



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_31446
Title of the Manuscript:	Association of Major Depression with Serum Prolidase activity and Oxidative Stress
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:
(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I agree with methods used. The number of patients included is sufficient. May be some more explanation on the election criteria of the control group must be added (ex where are they found, are they members of the staff, students, familiars etc). The explanation of the laboratory methods must be shorter. There are a lot of unneeded details.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jera Kruja
Department, University & Country	Neurology, University of Medicine, Tirana, Albania