



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_32370
Title of the Manuscript:	Poor Responses to Patients' Deteriorating Physiological Parameters in Hospital: The Roles of Modified Early Warning Scoring System and Rapid Response System "A stitch in time saves nine"
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are a lot of inconsistencies between title, aim, results, and conclusions. Details are provided on manuscript 2. Work needs professional proof reading; detail comments provided on article 3. Organisation of manuscript should be improved 4. Concept of paper important but there are a lot of inconsistencies in work. 	<p>The title rephrased and other suggestion adjusted as highlighted in the manuscript Other comment noted and adjusted</p> <p>Under the introduction the authors stated that abnormal vital sign value were often documented without appropriate responses (line 49 – 54) authors did not mean "recognition" as highlighted in reviewer's comment, as failure of recognition of implication of these abnormality is what really prompted the authors to carry out this study.</p>