



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_44267
Title of the Manuscript:	Public Policy Making in Coalition Government: Challenges and Solution
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1st There is lack of theoretical framework, so it requires more review of theories on coalition government and public policy making, the link of multi-parties in the coalition government with the public policy agenda, public policy objectives and public policy solutions and policy instruments. Also, it must discuss thoroughly on distribution of benefit in the public policy making as well as a relation of politics and policy outcome. That will make the floating of the challenges in which the authors wanted to raise and recommend possible solutions.</p> <p>2nd It is not clear for me about the methods used in this paper. Up to 50 cases, it means a variable research orientation, but I did not see the quantitative analyses, also author mentioned about 10 extensive analyses, but it is not clear about how these extensive cases are chosen.</p> <p>3rd a problem of dominant of one political party in coalition government with ideology and party declaration, it did not mention in the paper. As we know that the coalition government is left or right wing often playing the dominant role in an establishment of coalition government. This dominance creates operating mechanism and patterns.</p> <p>4th a challenge of ministerial system is a cooperation and collaboration among multi-parties because it is rarely to see any consensus in the coalition government. That is why, it must be a focus of the analysis in public policy decision making and policy style in the type of government.</p> <p>5th a problem that it seems that the research is shortage of evidence, I see that author can discuss directly about the country cases. India is a good case, but it must be empirically tested with a focused comparison with a strategy of most similar system (MSS), also I think that testing the conditions, it must include at least 03 more cases which related to majority and consensus etc. I recommended that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Executive power-sharing in broad coalition cabinets;</i> • <i>Executive-legislative relationships in which the executive is dominant versus executive-legislative balance of power;</i> • <i>Majoritarian and disproportional electoral systems versus proportional representation. Interest group corporatism;</i> • <i>Federal and decentralized government;</i> • <i>Concentration of legislative power in a unicameral legislature versus division of legislative power between two equally strong but differently constituted houses;</i> • <i>Flexible constitutions that can be amended by simple majorities versus rigid constitutions that can be changed only by extraordinary majorities.</i> • <i>Systems in which legislatures have the final word on the constitutionality of their own legislation versus systems in which laws are subject to a judicial review of their constitutionality by supreme or constitutional courts.</i> • <i>Central banks that are dependent on the executive versus independent central banks.</i> 	
Minor REVISION comments	Tables and figures should put in the annexes	
Optional/General comments	This paper should revise accordingly. It is important that the paper should work out the methods used in the research. Frankly I did not see the challenges of public policy making in coalition government, so authors must devote or invest more on this task. I recommend that the mixed-design case study can be appropriate for this type of research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Do Phu Hai
Department, University & Country	Hanoi University, Vietnam