



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_39780
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial activities of some medicinal plants used for treatment of infectious diseases in the Vina and Mayo-Louti Divisions of Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In abstract, result section is not clear. The percentages of the individual results were given as single combined values are given in general, which may not be appropriate. 2. Ethenopharmacological importance of the investigational plant bust is given in introduction section. 3. Percentage yield of each extract must be given. 4. Source of ATC microbial strain and cat log number may be given. 5. Details of statistical analysis may be given in separate heading. 6. Figure is not providing complete information, and has errors. Which need to be corrected. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Format errors must be avoided. 	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Parasuraman
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Pharmacy, AIMST University, Malaysia