



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_32358
Title of the Manuscript:	HONEY: NATURE'S WONDER OF HEALING
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Was there no difference on patient background such as basic disease, BMI, frequency of DM, age distribution, diameter or depth of ulcer, and pretreatment condition of ulcer or nutritional status between 2Groups? These data should be described as table.</p> <p>2. Was there no difference on patient condition during treatment such as steroid use, calorie and protein intake, age distribution between 2Groups? These data should be described as table.</p> <p>3. How many people make judgement of ulcer healing? Was there clear criteria of judgement; for example, how to distinguish "reduced" from "No change"? These criteria should be clearly indicated.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Yu Koyama</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Niigata University Graduate School of Health Sciences, Japan</i>