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### Compulsory REVISION comments

The article is good efforts in domain of SDN, it lacks the novelty in terms of extension. The article is extension of previous researcher ideas which need to integrated novel idea to show the contribution in the knowledge pool.

There are numerous number of grammatical mistakes which need to be adjust such as:

1. In this section, we will give a general description to (Un professional scientific writing)
2. To exploit the main features of it like…..
3. Much more

The article need to include some mathematics as well to show the work has been mathematically evaluated.

The work has been proposed in general without any empirical findings.

Miss-out some useful citations.

1. Towards an Applicability of Current Network Forensics for Cloud Networks: A SWOT Analysis
2. Network forensics: Review, taxonomy, and open challenges
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