### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of *lack of Novelty*, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Reviewer’s comment</strong></th>
<th><strong>Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | End your introduction with a purpose statement; this is not stated anywhere except in your Abstract which is an overview of all else  

Formulation of flour blends, line 110-103- could use a first column to state Samples A, B, & C. It would be clearer. This could be put in table format is desire.  

Table 1; Colour and General accep. Have no significant difference, yet in your discussion line 199-200, and 203-205 you say there was. Need to update your table  

Table 2: Water absorption cap. Said in line 221 all are significant, yet have the same subscript for sample A 7 C. Please adjust.  

For all parameters of objective tests you give importance except for solubility. Why is it important to measure?  

Conclusion: line 233 you use the term whole wheat flour, I believe meaning you used all wheat flour. Whole wheat has different meaning to many (used all of the grain, not just the endosperm). The rest of the article indicates you used wheat flour, but not whole wheat. I would use and alternate term here. Otherwise you are contradicting yourselves.  

Methods Section: Include your Statistical analysis methods |
### What are your units for Bulk density? You give results for all other objective tests except this one in the written part—Lines 208-209. Why skip over this one?

**Minor REVISION comments**

- Line 56: What is your source for this information. You have told us for other facts, but not this one.
- Line 112-113: used the word properly twice in the same sentence and becomes an awkward sentence.
- Line 114-115: What was the actual thickness of the rolled out dough? Tell what shape (circles).
- Line 207: table 2 should be Capital letter.
- Line 220: states that all results were above average. What is average? 50? Specifying would provide clarity.
- Do you have close up pictures of your products? It is hard to distinguish any differences the Plates you have provided.

**Optional/General comments**

Good overall; nice to get results where the changed product is better than the original. All these items could easily be remedied.
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