



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b><u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u></b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJMMR_22385</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Outcome of Adapalene and Isotretinoin in the treatment of acne vulgaris</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> ( <i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i> )
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The authors did not specify the randomization process.</b></li> <li>2. <b>There is no description regarding the observers and its reliability</b></li> <li>3. <b>The definition of the severity of acne was not described.</b></li> <li>4. <b>Method of analysis (photographic? Severity score? ) and the degree of improvement is not defined clearly.</b></li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The many grammatical errors should be corrected.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	There is a lack of detailed description and definition in the study design, methodology, and statistical analysis.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shin Kong Wu Hou-Su Memorial Hospital, Taiwan</b>