



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_22901
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial activity of the rosewood (<i>Aniba rosaeodora</i> and <i>A. parviflora</i>) linalool-rich oils from the Amazon
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>-The study was conducted also with the aqueous extract of plants. The title of the manuscript does not show it. Suggest the authors think about it, that is, mention this also in the title;</p> <p>-About the key words suggest: Lauraceae, Amazon, GC-MS, microdilution, disc difusion, bacteria. the other terms already exist in the title of the manuscript;</p> <p>-line 62. Please correct: Is <i>A.parviflora</i> and not <i>A. fragrans</i>;</p> <p>-line 132. Why only to consider the next step, ie, MIC and MBC assays only with antimicrobial inhibitory zone from 8 mm?;</p> <p>-line 188: Please delete..... Based on the results, and ... start the paragraph: The <i>A. rosaeodora</i> and <i>A. parviflora</i> leaves essential oils showed significant antibacterial activity;</p> <p>-line 280. How important is it to report on the findings that the tested products can be used in combinations with other antimicrobial drugs if the authors did not perform studies to synergism? I suggest deleting this statement because is not consistent with the objectives of the study performed.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	A quick search using <i>Aniba rosaeodora</i> reveals existence of a significant number of studies with this plant because of its economic importance. However, when compared to other species of the genus, chemical analysis and also study with extract and essential oils, I consider suitable for publication after a few minor adjustments.	
----------------------------------	--	--

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ary Fernandes Junior
Department, University & Country	Departamento de Microbiologia e Imunologia, Instituto de Biociências de Botucatu, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Botucatu, SP, Brasil