



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_28318
Title of the Manuscript:	Recognition of Human actions Based on Temporal Motion Templates
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Not applicable	
Minor REVISION comments	Not applicable	
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall, the paper suggests a fuzzy and NB classifier based approach for recognition of human actions. It's a good approach and includes novelty, as fuzzy and NB are used. The paper is well written and organized. However the following questions shall be answered:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why the author has chosen Gaussian membership function? 2. The authors' claim that they have used their own data set. Have they tested with standard data base? If yes, few results can be presented. 	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>G. Muruganath</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Ahalia School of Engineering and Technology, Kerala, India</i>