



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_22292
Title of the Manuscript:	Outcome of surgically treated traumatic extradural hematoma
Type of Article	Original Research paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The authors have done a very good job of revision. The quality of the text is significantly improved. The recruitment procedures are now better detailed, as well as criteria for inclusion and exclusion. In this new version, there is coherence between the objective and the statistics reported. The discussion has been re-written so that the findings are now better integrated. Both similarities and differences of the results have been presented in the context of previous research findings.</p> <p>However, I still think that the background needs to be expanded. A comprehensive integration of previous TBI outcome studies should be presented in the introduction. There is a wealth of literature on variables affecting outcome following TBI, such as age, GCS score, medical variables, surgical intervention, and type of TBI.</p> <p>Minor comment</p> <p>Abstract Please provide the statistic regarding the effect of age as a predictive outcome variable. "The favorable functional outcome from our study (83.72%) was within the current range in the world. Level of consciousness prior to surgery <u>and age</u> significantly affected outcome"</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elaine de Guise
Department, University & Country	Dep. Psychology, University of Montreal, Canada