc
 143 levels in the study group and control group. There was no significant difference in the levels
 144 of zinc and magnesium in the two groups (p=0.278; p= 0.967). However, there was
 145 significant difference in the iron and chromium levels for the two groups (p=0.000; p=0.002).
 146 Table 5 shows the correlation of the measured minerals with the markers of GDM. The
 147 results show a weak and an inverse relationship between FBG and serum zinc level (r = -
 148 0.041, p=0.276). There was a weak and insignificant correlation with zinc. Magnesium also
 149 showed a weak and an inverse or negative relationship (r = -0.43, p= 0.967) with FBG. There
 150 was positive correlation between serum chromium and fasting blood glucose (r = 0.302, p=
 151 0.002). Serum iron also correlated positively with fasting blood glucose (r = 0.303, p =
 152 0.002).

153
 154

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of participants.

Subjects	GDM Group N (%)	Normal group N (%)	P-value
Age	30.98 ± 4.89	30.66 ± 5.14	0.682
Family history of GDM			
YES	7 (14.0)	1 (2.0)	0.059
NO	43 (86.0)	49 (98.0)	
Previous history of GDM			
YES	5 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	0.056
NO	45 (90.0)	50(100.0)	
Alcohol use			
YES	2 (4.0)	5 (10.0)	0.436
NO	48 (96.0)	45 (90.0)	
Tobacco use			
YES	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
NO	50 (100.0)	50 (100.0)	
SBP	121.3 ±18.6	122.0 ± 15.1	0.823
DBP	68.2±17.7	68.9 ± 13.8	0.816

155 *P-value is significant at p<0.05*

156 Table 2: Markers of GDM in the participants.

Classification	Women with GDM n (%)	Women without GDM n (%)	P-value
WC	109.0 ± 6.93	97.18 ± 5.13	0.001
OGTT			
1-hr	14.40 ± 2.26	8.98 ± 0.54	0.001
2-hr	12.51 ± 2.01	7.00 ± 0.67	
3-hr	10.42 ± 1.74	5.02 ± 0.61	
FBG	7.90 ± 1.8	4.39 ± 0.41	0.001

157

158 **Table 3 clinical characteristics of study participants**

Classification	Women with GDM n (%)	Women without GDM n (%)	P-value
Glucose			
Normal	15 (30)	50 (100)	0.001
Trace	1 (2)	0 (0)	
2+	19 (38)	0 (0)	
3+	9 (18)	0 (0)	
4+	6 (12)	0 (0)	
Protein			
Normal	40 (80)	50 (100)	0.001
Trace	6 (12)	0 (0)	
2+	3 (6)	0 (0)	
3+	1 (2)	0 (0)	

159

160 Table 4 Serum micronutrients of study the participants.

Macronutrients	GDM Group	Control Group	P-value
Chromium	0.0506	0.0124	0.002
Iron	0.956	0.635	0.001
Magnesium	1.979	1.974	0.967
Zinc	0.0515	0.0930	0.276

161

162 Table 5 Pearson correlation of the measured minerals and gestational diabetes

163

	Zinc	Magnesium	Chromium	Iron
FBS	-0.041	-0.043	.302**	.303**
P-value	0.276	0.967	0.002	0.001

164

165 ***-. correlation is significant at p<0.01 level (2-tailed) control variables: FBS fasting blood sugar, extent of diabetes*

166 **3.1 DISCUSSION**

167 Gestational diabetes is a very complex metabolic disorder that can be associated with
168 several factors. The causes of GDM are multifactorial which include environmental factors
169 affecting insulin sensitivity and genetic factors [8]
170

171 The mean age for pregnant women with gestational diabetes was 30.98 years while that of
172 the controls was 30.66 years. These findings were consistent with two previous studies [5,
173 8], which revealed higher mean ages for the people with diabetes compared to the controls.
174 Family and previous history of diabetes was high among the study group compared with the
175 control group (Table 1). Risk factors such as previous macrosomic neonates, family history
176 of GDM and previous medical history of GDM increase the rate of developing diabetes and
177 hence pregnant women with these factors should be screened early for gestational diabetes
178 mellitus [8]
179

180 A greater proportion of the study participants (60%) were mildly diabetic while 40% of them
181 had severe diabetes. All the participants used as controls recorded normal blood glucose
182 levels. These findings conform to the report of Anqiang *et al* [9] who reported increasing
183 OGTT values in pregnant women with GDM. The difference in the severity of diabetes may
184 be attributed to the different conditions such as age, BMI, previous history of diabetes and
185 many more confronting the individual pregnant women.
186

187 Chromium is a micronutrient that is required in small quantity in the body and plays a very
188 important role in human nutrition. Regulation of blood glucose levels is among the several
189 importance of chromium in the body. In this study, it was revealed that there was a
190 significant decrease in the levels of chromium in the pregnant women without GDM
191 ($p=0.002$). This was different from the findings of Ghosh *et al.* [11], which show that
192 chromium levels in non-diabetic Indians were higher compared to those with diabetes.
193 Scientist believe that chromium is a co-factor that assist insulin to get to the cell membrane
194 and aid in the transport of glucose in to the cell [12]. Long before now, scientist used to
195 believe that to achieve this, the body will have to convert chromium to glucose tolerance
196 factor which is a large complex. However current research has shown that there is no
197 glucose tolerance factor but chromium acts with a protein known as low molecular weight
198 chromium –binding substance to aid in the action of insulin. This means that chromium's role
199 is to assist insulin to bind to its receptors and its deficiency in pregnancy can result in
200 abnormal glucose tolerance and insulin resistance leading to GDM. The findings of this
201 current study may indicate that the test group had GDM not because of chromium deficiency
202 but as a result of other factors.
203

204 The study revealed that the serum iron level in pregnant women with GDM was significantly
205 higher than the pregnant women without GDM ($p=0.001$). These findings were in line with
206 the study conducted by Muhammad *et al.* [13] that showed that serum iron levels were
207 significantly higher in pregnant women with abnormal glucose tolerance when compared
208 with those with normal glucose tolerance. A study by Ford and Cogswell [14], has shown
209 that elevated iron stores are associated with high frequency of diabetes. Lao *et al.* [15], has
210 shown in an observational study consisting of 762 Chinese women without diabetes and with
211 singleton pregnancies, selected between 28 to 30 weeks, that the group with a higher
212 haemoglobin level had a significantly elevated incidence of gestational diabetes as well as
213 greater iron concentration. These findings confirm the observation of our study of higher iron
214 levels in the women with GDM than the control group. The increase in the iron levels in the
215 study may be attributed to iron supplementation during pregnancy or consumption of high
216 iron rich diets. Pearson correlation analysis for serum iron and fasting blood glucose
217 revealed a statistically significant positive relationship between serum iron and FBS ($r=$
218 $0.303, p<0.001$) which is consistent with the results of Sarker *et al.* [16]

219 This positive association shows that iron store may contribute to the development of
220 gestational diabetes mellitus by inducing oxidative stress on the pancreatic cell.

221

222 Magnesium is an important metal that is involved in several levels of insulin production, its
223 binding and activity. Magnesium is also a critical co-factor of several enzymes involved in
224 carbohydrate metabolism. In this study, there was no significant difference in the serum
225 magnesium levels for the pregnant women with and without diabetes ($p=0.967$). These
226 findings are similar to the finding of Walter et al. [17], which indicated no significant
227 difference in the plasma magnesium levels of people with diabetes and the control group.
228 Previous studies have shown low levels of magnesium in pregnant women with GDM than
229 control group [18, 19, 20]. In the current study, there was also a negative correlation
230 between the serum magnesium levels with fasting blood glucose ($r=-.043$, $p=0.967$). Karim
231 et al. [21] and Mishra et al. [22] also found a negative correlation between serum magnesium
232 levels and fasting blood glucose in diabetes. This association indicates the role magnesium
233 plays in the development and progression of diabetes.

234

235 This study showed a lower serum zinc levels in the study group compared with the control
236 group. These findings agree with that of Al-Marouf et al. [23] who reported lower levels of
237 serum zinc levels in GDM. The decreasing levels of zinc in diabetes may be associated with
238 hyperzincuria and also lower gestational absorption of zinc. Pearson correlation analysis
239 also revealed a statistically insignificant negative correlation between serum zinc and FBS
240 ($r=-0.41$, $p=0.276$).

241

242 4. CONCLUSION

243 Family history of diabetes, previous history of GDM, urine glucose, urine proteins and
244 alcohol usage were high in the study group compared to the control group. These factors are
245 therefore to be considered as risk factors to the development of gestational diabetes
246 mellitus. Relation between micronutrient including magnesium, zinc and gestational diabetes
247 were not significantly different in the two groups except iron and chromium. Iron level was
248 significantly high in the study group and correlate with the development of GDM. Many
249 reports have shown that chromium have beneficial properties for individuals with GDM.
250 However, results from this study conducted show lack of any favorable impact of chromium
251 on GDM.

252

253 REFERENCES

254

255 1. National Diabetes Service Schem. Gestational diabetes, caring for yourself and your
256 baby. Diabetes Australia. (2010); (1). 3-25.

257 2. Hamid HG, Parween AI, Nazk AM. Evaluation of serum chromium levels in patients
258 with type 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus and insulin resistance. Interenational Journal of
259 Basic and Applied Science (2012); (12). 69-72.

260 3. Scott WE, Vivian G, Amy EM, Shama J. Serum chromium and gestational diabetes.
261 J AM Board Med. (2007); (21):153-155.

262 4. Prasad D, Sheela P, Kumar A, Kumar N, Deedi M, Madhulatha D.. Iron levels
263 incresed in serum from gestational diabetes mellitus mothers in coastal area of
264 Andhra Pradesh. (2013)

265 5. Nehal EH, Noha SA, Nagwa ME. Magnesium in type 2 diabetes mellitus and its
266 correlation with glycemc control. International Journal of Research in Medical
267 Sciences. (2015); 3(8):1958-1963

- 268 6. American Diabetes Association. Gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care*.
269 (2004);27: s88-s89.
- 270 7. Zheng, G., Wang, L., Guo, Z., Sun, L., Wang, L., Wang, C. and Zuo, Z. Association
271 of Serum Heavy Metals and Trace Element Concentrations with Reproductive
272 Hormone Levels and Polycystic Ovary Syndrome in a Chinese Population. *Biol*
273 *Trace Elem Res.*, (2015); 167: 1. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-015-0294-7>
- 274 8. Farideh A, Seyyed BM, Omid R. a comparative study of relationship between
275 micronutrients and gestational diabetes.(2012); 2012, 2-3.
- 276 9. Anqiang Y, Jun Z, Minhua L, Ying G, Yunlong Z, Daozhen C, Jinyan F. Expression
277 of Hcpidin and Ferroportin in the Placenta, and Ferritin and Transferrin Receptor1
278 Level in maternal and umbilical cord blood in pregnant women without gestational
279 diabetes. *International Journal of Enviromental Research and Public Health*. (2016);
280 13(766): 2-16.
- 281 10. Monique MH, Assiamira F. High blood pressure before and during early pregnacyis
282 associated with an increased risk of gestational diabetes. *Diabetes Care*.(2008);
283 31(12): 2362-2367.
- 284 11. Ghosh D, Bhattacharya B, Mukherjee B, Manna B, Sinha M, Chowdhury J. Role of
285 chromium supplimentation in Indians with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Nutr Biochem*.
286 (2011); 13(11): 690-699.
- 287 12. Buthena A, Anwar TJ. Relationship between late pregnancy and serum chromium
288 concentration in patients with diabetes. *Journal of Al-Nahrain University*. (2007); 10:
289 25-29.
- 290 13. Mohammad A, Maryam R. Iron status in women with and without gestational
291 diabetes mellitus. *Journal of diabetes and its complication*. (2007);11(006): 194-198.
- 292 14. Ford S E, Cogswell EM. Diabetes and serum ferritin concentration among U S
293 adults. *Diabetes Care*. (1999); 22: 1975-1980.
- 294 15. Lao TT, Chan LY, Tam FK, Ho FL. Maternal hemoglobin and risk of gestational
295 diabetes mellitus in Chinese women. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. (2002); 804-810.
- 296 16. Sarker MR, Jebunnesa F, Khatun T, Helal R, Ali L, ARahim ATM. Role of maternal
297 Iron Status in the Pathogenesis Of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. (2011);40(3): 56-
298 58
- 299 17. Walter JR, Uriu-Hare J, Olin K, Oster M, Anawalt B, Critchfield W. Copper, zinc,
300 magnesium and manganese status and complications of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes*
301 *Care*.(1991); 14: 1050-1055.
- 302 18. Diwan A, Pradhan A, Lingojwar D, Krishna K, Singh P, Almelkar S. Serum zinc,
303 chromium and magnesium levels in type 2 diabetes. *Int J Diabet Dev*
304 *Countries*.(2006); 26(3): 121-124.
- 305 19. Tripathy S, Sumathi S, Raj G. Minerals nutritional status of type 2 diabetic subjects.
306 *Int J Diab Deve Countries*. (2004); 26-28.
- 307 20. Sharma A, Dabla S, Agrawal R, Barijatya H, Kothari R, Kochar D. Serum
308 magnesium: an early predictor of course complications of diabetes mellitus. *J Indian*
309 *Med Assoc*. (2007);105: 16-20.
- 310 21. Karim R, Begum A N, Subhan S, Uddin M. *Bangladesh J Medical Biochem*. (2014);
311 3-10.

- 312 22. Mishra S, Padmanaban P, Deepti G, Sarkar G, Sumathi S, Toora, B. Serum
313 magnesium and dyslipidemia in Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Biomedical
314 Research.(2012); 23(2): 290-299.
315
316 23. Al-Marroof A, Al-Sharbatti S. Serum zinc levels in diabetic patients and effect of zinc
317 supplementation on glyceic control of type 2 diabetes. Saudi Medical J. (2006);27:
318 340-350.