



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_34410
Title of the Manuscript:	Measurement of Cellulolytic Potential of Cellulase Producing Bacteria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Redo the spelling check on this document as there are numerous times there is no separation between intended words.</p> <p>On the tables, centre the words and numbers both vertically and horizontally within each cell. This will make the paper appear more professional.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The reader is at a loss as to how this work leads to the production of biodiesel. Some discussion in this area would lend itself to the credibility of the concept. This would move it beyond just another academic paper. It would also open the door to potential funding to expand the work.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Edward Calt</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>USA</i>