



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_38498
Title of the Manuscript:	Cardioprotective effect of enalapril on isoproterenol-induced myocardial infarction in rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In line 101-102, the animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation? Why? Did you aware about the animals' guideline in regards to sacrifice? What does it say?	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In line 99-100, "Normal saline was used as a vehicle" What did you mean? 2. In line 629-630, remove the statement that starts with, 'Other studies have...' 3. In line 434, what does superscript 11 indicates? 4. It is better if your figure 1 to 12 can be presented in table. 	
Optional/General comments	The work is very interesting and worth-sharing with the scientific community provided it could be appropriately revised. Thanks	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atere Adedeji D
Department, University & Country	Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Achievers University, Nigeria