

**Original Research Article****EFFECT OF NPK, SULPHUR AND FYM ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF MUSTARD (*BRASSICA JUNCEA* L.) IN WESTERN UTTAR PRADESH****ABSTRACT**

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* season of 2015-16 at agricultural farm of IFTM University, Lodhipur Rajput, Moradabad (UP), India, to evaluate the effect of NPK, Sulphur and FYM on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) in western Uttar Pradesh. The experiment consisted ten treatment combination was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The result revealed that the highest growth and yield attributing characters recorded with the application of 75% NPK in combination with 40 kg S and 10 MT FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> was significantly higher over other treatment. Highest plant height (174.63 cm), number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (24.47), dry weight (21.47 g), number of siliquae plant<sup>-1</sup> (381.40), 1000-seed weight (5.52 g), seed yield (1541.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and stover yield (5161.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Net return (Rs. 33119.4) and B: C ratio (1.04) was significantly differ from control. Oil and protein content was significantly influenced with the application of Sulphur and FYM. Significantly higher oil content was recorded at 75 % RDF along with 40 kg S and 10 MT FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>. Protein content was significantly higher in 75 % RDF along with 40 kg S and 10 MT FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Key words:** NPK, Sulphur, FYM, Mustard, Yield, Quality.

**INTRODUCTION**

Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) is a major *rabi* oilseed crop belongs to the family of *Cruciferae*. Rapeseed and mustard are important oilseed crops which ranks third in vegetable oils after soybean and palm (USDA, 2011). Rapeseed-mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) in world production India ranks third after Canada and China. In India, soybean, groundnut and rapeseed-mustard are the major oilseed crops contributing nearly 88 per cent of the total production. Its seed contains 37- 49 percent oil (Singh *et al.*, 2014). The oil and seeds are used as condiment in the preparation of pickles and for flavoring curies and vegetables. The mustard oil is utilized for human consumption throughout northern India in cooking and frying purposes. It is also used in the preparation of hair oils and medicines. The oil cake is used as cattle feed and manure, which

30 contains about 4.9 percent nitrogen, 2.5 percent phosphorus and 1.5% potash (Singh *et al.*, 2014  
31 and Singh, 1998).

32 Mustard is the third most important oilseed crops after soybean and groundnut in India  
33 occupying 6.65 million-hectare acreage, 7.88 million tonnes production and 1,185 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  
34 productivity (Anonymous, 2015). Major states producing mustard are Rajasthan, Punjab,  
35 Haryana, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, West Bengal and Gujarat. Rajasthan ranks first  
36 in both area and total production of mustard. Gujrat has the highest productivity (1485 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of  
37 rapeseed and mustard. Among the different states, Uttar Pradesh alone produces about 20 percent  
38 of total rapeseed and mustard production in India (Singh *et al.*, 2014). The area under mustard in  
39 Uttar Pradesh are 0.66 million hectares with production of 0.74 million tones and productivity  
40 1112 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Anonymous, 2015). India's per capita edible oil consumption is currently  
41 estimated at 17.18 kg and vegetable oil consumption of the world average is 24.86 kg (USDA,  
42 2016).

43 The continuous mining of nutrients from soils coupled with inadequate and imbalanced  
44 fertilizer use has resulted in emergence of multi nutrient deficiencies. Mainly at least six  
45 nutrients (N, P, K, S, Zn and B) were observed deficient in Indian soils. Sulphur is involved  
46 directly or indirectly in different metabolic pathways of plants and play important role in the  
47 metabolic activities. The involvement of sulphur is an important component of several enzymes  
48 and metabolic processes in plants (Lakkineni and Abrol, 1994). Farm yard manure (FYM)  
49 improves the soil physico-chemical properties along with direct release of macro as well as  
50 micronutrient; ultimately the crop yields increase (Bhatia and Shukla, 1982).

51

## 52 MATERIALS AND METHODS

53 The present investigation was conducted at agricultural farm of IFTM University,  
54 Lodipur Rajput Moradabad (UP), India during *rabi* season of 2015-2016. The experimental  
55 material for the present investigation comprised of mustard. The climate of Moradabad region  
56 comes under central plain zone climatic conditions. This area has been characterized by mild  
57 winters and moderate summers associated with high relative humidity during the rainy season.  
58 The soil of the experimental farm was sandy loam in texture, low in organic carbon (0.46%) and  
59 neutral (7.1 pH) in soil reaction, low in available nitrogen (146.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), low in available

60 phosphorus ( $15.6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ) and medium in available potassium ( $261.3 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ ). The experiment  
61 was laid out in Randomized Block Design (Fisher, 1947) with three replications. Ten treatment  
62 combinations *viz.* T<sub>1</sub>(control), T<sub>2</sub>(120 % NPK), T<sub>3</sub>(120% NPK+  $20 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  Sulphur), T<sub>4</sub>(120%  
63 NPK+  $40 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  Sulphur), T<sub>5</sub>(100 % NPK), T<sub>6</sub>(100 % NPK +  $20 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  Sulphur), T<sub>7</sub>(100 %  
64 NPK +  $40 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  Sulphur), T<sub>8</sub>(75 % NPK +  $10 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  FYM), T<sub>9</sub>(75 % NPK +  $20 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  Sulphur +  
65  $10 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  FYM) and T<sub>10</sub>(75 % NPK +  $20 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  Sulphur +  $10 \text{ t ha}^{-1}$  FYM).

66 The crop variety JKMS-8001 was sown in rows 40 cm apart on 7<sup>th</sup> October 2015 and  
67 harvested on 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2016. Intercultural operations were done as and when required. The  
68 50 percent dose of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus and potash was applied as basal. Sulphur  
69 also applied as basal as per the treatment. Remaining 50 percent dose of nitrogen was applied as  
70 top dressing. Well decomposed farm yard manure was applied 30 days before sowing as per  
71 treatments and mixed well with the soil. Thinning and manual weeding was completed within 20  
72 days of sowing. Mustard was irrigated twice. During crop period, a total rainfall of 114 mm was  
73 received. Imidacloprid, a systemic insecticide, was sprayed @  $0.5 \text{ ml liter}^{-1}$  of water on the crop,  
74 as a prophylactic measure to avoid the aphid infestation. All the observation was recorded on  
75 individual plant basis and average. Observations were recorded on various growth parameters,  
76 yield components and yield. Protein content in seed was determined multiplying N content with  
77 a constant factor 6.25 (A.O.A.C, 1960) and oil content determined by Nuclear Magnetic  
78 Resonance technique.

79 All the data were statistically analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique as  
80 applicable to randomized block design (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). The significance of the  
81 treatment effect was determined using F-test, and to determine the significance of the difference  
82 between the means of the two treatments, least significant differences (LSD) were estimated at  
83 the 5 % probability level.

## 84 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 85 **GROWTH ATTRIBUTES**

86 The growth parameters indicating significant difference with respect of plant height,  
87 number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, dry weight (Table-1). Plant height is a good index of crop vigour. In  
88 general, plant height increased with the advancement of plant age up to harvest. Replacement of  
89 NPK with FYM when combined with sulphur had marked effect on the plant height at various

90 growth stages. The plant height was significantly higher with the application of T<sub>10</sub> (75%  
 91 NPK+40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> + 10 t FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>) which were at par with T<sub>9</sub> (75% NPK+20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> + 10 t  
 92 FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>). Application of farm yard manures along with other chemical fertilizers and sulphur  
 93 proves better result. That might be due to the role of FYM in enhancing soil health, quality and  
 94 biological properties of soil. FYM has synergistic effect and helping in mineralization of applied  
 95 nitrogen and phosphorus, which might help in enhancing of growth parameters (Lal *et al.*, 1996).  
 96 Similar result also reported by Jat *et al.* (2012). Similar to plant height, number of branches  
 97 plant<sup>-1</sup> increased with the advancement of plant age up to harvest. Replacement of NPK with  
 98 FYM when combined with sulphur had marked effect on the number of branches at various  
 99 growth stages. This indicates that NPK requirement of the crop may be replaced by 25% with  
 100 addition of other nutrient sources for branches of mustard. Our findings corroborate with the  
 101 findings of Sarma and Debnath (1999) and Aulakh and Pasricha (1997).

102 **Table: 1: Effect of NPK, S and FYM on growth and yield attributes**

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	No of branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	Dry wt. (g)	No of Siliquae plant <sup>-1</sup>	No of seeds siliquae <sup>-1</sup>	1000-seed weight (g)
T1 (Control)	135.71	15.57	11.8	211.67	11.63	4.50
T2 (120% NPK)	164.43	20.67	14.2	264.10	12.33	4.62
T3 (120% NPK + 20 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	170.81	25.67	17.87	279.60	13.60	4.57
T4 (120% NPK + 40 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	173.81	27.47	15.23	265.67	13.50	4.46
T5 (100 % NPK)	163.58	23.27	19.67	240.80	15.27	4.64
T6 (100% NPK + 20 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	166.42	23.80	13.92	249.93	13.43	5.61
T7 (100% NPK + 40 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	167.71	23.53	18.47	318.47	13.73	4.96
T8 (75% NPK + 10 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> )	165.44	25.47	19.27	375.10	14.77	5.41
T9 (75% NPK +20 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> + 10 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> )	174.10	24.53	20.60	369.20	15.70	5.46
T10 (75% NPK +40 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> + 10 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> )	174.63	24.47	21.47	381.40	14.10	5.52

SE(m)	1.56	1.04	1.37	14.35	0.78	0.12
LSD (p=0.05)	4.68	3.11	4.10	42.98	2.33	0.35

### 103 **YIELD ATTRIBUTES**

104 All yield attributes were affected significantly with the application different nutrient  
 105 levels. Number of siliqua plant<sup>-1</sup> increased significantly with replacement of NPK with FYM  
 106 alone and with addition of sulphur. Therefore, application of FYM and sulphur can be  
 107 responsible for causing higher photosynthesis and assimilation rates lending to significant  
 108 increase in siliqua number on plants as compared to other treatments. The seeds siliqua<sup>-1</sup> varied  
 109 with combination of different nutrients, due to sufficient dose of nutrients available during the  
 110 entire period of crop growth for better vegetative growth and development of crop. The lowest  
 111 test weight (4.50 g) in control plots is considerable to prove the effect of best treatment  
 112 combinations. It might be due to direct involvement of number of branches, siliqua plant<sup>-1</sup> and  
 113 grains siliqua<sup>-1</sup> in conjugation with consequent effect of fertilization. Similar results have also  
 114 been reported by Prasad and Shivay (2016), Singh & Kumar (2017) and Kumar et al. (2001).

### 115 **SEED AND STOVER YIELD**

116 The data in table 2 proved that the 75 % NPK with Sulphur and FYM recorded significantly  
 117 highest seed and stover yield per ha over the other treatment. This might be due to deprive of  
 118 nutrients former and supply over critical level in later treatment, which increase siliquae plant<sup>-1</sup>,  
 119 number of seeds siliquae<sup>-1</sup> and 1000-seed weight. These results are in close conformity with the  
 120 findings of Piri and Sharma (2006). The Stover yield was found significantly variable according  
 121 to the treatments. That might be possible because plants received nutrients from appropriate  
 122 sources to give their full potential for influencing the harvest index of mustard. It seems that the  
 123 better utilization efficiency of NPK, S and FYM in response on optimum these nutrients  
 124 reflected in greater vegetative growth and increase growth enzymatic activity. These results are  
 125 in close conformity with the findings of Faujdar *et al.* (2008), Neha *et al.* (2014), Kumar et al.  
 126 (2001). Integration of FYM increased the cost of treatment, therefore, reduced the system net

127 returns as compared to chemical fertilizers, but if we replace the doses of chemical fertilizers  
 128 with FYM it compensates total cost of inputs.

129 **Table 2: Effect of NPK, S and FYM on yield and economics**

Treatments	Seed Yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stover Yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)	Gross return (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net return (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B: C ratio
T1 (Control)	812.6	3183.47	20.30	34841.41	10391.41	0.43
T2 (120% NPK)	1273.8	4386.40	22.50	53710.2	24612.2	0.85
T3 (120% NPK + 20 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1430.9	4982.13	22.30	60416.5	30518.5	1.02
T4 (120% NPK + 40 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1421.0	5409.33	20.84	60691	29993	0.98
T5 (100 % NPK)	1207.1	4413.60	21.45	51283.1	23095.1	0.82
T6 (100% NPK + 20 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1356.3	4515.20	23.11	56955.9	27967.9	0.96
T7 (100% NPK + 40 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1469.6	4912.53	23.11	61744	31956	1.07
T8 (75% NPK + 10 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1280.8	4933.07	20.63	54789.21	24546.21	0.81
T9 (75% NPK +20 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> + 10 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1514.3	5381.33	21.98	64101.1	33058.1	1.06
T10 (75% NPK +40 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> + 10 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> )	1546.5	5161.60	23.05	64962.9	33119.9	1.04
SE(m)	59.73	182.29	1.10			
LSD (p=0.05)			NS			
	178.85	545.80				

130

131

132

133 **OIL AND PROTEIN CONTENT**

134 The oil content in seed at par with the increasing of NPK levels whereas, consecutive  
 135 addition of sulphur and FYM increased oil content. Crop fertilized with 75% RDF with sulphur  
 136 and FYM recorded higher oil content than control. The lower oil content in control and other  
 137 treatment may be due to more availability of nitrogen which, increase the protenious substances  
 138 in the seeds. Higher availability of nitrogen may be resulted a higher portion of photosynthates is  
 139 delivered to protein formation leaving a potential deficiency of carbohydrates to be degraded to  
 140 acetyl co-enzyme A for the synthesis of fatty acids. These results are close conformity with the  
 141 findings of Tripathi *et al.* (2011). The increase in oil content with Sulphur fertilization may be  
 142 attributed to its role in oil synthesis and increase in glucosides (Tripathi *et. al.*, 2010, Kumar *et*  
 143 *al.*, 2006 and Singh *et. al.*, 2010). Availability of Sulphur increased the conversion of fatty acid  
 144 metabolites to the end product of fatty acid (Jain *et. al.*, 1996 and Singh & Pal, 2011).

145 It was found that the application of Sulphur and FYM resulted significant increase in  
 146 protein content. Sulphur being a constituent of S containing amino acids and increased in protein  
 147 content. Significant increase in protein content may be due to the increase in availability of  
 148 Sulphur and nitrogen resulted in protein synthesis. These findings are close agreement with  
 149 Singh *et. al.*, 1998 and Kumar *et. al.*, 2006.

150 **Table 3: Effect of NPK, S and FYM on oil and protein content**

<b>Treatments</b>	<b>Oil content (%)</b>	<b>Protein content (%)</b>
Control	41.76	21.20
120% NPK	43.72	23.36
120% NPK + 20 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup>	44.04	23.75
120% NPK + 40 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup>	44.36	23.95
100 % NPK	44.37	21.15
100% NPK + 20 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup>	43.99	22.53
100% NPK + 40 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup>	45.30	23.81
75% NPK + 10 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	45.59	23.53

75% NPK +20 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> + 10 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	45.57	24.08
75% NPK +40 kg S ha <sup>-1</sup> + 10 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup>	45.76	24.28
SE(m)	0.171	0.367
LSD (P=0.05)	0.511	1.098

151

152 **CONCLUSIONS:**

153 Based on results obtained from the present investigation, it can be concluded that  
 154 application of DAP 65 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, Urea 104 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, MOP 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, FYM 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> and Sulphur 20  
 155 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> were proved to be most suitable dose for achieving higher growth and yield of mustard  
 156 crop along with sustainable soil health for the farmers of western Uttar Pradesh.

157

158

**REFERENCES**

- 159 A. O. A. C. (1960). Methods of analysis. Association Official Agriculture Chemicals,  
 160 Washington D. C. Ninth edition, pp. 15-16.
- 161 Anonymous (2015). Agricultural Statics at a Glance 2015 pp.121-122
- 162 Aulakh MS, Pasricha NS (1997) Role of balanced fertilization in oilseed-based cropping system.  
 163 *Fertil News* 42(4):101–111
- 164 Bhatia. KS and Shukla, KK (1982). Effect of continuous application of fertilizers and manures  
 165 on some physical properties of eroded alluvial soil *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 30: 33-36
- 166 Faujdar, R.S., Mathur, A.K. and Verma, A.K. (2008). Yield and quality of muster as influenced  
 167 by different levels of phosphorus and sulphur. *Asian J. Soil Sci.*, 3(1): 207-208.
- 168 Fisher, R. A. 1947. The design of experiments, Oliver and Boyd. Edinberg 4th Ed.
- 169 Gomez, K.A. and A.A. Gomez, (1984). Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2 ed.).  
 170 John wiley and sons, NewYork, 680p
- 171 Jain, N. K., Vyas, A. K. and Singh, A. K. (1996). Yield and quality of Indian mustard as  
 172 influenced by phosphorus and sulphur fertilization. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 66(9): 539-540.
- 173 Jat, M.L., Gathala, M.K., Ladha, J.K., Saharawat, Y.S., Jat, A.S., Vipin Kumar Sharma, S.K.,  
 174 Kumar, V., Gupta, R.K., 2009. Evaluation of precision land leveling and double zero-



- 175 till systems in the rice–wheat rotation: water use, productivity, profitability and soil  
176 physical properties. *Soil Till. Res.* 105, 112–121
- 177 Kumar S, Singh B, Rajput AL (2001) Response of Indian mustard to sources and levels of  
178 sulphur. *Indian J Agronomy* 46(3):528–532
- 179 Kumar, N., Singh, S. and Singh, V. (2006). Effect of iron and sulphur levels on yield, oil content  
180 and their uptake by Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Indian J. Agron.*, 51(1) : 63-64.
- 181 Lakkineni KC, Abrol YP (1994) Sulfur requirement of crop plants: Physiological Analysis. *Fert*  
182 *News* 39: 11-18
- 183 Lal R, Singh S, Yadav SK, Kumar A (1996). Growth of Brassica as affected by irrigation and  
184 nitrogen levels. *Haryana. J. Agron.* 12(1):23-28.
- 185 Piri, ISSA; Sharma, S. N. (2006). Effect of levels and sources of sulphur on yield attributes, yield  
186 and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 51: 217-  
187 220.
- 188 Prasad, Rajendra and Shivay, Yashbir Singh (2016) Sulphur in Soil, Plant and Human Nutrition  
189 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., India, Sect. B Biol. Sci.* DOI 10.1007/s40011-016-0769-0
- 190 Sharma, P.C. and M.C. Debnath, 1999. Response of toria (*Brassica campestris* sub sp. *olifera*  
191 var. *toria*) to source and levels of sulphur fertilization. *Indian Journal of Agronomy.*,  
192 44: 617-620.
- 193 Singh Raju, Anil Kumar Singh and Pravesh Kumar, 2014. Performance of Indian Mustard  
194 (*Brassica juncea* L.) in Response to Integrated Nutrient Management. *Journal of Agri*  
195 *Search* 1 (1):9-12.
- 196 Singh, Chiddha (1998). Importance of mustard in modern techniques of raising field crops.  
197 *Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., Bombay*, pp. 301.
- 198 Singh, K.K., Kumar, R. and Pingolia, A.L. (1998). Effect of source and levels of sulphur on oil  
199 and protein content of mustard. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 46 : 150–151.
- 200 Singh, S. P. and Pal, M. S. (2011). Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity,  
201 quality, nutrient uptake and economics of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Indian J.*  
202 *Agron.* 56(4): 381-387.
- 203 Singh, Virendra and Kumar, Vishal (2017). Effect of NPK, Sulphur and FYM on growth and  
204 yield of Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) in western Uttar Pradesh. International seminar  
205 on Oilseed Brassica, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India, February 23-27, 2017 pp. 118
- 206 Singh, Y., Singh, T., Singh, U. N. and Rajput, P. K. (2010). Effect of nutrient management on  
207 yield, quality and economics of irrigated India mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *Indian J.*  
208 *Agric. Sci.* 80(8): 691-694.

- 209 Tripathi, M. K., Chaturvedi, S., Shukla, D. K. and Mahapatra, B. S. (2010). Yield performance  
210 and quality in Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) as affected by integrated nutrient  
211 management. *Indian J. Agron.* 55(2): 138-142.
- 212 Tripathi, M.K. Chaturvedi, S. Shukla, D. K. and Saini S. K. (2011) Influence of integrated  
213 nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*  
214 L.) in *tarai* region of northern India. *Journal of Crop and Weed* 7(2): 104-107 (2011)
- 215 USDA. 2011. Foreign Agriculture Service, Oilseeds: World markets and trade. Circular Series,  
216 FOP 1-11, 2011, January.
- 217 USDA. 2016. Foreign Agriculture Service, Oilseeds: World markets and trade. Circular Series,  
218 FOP 1-11, 2016, January.
- 219