



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_35760
Title of the Manuscript:	The effect of spropel addition on soil properties and tomato yield in the open field in the south of West Siberia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Line 13: Aims :</p> <p>Line 1: Replace been attracting for attracted</p> <p>Line 3: Move properties from after yield to after soil</p> <p>Line 4: Delete reference from abstract</p> <p>Simply referring to major soil chemical and microbiological properties</p> <p>Line 2: Delete Technically</p> <p>Insert a footnote below Table 1 defining the unfamiliar symbols used</p> <p>Present the latitudes in two decimal places</p> <p>Line 96: Delete the error message</p> <p>Line 133: Explain the experimental design used e.g. RCBD or Factorial?</p> <p>Line 145: Consider reporting in third person such that instead of surprisingly our use Interestingly, this study found...</p> <p>Line 146: Explain why the results of the present study may have found higher yields than those of other authors</p> <p>Line 194: Delete error message</p> <p>Line 210: Present the data on soil properties. Though statistically insignificant, they may be numerically different which if presented as a figure may visually enable the reader understand the effects and the numeric differences also need to be discussed</p> <p>Line 222: Delete error message</p> <p>Line 224: Explain why sapropel addition influenced microbial properties</p> <p>The data on soil properties at the beginning and end of the study can b combined and presented in figures</p> <p>Line 243: The explanation may be that the effect of C and N on tomato quality parameters may not be as direct as that of K due to the role of the different plant nutrients in plant physiological processes.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Consider revising the title to Effect of Sapropel addition on selected soil properties and field Tomato Yield in South west Siberia.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rebecca Yegon
Department, University & Country	Department of Land and Water Management, University of Embu, Kenya