



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_22559
Title of the Manuscript:	Safety Design of Plasma Experiment and Generation System
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	NIL	
Minor REVISION comments	Last line in the conclusion '<specify.....>' to be removed.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The real time safety verification algorithm, in a preliminary stage for plasma experiment, which is the concept of this manuscript and it must be encouraged.</p> <p>The outline of this manuscript tries to answer the difficulty in construction of future energy reactor, and is quite interesting to know the implementation of automatic shut down for fault functioning.</p> <p>This manuscript is written in nice manner, and I recommend this manuscript for publication.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Santhosh Kumar
Department, University & Country	Department of Physics, Pondicherry University, India