

# Original Research Article

## Effect of cotton seed meal on the performance traits and meat composition in commercial broilers

### ABSTRACT (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED, CAPS)

**Aims:** To evaluate the effect of different levels of cotton seed meal (CSM) on performance traits and meat composition in commercial broilers.

**Place and Duration of the study:** The experiment was carried out at Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Salna, Gazipur during the period from 26 February, 2018 to 10 April, 2018.

**Study design and methodology:** Two hundred fifty two Cobb-500 day-old broiler chicks with good health were randomly allotted to six dietary treatments in three replications with fourteen birds per replication in a complete randomized design for 35 days period. The dietary treatments were: T0, soyabean meal based diet; T1, 10% CSM protein with 90% soyabean meal protein; T2, 20% CSM protein with 80% soyabean meal protein; T3, 30% CSM protein with 70% soyabean meal protein; T4, 40% CSM protein with 60% soyabean meal protein and T5, 50% CSM protein with 50% soyabean meal protein. The mash feed was supplied *ad libitum* basis.

**Results:** Average feed intake (g/d) was increased ( $P=0.001$ ) in higher amount of CSM group. Dressing percentage was tended to higher ( $P = 0.089$ ) in T0 and lower value was showed in T5. CP content of breast meat significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) affected among the treatments. The highest CP content was observed in T5 (22.57%) and lowest CP content was in T1 (21.12%). CF content was significantly increased ( $P < 0.01$ ) in the diet contained higher amount of CSM (0.35%, 0.32%, 0.31%, 0.22%, 0.13% for T5, T4, T3, T2 and T1; respectively) and the lowest CF was observed for T0 (0.11%). EE of breast muscle was also significantly increased ( $P < 0.01$ ) in the diet contained higher amount of CSM (1.27%, 1.15%, 1.12%, 1.09%, 1.05% for T5, T4, T3, T2 and T1; respectively) and lower EE was observed in T0 group (1.01%). Ash content was higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) in T0 (1.49%), T2 (1.48%) and T3 (1.45%) group compare to others. The second higher value was observed for T1 (1.4%) diet and the lowest ash content was observed in T4 (1.25%) and T5 (1.32%).

**Conclusion:** It can be concluded that CSM would be a substitute of soyabean meal in broiler ration and up to 40% CSM protein can be incorporated in broiler chicken diet without any adverse effects.

**Keywords:** Cotton seed meal; soyabean meal; broiler; carcass weight; dressing percentage; breast muscle.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Broilers play an important role in human nutrition, national income, employment and income generation in Bangladesh. As an important sub sector of livestock production, the poultry industry in Bangladesh plays a vital role in economic growth and simultaneously creates numerous employment opportunities. Poultry industry is a fundamental part of animal

22 production, is committed to the nation for supplying a cheap source of good quality nutritious  
23 animal protein in terms of meat and eggs [1]. It was recorded that poultry meat alone  
24 contributes 37% of the total meat production in Bangladesh [2]. Poultry contributes about 22-  
25 27% of the total animal protein supply in the country. So, to cope with market demand for  
26 animal meat protein, modern broilers are reaching market age sooner each year. Therefore,  
27 advances in nutrition will be the fundamental for securing this rapid growth achievement and  
28 maintaining sustainable broiler production. Soyabean meal (SBM) is generally recognizes as  
29 an effective and high-quality vegetable protein feed-stuff [3, 4]. Recently in Bangladesh, high  
30 demand of soyabean meal has been observed but its availability is not sufficient round the  
31 year and the prices are also higher in off-season. Therefore, it is very important to improve  
32 the scientific knowledge for utilizing low cost locally available agro-industrial by-products in  
33 broiler feed in order to reduce the feed cost and to substitute as an effective protein source.  
34 Cottonseed meal (CSM) is one of them. Cotton seed meal (CSM) is a by-product of cotton  
35 seed that is used for animal feeding because it is rich in oil and protein [5]. CSM is a fairly  
36 good source of protein (222.0 to 560.2 g per kg); [6, 7] and metabolizable energy (7.4 to  
37 11.99MJ per kg); [7]. Another researcher reported that cottonseed cake has been used as a  
38 cheaper alternative to soybean cake in livestock feeding and a good source of dietary  
39 protein [8]. So, CSM is very useful in livestock feeding in the cotton growing areas. Although  
40 CSM is an inexpensive source of protein with high protein content [9], it's nutrient  
41 bioavailability in poultry diets is low due to the presence of anti-nutritional factors, such as  
42 free gossypol, Cycloproponic fatty acids and crude fibre [10], which may cause negative  
43 effects on growth, reproductive performance and organ abnormalities [9, 11]. But cottonseed  
44 products offer a safe alternative feed when fed at recommended levels [12, 13, 14]. If  
45 carefully incorporated, cotton seed meal can reduce feed costs while maintaining or  
46 increasing the level of bird's performance. Besides, there is a very few research on CSM in  
47 broiler diets. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of different levels  
48 of cotton seed meal on performance traits and meat composition in commercial broilers.

## 50 **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

### 51 **2.1 Animal, experimental design and management**

52 The experiment was carried out at Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural  
53 University Poultry Farm, Salna, Gazipur, Bangladesh. Two hundred fifty two (252) good and  
54 healthy day-oldCobb-500 broiler chicks were weighed and randomly allocated to six dietary  
55 treatments replicated three times with fourteen birds per replicate in a Complete  
56 Randomized Design (CRD).The dietary treatments were, T0, soyabean meal based diet; T1,  
57 10% CSM protein with 90% soyabean meal protein; T2, 20% CSM protein with 80%  
58 soyabean meal protein; T3, 30% CSM protein with 70% soyabean meal protein; T4, 40%  
59 CSM protein with 60% soyabean meal protein and T5, 50% CSM protein with 50% soyabean  
60 meal protein. A strict bio-security program was maintained inside and outside of the research  
61 shed. The birds were vaccinated against Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD) and Newcastle  
62 Disease (ND). The management practices were identical for all dietary groups. Electric light  
63 was provided for 24 hours and the brooding temperature was almost maintained at  $33\pm 2$  °C  
64 for first week. In course of the trial, the temperature was gradually reduced to  $25\pm 2$  °C at the  
65 end of the experiment. Fresh and dried saw dust was used at a depth of about 3 cm for  
66 bedding material. The birds were critically observed twice a day for clinical sign if any (slow  
67 movement, infrequent sitting, lack of appetite, significant changes of feathering, paralysis  
68 etc.) and for monitoring other activities. Feeder was cleaned in each week and waterer was  
69 washed twice daily.

### 71 **2.2 Preparation of experimental diet and feeding**

72 The experimental diets were formulated by replacing soyabean meal with CSM according to  
73 the [15] recommendation in the three phases namely starter (1 to 14 days), grower (15 to 28

74 days) and finisher (29 to 35 days). All feed ingredients were weighed separately and  
75 soyabean oil was incorporated into soyabean meal first and then mixed thoroughly with other  
76 macro ingredients. Micro ingredients were mixed thoroughly with the ground maize and then  
77 mixed with the other macro ingredients. Diet for each treatment was prepared properly as  
78 per recommendation. The ingredients and nutritional composition of different diets (starter,  
79 grower and finisher) are presented in Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3; respectively. All diets  
80 were free from antibiotics. The broiler mash feed was supplied three times daily on an ad  
81 libitum basis. Fresh clean and safe water was made available at all the times.

### 82 **2.3 Slaughtering and sample collection of broilers**

83 After 35th day of the experiment, three (3) birds from each replicate were randomly selected  
84 from each pen and each broiler chicken was weighed. Birds were sacrificed and hanged until  
85 complete bleeding. After complete bleeding the birds feathers were removed by hand and  
86 pinning was done manually. Viscera and giblet were removed from the carcass. Legs, head,  
87 neck and shank were separated from the body parts. Live bird, slaughtered bird (after  
88 complete bleeding), skin, viscera, giblet, legs, head, neck, shank and carcass were weighed  
89 individually. Breast muscles were collected randomly from each replicate.

### 91 **2.4 Calculation**

92 The feed intake of each replication was determined by subtracting the amount of left over  
93 from the amount of supplied feed on the previous day. Live weight of each bird was recorded  
94 as the average weight of all birds of each replicate. Carcass weight and dressing percent  
95 were calculated accordingly by considering the live weight of broilers for each replication.

### 96 **2.5 Chemical analysis**

97 Samples of breast meat were analyzed to determine dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP),  
98 ether extract (EE), crude fibre (CF), nitrogen free extract (NFE) and total ash were  
99 determined according to the methods of Association of Official Analytical Chemists [16].

### 100 **2.6 Statistical Analysis**

101 The data were analyzed by using the statistical program (SPSS 16.0) to compute analysis of  
102 variance (ANOVA) for a completely randomized design (CRD) and Duncan's multiple range  
103 test (DMRT) was done to differentiate among the treatment means at 5% level of significant.

104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121 **Table 1: Ingredients composition and nutrient content of broiler starter diet**

Items	Treatments					
	T0	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
<b>Ingredients (Required amount per 100 kg) , % as fed basis</b>						
Corn	54.73	51.38	47.88	44.28	40.53	36.35

Cotton seed meal	0	5.13	10.5	16.04	21.75	28.19
Soyabean meal	29	26.7	24.29	21.8	19.25	16.34
Soyabean oil	1.25	1.77	2.31	2.86	3.45	4.1
Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles (DDGs)	6	6	6	6	6	6
Protein concentrate	6	6	6	6	6	6
Lime stone	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
Di calcium phosphate	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
<sup>a</sup> Vitamin–Mineral Premix	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Threonine	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
L- Lysine	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
DL-Methionine	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Salt	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Enzyme	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
Phytase	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Anti-Oxidant	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02

**Nutrient composition (Calculated value)**

ME (Kcal/Kg)	2951.08	2951.17	2951.04	2950.54	2951.17	2951.31
Crude Protein (%)	23.02	23.02	23.02	23.02	23.03	23.02
Linoleic acid (%)	1.15	1.08	1.00	0.93	0.84	0.75
Ca (%)	1.12	1.13	1.14	1.15	1.15	1.16
P (Total) (%)	0.68	0.69	0.70	0.70	0.71	0.72
P(non-phy) (%)	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.46	0.46	0.46
Na (%)	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14
Cl (%)	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.20
K (%)	1.76	1.69	1.62	1.54	1.46	1.36
Lysine (%)	1.24	1.22	1.21	1.20	1.18	1.17
Methionine (%)	0.64	0.64	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65
Cystine (%)	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32
Methionine +cystine (%)	0.96	0.96	0.96	0.97	0.97	0.97
Threonine (%)	0.72	0.72	0.71	0.71	0.70	0.69
Tryptophan (%)	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26
Feed cost/kg (Tk)	37.61	37.45	37.27	37.09	36.92	36.71

123 *a*Vitamin –Mineral Premix provided the following per kilo gram of diet: Vitamin A, 5.0 MU; Vitamin D,  
124 1.0 MU; Vitamin E, 10.0 g; Vitamin K, 1.6 g; Vitamin B1, 0.6 g; Vitamin B2, 2.0 g; Vitamin B6, 1.6 g;  
125 Vitamin B12, 4.0 mg; Biotin, 20.0 mg; Pantothenic Acid, 4.0 g; Folic Acid, 0.2 g; Nicotinic Acid, 12.0 g;  
126 Copper, 2.4 g; Iron, 9.6 g; Zinc, 160 g; Manganese, 19.2g; Selenium, 0.05 g; Cobalt, 0.12 g; Iodine,  
127 0.24 g

128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136

**Table 2: Ingredients composition and nutrient content of broiler grower diet**

Items	Treatment					
	T0	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
<b>Ingredients (Required amount per 100 kg) , % as fed basis</b>						
Corn	54.48	51.14	47.64	44.05	40.29	36.11
Cotton seed meal	0	5.13	10.5	16.03	21.78	28.19
Soyabean meal	29.01	26.7	24.29	21.8	19.22	16.34

Soyabean oil	3.8	4.32	4.86	5.41	6	6.65
Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles (DDGs)	6	6	6	6	6	6
Protein concentrate	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
Lime stone	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4
Di calcium phosphate	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
<sup>a</sup> Vitamin –Mineral Premix	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Threonine	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
L- Lysine	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
DL-Methionine	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Salt	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Enzyme	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
Phytase	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Anti-Oxidant	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015

**Nutrient composition (Calculated value)**

ME (Kcal/Kg)	3101.42	3101.59	3101.46	3101.05	3101.56	3101.73
Crude Protein (%)	21.55	21.55	21.55	21.55	21.55	21.55
Linoleic acid (%)	1.15	1.07	1.00	0.92	0.84	0.75
Ca (%)	0.98	0.98	0.99	1.00	1.01	1.01
P (Total) (%)	0.61	0.61	0.62	0.63	0.64	0.64
P(non-phy) (%)	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.38
Na (%)	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14
Cl (%)	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.20
K (%)	1.76	1.69	1.61	1.54	1.45	1.36
Lysine (%)	1.15	1.13	1.12	1.11	1.09	1.08
Methionine (%)	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.61	0.61
Cystine (%)	0.28	0.28	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29
Met+cys (%)	0.88	0.88	0.89	0.89	0.90	0.90
Threonine (%)	0.72	0.72	0.71	0.71	0.70	0.69
Tryptophan (%)	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.25
Feed cost/kg (Tk)	37.17	37.01	36.83	36.65	36.47	36.27

137 <sup>a</sup>Vitamin –Mineral Premix provided the following per kilo gram of diet: Vitamin A, 5.0 MU; Vitamin D,  
138 1.0 MU; Vitamin E, 10.0 g; Vitamin K, 1.6 g; Vitamin B1, 0.6 g; Vitamin B2, 2.0 g; Vitamin B6, 1.6 g;  
139 Vitamin B12, 4.0 mg; Biotin, 20.0 mg; Pantothenic Acid, 4.0 g; Folic Acid, 0.2 g; Nicotinic Acid, 12.0 g;  
140 Copper, 2.4 g; Iron, 9.6 g; Zinc, 160 g; Manganese, 19.2g; Selenium, 0.05 g; Cobalt, 0.12 g; Iodine,  
141 0.24 g  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149

**Table 3: Ingredients composition and nutrient content of broiler finisher diet**

Items	Treatment					
	T0	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
<b>Ingredients (Required amount per 100 kg) , % as fed basis</b>						
Corn	64.08	62.32	60.7	58.62	56.67	54.44
Cotton seed meal	0	2.7	5.2	8.4	11.4	14.8
Soyabean meal	16.01	14.8	13.67	12.23	10.88	9.36
Soyabean oil	2.5	2.77	3.02	3.34	3.64	3.99
Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles (DDGs)	5	5	5	5	5	5
Protein concentrate	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5

Lime stone	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
Di calcium phosphate	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
<sup>a</sup> Vitamin-Mineral Premix	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Threonine	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
L- Lysine	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
DL-Methionine	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Salt	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Enzyme	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04
Phytase	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Anti-Oxidant	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015

**Nutrient composition (Calculated value)**

ME (Kcal/Kg)	3121.64	3121.48	3121.41	3121.27	3121.13	3121.45
C.Protein (%)	20.05	20.05	20.05	20.05	20.05	20.05
Linoleic acid (%)	1.28	1.24	1.20	1.16	1.12	1.07
Ca (%)	1.28	1.28	1.28	1.29	1.29	1.30
P (Total) (%)	0.73	0.73	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.75
P(non-phy) (%)	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.55
Na (%)	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Cl (%)	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21
K (%)	1.46	1.42	1.39	1.34	1.30	1.25
Lysine (%)	1.03	1.03	1.02	1.01	1.01	1.00
Methionine (%)	0.64	0.64	0.64	0.65	0.65	0.65
Cystine (%)	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.29
Met+cys (%)	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.93	0.94	0.94
Threonine (%)	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.52	0.52	0.52
Tryptophan (%)	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.20
Feed cost/kg (Tk)	39.33	39.24	39.16	39.05	38.95	38.85

<sup>a</sup>Vitamin –Mineral Premix provided the following per kilo gram of diet: Vitamin A, 5.0 MU; Vitamin D, 1.0 MU; Vitamin E, 10.0 g; Vitamin K, 1.6 g; Vitamin B1, 0.6 g; Vitamin B2, 2.0 g; Vitamin B6, 1.6 g; Vitamin B12, 4.0 mg; Biotin, 20.0 mg; Pantothenic Acid, 4.0 g; Folic Acid, 0.2 g; Nicotinic Acid, 12.0 g; Copper, 2.4 g; Iron, 9.6 g; Zinc, 160 g; Manganese, 19.2g; Selenium, 0.05 g; Cobalt, 0.12 g; Iodine, 0.24 g

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Performance traits

Performance traits of broilers fed different experimental diets are presented in Table 4. Average feed intake was significantly higher ( $P < 0.01$ ) in the diets containing higher amount of CSM. This result is consistent with the observation of other researchers [17, 8] who reported that CSM influence higher feed intake and at moderate incorporation levels, feed intake can be increased, which impairs feed efficiency [18]. In this study, there was no significant difference ( $P > 0.05$ ) for average live weight gain when broilers fed different levels of CSM, which were also consistent with previous studies [10, 19, 9]. Although, the birds fed on diet T2, T3 and T4 had their weights numerically tended to improved, but the birds with diet T2 showed superiority in weights over other diets. These results showed consonance with earlier researcher report [17], who concluded that feeding cotton seed cake up to 50% had no significant effect on performance of broiler chickens. Supplementation of lysine can help to alleviate the negative effects of cottonseed meal [20, 21, 22]. Decreased efficiency of CSM utilization was also observed when the level of CSM was increased in the diet [23, 24]. However, another research [25] disagreed with the previous results on live weight and feed conversion ratio and reported that no adverse effect of CSM at the level of 30%. In this study the results was also fully agreed with the findings of [25]. Live weight and carcass weight did not show any significant difference among the treatments. But dressing percentage was

176 tended to significant ( $P = 0.089$ ) among the treatments. The higher value was observed in  
 177 control (0% CSM) group and the lower value was for T5 group where broilers received 50%  
 178 CSM protein. However, after receiving of CSM diet (up to 15%) dressing percentage value  
 179 were (64.8 to 66.8%) [14], which was more or less similar to the present observations. No  
 180 significant difference was observed in feed cost per kg live weight gain. However, some  
 181 research [17, 26] reported that feed cost was numerically decreased with increasing levels of  
 182 CSM in the diet. In this work also similar trend was observed because CSM is relatively  
 183 cheaper compared to soyabean meal in the market. But higher percent of CSM level  
 184 influence the higher amount of feed intake. According as, cost for per kg live weight gain was  
 185 similar to all diets. The substitution of soyabean meal with CSM might have lowered the  
 186 actual energy content [27] and digestible lysine content [28, 29, 14] of the diets. But in this  
 187 study, 100g L-lysine was added to all of the diets which did not prove beneficial in  
 188 counteracting the negative effect of gossypol in broilers because average growth rate was  
 189 similar in all of the treatments.

190  
 191  
 192  
 193

**Table 4. Performance traits of broilers fed different experimental diets**

Parameters	Dietary treatment						SEM	P-value
	T0	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5		
Average feed intake (g)	91.99 <sup>a</sup>	91.46 <sup>a</sup>	93.37 <sup>b</sup>	94.65 <sup>b</sup>	94.16 <sup>b</sup>	93.51 <sup>b</sup>	1.24	0.001
Average live weight gain (g/d)	48.59	48.61	50.45	48.99	48.85	48.17	0.79	0.616
Carcass traits								
Live weight (g)	1876.22	1950.67	1851.00	1896.00	1916.11	1830.89	15.39	0.737
Carcass weight (g)	1259.84	1304.22	1223.12	1222.76	1250.56	1179.47	13.19	0.525
Dressing percentage (%)	67.12 <sup>b</sup>	66.74 <sup>ab</sup>	66.03 <sup>ab</sup>	64.41 <sup>a</sup>	65.18 <sup>ab</sup>	64.43 <sup>a</sup>	0.49	0.089
Feed cost/kg live weight gain (BDT)	72.29	71.46	69.91	72.75	72.21	72.51	0.450	0.698

194  
 195

### 3.2 Nutrient composition of meat

196 Nutrient compositions of breast meat of broilers of different treatments are shown in the  
 197 Table 5. No significant difference was found for the DM content of broilers breast meat  
 198 ranged due to the treatments. CP content of breast meat was significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) differed  
 199 among the treatments. The highest CP content was observed in T5 and the lowest CP  
 200 content was in T1. Second lowest value was showed by T4. However, T0, T2 and T3 did not  
 201 show significant difference among them. Little information is available about the effects of  
 202 CSM on the meat compositions of broiler chickens. It was reported that the CP content of  
 203 breast muscle was 22.57 to 23.08 for day 42 and day 52 Cobb broiler chickens [30] and  
 204 19.7±1.88 for day 45 Cobb broiler chickens [31]. In this study, the observation was made for  
 205 35 days old Cobb broiler chickens and the similar value was also found. Higher level of CSM  
 206 influenced the higher fibre content in breast meat. The CF content of breast muscle was  
 207 significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) higher in T5 diet and significantly lower value was observed in T0 and  
 208 T1 diets. The CF content of breast muscle was increased with increasing the CSM in diets.  
 209 Higher amount of CSM may influence the higher amount of CF in breast muscle. Cotton  
 210 seed meal contained higher amount of EE compared to soyabean meal which may  
 211 influenced ( $P < 0.01$ ) the higher intramuscular EE content of breast muscle in higher CSM  
 212 receiving groups (T5) compared to small amount of CSM contained diets receiving group  
 213 (T1) and the lower EE value was observed for control group (T0). The increased EE in  
 214 breast muscle were observed when broiler fed higher percentage of CSM containing diets,  
 215 which might be attributed to the enhanced anabolism of intramuscular fat [9]. However,  
 216

217 others observed that the EE content of breast muscle was 2.22% to 2.55% [30] and 3.6±0.39  
 218 [31] which value was higher compared to this research. Ash content was higher (P < 0.05) in  
 219 T0, T2 and T3 diets compare to the other treatment diets. But T0, T2 and T3 diets did not  
 220 show any significant difference among the diets. The second higher value was observed for  
 221 T1 diet but T0, T1, T2 and T3 did not showed any significant difference among the  
 222 treatments. However, the lowest ash content was observed in T4 but T4 and T5 did not differ  
 223 significantly between the diets for the ash content of breast muscle. This observation was  
 224 more or less similar (1.13% to 1.17% and 1.4±0.14) with the result that was reported by  
 225 others [30, 31] for meat composition of Cobb broilers. Mortality (%) was only 0.5% and no  
 226 health problems were detected, need for prolonged feeding trial to assess safety and  
 227 productivity of the use of CSM is clear warranted.  
 228

229 **Table 5: Nutrient composition of breast meat for different experimental diets**  
 230

Parameters	Dietary treatment						SEM	P-value
	T0	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5		
DM%	24.82	23.78	25.09	25.25	24.41	25.59	1.00	0.688
<i>Nutrient composition (% , DM basis)</i>								
CP%	22.11 <sup>c</sup>	21.12 <sup>a</sup>	22.22 <sup>c</sup>	22.18 <sup>c</sup>	21.61 <sup>b</sup>	22.57 <sup>d</sup>	0.84	0.000
CF%	0.11 <sup>a</sup>	0.13 <sup>a</sup>	0.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.31 <sup>c</sup>	0.32 <sup>cd</sup>	0.35 <sup>d</sup>	0.10	0.000
EE%	1.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.05 <sup>ab</sup>	1.09 <sup>abc</sup>	1.12 <sup>bc</sup>	1.15 <sup>c</sup>	1.27 <sup>d</sup>	0.10	0.001
ASh%	1.49 <sup>c</sup>	1.4 <sup>bc</sup>	1.48 <sup>c</sup>	1.45 <sup>c</sup>	1.25 <sup>a</sup>	1.32 <sup>ab</sup>	0.10	0.002

231

232

#### 233 4. CONCLUSION

234

235 From the results of this study, it can be concluded that CSM would be a substitute of  
 236 soyabean meal in broiler ration and up to 40% CSM protein can be incorporated in broiler  
 237 chicken diet without any adverse effects.  
 238

239

240

241

242

243

#### 244 REFERENCES

245

- 246 1. Akter A, Uddin S. Bangladesh Poultry Industry. Journal of Business and Technology  
 247 (Dhaka). 2009; 4(2): 97-112.
- 248 2. DLS. Bangladesh delta plan 2100 formulation project (Livestock). General economics  
 249 division planning commission Government of Bangladesh. 2015.
- 250 3. Azarm HM, Lee SM. Effect of partial substitution of dietary fish meal by fermented  
 251 soyabean meal on growth performance, amino acid and biochemical parameters of  
 252 juvenile black sea bream acanthopagrus schlegeli. Aquaculture Research. 2014; 45:  
 253 994-1003.
- 254 4. Kim S W. Identification of a second major antigenic epitope in the  $\alpha$ -subunit of soy  $\alpha$ -  
 255 conglycinin. Food and Agricultural Immunology. 2014; 25: 311-321.
- 256 5. Gadelha ICN, Fonseca NBS, Oloris SCS, Melo MM, Soto-Blanco B. Gossypol toxicity  
 257 from cottonseed products. The Scientific World Journal. 2014, 231635.
- 258 6. Anonymous. Cottonseed meal. Pakistan Poultry. 1996; 7.
- 259 7. Nagalakshmi, D, Rao SVR, Panda AK, Sastry VRB. Cottonseed meal in poultry diets: a  
 260 review. Poultry Science. 2007; 44: 119-134.



- 261 8. Adeymo GO, Longe OG. Effects of graded levels of cottonseed cake on performance,  
262 haematological and carcass characteristics of broiler fed from day old to 8 weeks of age.  
263 African Journal of Biotechnology. 2007; 6(8): 1064-1071.
- 264 9. Nie C, Zhang W, Wenxia GE, Wang Y, Liu Y, Liu J. Effect of fermented cotton seed meal  
265 on growth performance, apparent digestibility, carcass traits and meat composition in  
266 yellow-feathered broilers. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Science. 2015; 39:  
267 350-356.
- 268 10. Tang JW, Sun H, Yao XH, Wu YF, Wang X, Feng J. Effects of replacement of soybean  
269 meal by fermented cottonseed meal on growth performance, serum biochemical  
270 parameters and immune function of yellow-feathered broilers. Asian-Australasian  
271 Journal of Animal Sciences. 2012; 25(3): 393-400.
- 272 11. S. ŚWIĄTKIEWICZ. The use of cotton seed meal as a protein source for poultry: An  
273 update review. Worlds Poultry Science Journal. 2016; 72: 473-484.
- 274 12. Sterling KG, Costa EF, Henry MH, Pesti GM, Bakalli RI. Responses of broiler chickens  
275 to cottonseed and soybean meal-based diets at several protein levels. Poultry Science.  
276 2002; 81(2): 217-26.
- 277 13. Perez-Maldonado RA. Canola meal and Cottonseed meal in broiler and layer diets. A  
278 report for the Australian Egg Corporation Limited. 2003.
- 279 14. Mishra A, Ray S, Sarkar SK, Halder S. Cotton seed meal as a partial replacement for  
280 soyabean meal in cob 400 broiler ration. Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition. 2015; 32(1):  
281 69-74.
- 282 15. NRC (National Research Council). Nutrient requirements of domestic animals No. 1  
283 Nutrient Requirement of Poultry. 9th rev. ed. National Academy of Science, Washington  
284 D.C. 1994.
- 285 16. AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 16th Edn. Association of official Analytical  
286 Chemistry, Washington, DC. 1995.
- 287 17. Ojewola GS, Ukachukwu SN, Okulonye EI. Cottonseed meal as substitute for soyabean  
288 meal in broiler ration. International Journal of Poultry Science. 2006; 5 (4): 360-364.
- 289 18. Batonon-Alavo DI, Faruk MU, Lescoat P, Weber GM, Bastianelli D. Inclusion of  
290 sorghum, millet and cottonseed meal in broiler diets: a meta-analysis of effects on  
291 performance. Animal. 2015; 9(7): 1120-1130.
- 292 19. Sun H, Tang JW, Fang CL, Yao XH, Wu YF, Wang X, Feng J. Molecular analysis of  
293 intestinal bacterial microbiota of broiler chickens fed diets containing fermented cotton  
294 seed meal. Poultry Science. 2013; 92: 392-401.
- 295 20. Henry MH, Pesti GM, Bakalli R, Lee J, Toledo RT, Eitenmiller RR, Phillips RD. The  
296 performance of broiler chicks fed diets containing extruded cottonseed meal  
297 supplemented with lysine. Poultry Science. 2001; 80(6): 762-768.
- 298 21. Sekhar Reddy P, Sudhakar Reddy P, Satyanarayana Reddy PVV, Srinivasa Rao D.  
299 Influence of cottonseed cake on the performance of broilers. Indian Journal of Animal  
300 Nutrition. 1998; 15:188-193.
- 301 22. Ryan JR, Kratzer FH, Grau CR, Vohra P. Glandless cottonseed meal for laying and  
302 breeding hens and broiler chicks. Poultry Science. 1986; 65(5): 949-955.
- 303 23. Fafiolu AO, Oso AO, Bangbose, AM, Omodia RE, Sediq RM. Performance of weaner  
304 rabbits fed cottonseed cake as replacer for soyabean meal. In: Proc. 31st Annual  
305 Conference of the Nigerian Society for Animal Production. 2006 (12-15th March); 376-  
306 379.
- 307 24. Mahmood F, Khan MZ, Khan A, Muhammad G, Javed I. Lysine induced modulation of  
308 toxicopathological effects of cottonseed meal in broiler breeder males. Pakistan Journal  
309 of Zoology. 2011; 43(2): 357-365.
- 310 25. Abdulrashid, M, Joseph ZO, Mohammed A, Adamu HY. Response of broiler chickens  
311 fed cottonseed meal based diets. International Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013, 1:  
312 62-65.

- 313 26. Attanayaka PMGSK, Pathirana, APDG, Priyankarage, N, Silva SSP, Nayananjalie WAD.  
314 Effect of substitution of soyabean meal with cottonseed meal on the performances of  
315 broiler chicken. International Journal of Livestock. Research. 2016; 6(3): 24-30.
- 316 27. Nzekwe NM, Olomu JM. Cottonseed as a substitute for groundnut meal in the rations of  
317 laying chicken and growing turkeys. Journal of Anim. Prodtion Research. 1984; 4: 57-71.
- 318 28. Heidarinia A, Malakian M. Nutritional evaluation of cottonseed meal with and without  
319 ferrous sulfate for broiler chickens. Research Journal of Poultry Science. 2011; 4: 14-17.
- 320 29. Zaboli GR, Miri A. Effect of dietary lysine to crude protein ratio in diets containing corn,  
321 cottonseed meal and soyabean meal on broiler performance during starter period. Life  
322 Science Journal. 2013; 10: 454-458.
- 323 30. Suchý P, Jelíek P, Straková E, Hucl J. Chemical composition of muscles of hybrid  
324 broiler chickens during prolonged feeding. Czech Journal of Animal Science. 2002;  
325 47(12): 511-518.
- 326 31. Oliveira Jde, Avanco SV, Garcia-Neto M, Ponsano EHG. Composition of broilers meat.  
327 Journal of Applied Poultry Research. 2016; 25: 173-181.  
328

UNDER PEER REVIEW