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PART 1: Review Comments
**Reviewer's comment**

**Compulsory REVISION comments**

The paper seems to be modified to overcome the Plagiarism issues. Enclosed the whole paper which is screened through Plagiarism or similarity index detecting software. Authors need to address those issues.

Bibliography need to be re-written especially abbreviating the journal names at some places.
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