



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_32370
Title of the Manuscript:	Poor Responses to Patients' Deteriorating Physiological Parameters in Hospital: The Roles of Modified Early Warning Scoring System and Rapid Response System "A stitch in time saves nine"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Very interesting and useful research paper for healthcare of LMIC .</p> <p>I have some minor comments on this manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The patients age range was too much, 180-105. I would recommend to calculate by IQR , not mean age. 2. The same issue in MEWS score. I would recommend to calculate by IQR . I think , there are not 2.7 score of MEWS . 3. Don't write the numbers by word, and there are many discrepancy of writing type of numbers. For example: 136: two hundred and eighty nine (57%) . 153: Ninety four (26.2%) Then in 155:139(95.2%) etc. Please write only numbers . 	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Naranpurev Mendsaikhan</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Intensive Care Department, Intermed Hospital, Mongolia</i>