



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Journal Name:	<u>Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_34769
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel Iterative Methods for the Solution of Systems of Linear Equations
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I prefer to number all equations. 2. Page 2, line 15, the following sentence is incomplete: (1) That the system given by ??? has a unique solution and ??? 3. Page 5, line 12, replace " right hand side of (3.3) " with " right hand side of (3) " 4. Page 11, line 2, there is an error. 5. Explain the originality of your work in relation to the work already done. 6. In the numerical experiments, add comments for Tables 1 and 2. 7. All references must be mentioned in the text. 8. You must write the references in the same form. 9. Add more references that are recent. 10. The paper is not in the right format. 11. Check all sentences and grammatical error. 	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>El Akkad Abdeslam</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Morocco</i>