



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_22292
Title of the Manuscript:	Outcome of surgically treated traumatic extradural hematoma
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Study period with significant duration gap and no explanation provided.</p> <p>Inclusion and exclusion criteria could be better construct and organize.</p> <p>the correlation of GCS and outcome is well published</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Association of Outcome of Traumatic Extradural Hematoma with Glasgow Coma Scale and hematoma size Mushtaq et al. Ann. Pak. Inst. Med. Sci. 2010; 6(3): 133-138 2. Outcome of traumatic extradural haematoma in Hong Kong, Cheung PSY et al, Injury, Int. J. Care Injured (2007) 38, 76—80 3. Outcome in patients with blunt head trauma and a Glasgow Coma Scale score of 3 at presentation. R. B. Chamounet al . J Neurosurg 2009 oct, 111(4) 683-687 <p>Ethical issue: May be, line 68 (Cranial computerised tomography (CT) scan was done for those who could afford it besides) controversial</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia