



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_32003
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence, Isolation and Detection of Virulent Gene in Escherichia coli From Duck
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	It is a good work , well designed ,but failed in the description of the results and the further discussion. In page 3, from Lines 118 to 15t, the authors repeat the same procedures described in Materials and methods. It is mandatory to clarify this two points(Materials and methods and Results and discussion) in order to avoid misinterpretation and/or confusion of the data. The discussion can be focussed in the findings in the author`s finding or the comparison with another researches in the same field . It is not clear the prevalence and their significance.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juan Carlos Troiano
Department, University & Country	School of Veterinary Medicine,University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina