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### Compulsory REVISION comments

**Topic:** The topic emphasises the importance of POD in day case elderly urologic patients. The English language structure is poor. The entire manuscript will require complete editing of the language structure and context.

**Abstract:** This was poorly structured. Delirium is NOT a frequent postoperative disorder in elderly patients for day case surgeries if appropriate drugs are used. (propofol/fentanyl/vercuronium or regional).

**Introduction:** The introduction failed to justify the aim of the study.

**Methods:** There was little or no statistical input here. How was the sample size determined? How were the patients randomized? Was there any form of blinding?

**Results:** What was the total number of males in 2012? Table 2 is incomplete in its first row.

Line 103 has Fig 16 which does not appear in the manuscript. Fig 17 is also mentioned in line 111.

### Optional/General comments

The references are not in line with journal format.

The references are too old.