

1
2 **A retrospective study to analyze the efficacy of**
3 **ceftriaxone+sulbactam+EDTA combination for**
4 **complicated urinary tract infections in diabetic**
5 **patients.**
6

7 **Abstract**

8 **Objective**

9 In general, infectious diseases are more frequent and/or serious in patients
10 with
11 diabetes mellitus, complicated further by antimicrobial resistance which potentially
12 increases
13 their morbi-mortality. The objective of this study was to determine the clinical utility of
14 CSE-
15 1034 (Ceftriaxone+Sulbactam+EDTA) in diabetic patients with complicated urinary
16 tract
17 infections (cUTIs).

18 **Methods**

19 Diabetic patients with cUTIs who received CSE-1034 as empiric therapy were
20 screened and further analyzed. CSE-1034 therapy was started empirically in all these
21 subjects and continued or discontinued based on culture susceptibility profile and
22 clinical
23 outcome. The statistical analysis was performed using Chi-square test.(State statistical
24 package used for sample analysis).

25 **Results**

26 Out of 85 patients admitted for cUTI, 38 patients met our inclusion criteria and were
27 included in this study. *E. coli* (%) was the predominant pathogen isolated followed by
28 *K.*
29 *pneumoniae*(%). In vitro susceptibility testing has shown no susceptibility of baseline
30 pathogens
31 to levofloxacin, gentamicin, ceftriaxone, cefepime, cefazolin, 23.6% to pip-taz (23.6%),
32 18.4-23.6%
33 to beta-lactambeta-lactam inhibitor (BL/BLI) combinations (18.4-23.6%), 63.1% to
34 meropenem(63.1%) and 100%

25 to CSE-1034 (100%). 92.1% of the patients were cured with CSE-1034 empiric therapy and 7.9%

26 with alternate meropenem therapy.

27 **Conclusion**

28 From this study, it can be suggested that CSE-1034 alone appears to be effective
29 drug
30 for the treatment of multi-drug resistant cUTI in diabetic patients and can serve as effective
31 alternate to meropenem and replacement for BL/BLI combinations.

32 **Key words: Multi drug resistance; Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase; Metallo-
33 β -
34 lactamase; Gram-negative.**

35 **Introduction**

36 Type 2 Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a heterogeneous group of disorders resulting from
37 impaired insulin secretion or action leading to elevated levels of glucose. Other than
38 the
39 classical complications associated with DM, other outcomes include altered
40 immune
41 responses including impaired humoral immunity, decreased neutrophil action and
42 reduced
43 response of T cells ^{1 2 3 4}. Consequently, DM raises the risk of contracting
44 infections,
45 including the most common ones as well as those that almost only affect people with DM ²
46 ⁵.
47 In addition to the associated repercussions, such infections may lead to serious
48 manifestations
49 and/or trigger DM complications.

50 Urinary tract is one of the most common infection site in individuals with DM. [25–
51 27] Asymptomatic bacteriuria and symptomatic urinary tract infections (UTIs) are
52 both
53 reported to be more frequent in patients with type 2 diabetes than in the general population ⁶
54 ⁷. Available evidences also suggest that type 2 diabetes increases susceptibility to
55 serious
56 complications of UTI, including emphysematous conditions of the bladder or kidney, renal
57 abscess, and renal papillary necrosis ^{8 9 10}. The different mechanisms that may contribute
58 to
59 the higher frequency of UTI and related complications among diabetic patients
60 include

51 impaired immune system, primarily diabetic nephropathy and cystopathy, recurrent
vaginosis,
52 incomplete bladder emptying, poor glycemic control, and higher glucose levels in the urine
53 which may facilitate the growth of pathogenic organisms ^{5 7 8}.

54 Given the increasing incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus worldwide in recent years
55 projected to be 380 million cases in 2025 and the clinical link between diabetic status and
56 UTI risk and severity, a substantial burden of UTIs is going to increase ¹¹. Moreover, the
high
57 rates of antibiotic prescription in these patients, including broad-spectrum antibiotics,
may

58 further induce the development of multi-drug resistant urinary pathogens¹²¹³.
Ceftriaxone

59 fortified with sulbactam and antibiotic resistance breaker “EDTA” (CSE-1034) is a
newly

60 approved antibiotic adjuvant entity for the treatment of infections caused by
Extended

61 Spectrum Beta-Lactamase/Metallo- β -lactamase (ESBL/MBL) producing gram
negative

62 pathogens^{14 15 16 17}. In this study, we discuss a series of 25 diabetic patients suffering from
63 cUTI and treated successfully with CSE-1034.

64 **Material and Methods**

65 **Study population**

66 The case history sheets of all the patients admitted to the hospital for
treatment of

67 bacterial infections between June 2016 to June 2017 were analyzed. Adult diabetic patients
68 with age of ≥ 18 years and treated for cUTI were included in this retrospective study.
The

69 criteria for patient selection were 1) Diabetic patients diagnosed with cUTI based on
various

70 lab parameters and relevant signs and symptoms 2) Isolation of gram-negative pathogen at
71 the base-line 3) Patients who received CSE-1034 at least for a period of ≥ 48 h 3) Patients
who
72 received CSE-1034 as 2nd line of therapy.

73 The cUTI included had at least three of the following signs and symptoms:
fever

74 ($>38^{\circ}\text{C}$) and chills, increased frequency and urgency of urination, dysuria, costo-
vertebral

75 angle tenderness or abdominal tenderness, flank pain, or the presence of pyuria and colony
76 count of $\geq 10^5$ CFU/ml was must.

77 **Patient analysis, antibiotic usage and outcomes**

78 Information regarding demographic and baseline characters including gender,
age,

79 infection type and source, pathogen isolated, co-morbidities, antibiotic therapy, dose
and

80 duration for all the patients was retrieved from the case history sheets of the patients.
Among

81 all the cases analyzed, 25 patients who received CSE-1034 as empirical therapy and fulfilled

82 the other above mentioned inclusion criteria were analyzed further.

83 Different specimens including urine and blood of the patients were tested for the

84 diagnosis of etiological agent. Various hematological and biochemical investigations

85 including Hb test, total leukocyte count (TLC), differential leukocyte count (DLC), liver

86 function test (LFT), kidney function test (KFT) were carried out at the beginning and the end

87 of treatment to evaluate the clinical progress of the patient and drug efficacy. (Authors should consider the research questions and work within the content. The procedure stated here by authors has no significant relationship with research topic).

88 **In-vitro microbial antibiotic-susceptibility testing (AST)**

89 Kirby–Bauer disk diffusion method was used to test the microbial susceptibility of
90 the

91 antibiotics. Discs for various drugs including pip-taz, CSE-1034, meropenem and
92 colistin

93 were used and the results were interpreted as **per the interpretation criteria of** the Clinical
94 and

95 Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines ¹⁸. Depending on the breakpoints,
96 the

97 antimicrobial susceptibility of the pathogens involved was classified into
98 susceptible,

99 intermediate or resistant. Criteria for CSE-0134 was >21mm-S, 14-20-I, ≤13-R.

100 **Antibiotic dosage**

101 The dose of CSE-1034 used was 3.0g/12h. The progress of the therapy was
102 evaluated in

103 terms of improvement in clinical parameters on daily basis and at the end of treatment.

104 118

105 119 **Definitions**

106

107 **Clinical success:** The patient's response was considered as clinical success when, the
108 patient recovered with either first line or 2nd line empiric antibiotic therapy.

109 **Clinical failure:** The response was considered as clinical failure when the patient
110 was switched to other antibiotics or one or more antibiotics are added to the initial regime.

111 **First line antibiotic therapy:** It is defined as the regime started immediately after
112 admission to the hospital.

113 **Second-line antibiotic therapy:** It is defined as the addition of one or more antibiotics to
114 the initial regime or a complete or partial replacement of the initial antibiotic with
115 another parenteral antibiotic regime depending on culture susceptibility results.

116

117 **Results**

118

119 Out of 85 patients admitted for cUTI, 38 patients met our inclusion criteria and
120 were included in this case **series** study. The characteristics of all the 85 cUTI patients
121 **which** were screened and the subgroup patients with diabetes mellitus are presented in
122 Table 1. Of the total patients screened, 55.3% of the patients **consisted of** **were** males and
123 44.7% **represented the** **(expunge)** female patients. **However, in the subgroup of cUTI**

patients with diabetes mellitus, the male and female ratio was 1:1. Overall, the mean age, systolic pressure, pulse and respiratory rates were similar in the two groups. However, the average weight and diastolic pressure was higher in cUTI patients with diabetes mellitus compared to the other group. For other

120 demographic features, refer to Table 1. The most common co-morbidities associated
121 with cUTI patients which were screened at the time of hospitalization were diabetes
122 mellitus, hypertension and hepatic disorders. 38 cUTI patients with diabetes mellitus were
123 included in the final study analysis. In both the categories, *E. coli* (%) was the predominant
124 pathogen isolated followed by *K. pneumoniae*(%). Other isolated pathogens at the
125 baseline included *A. baumannii* (%), *P. aeruginosa* (%) and *P. mirabilis*(%). For further
126 details, refer to Table 1.

127 Anti-microbial susceptibility testing has shown that baseline pathogens isolated
128 from the patients were multi-drug resistant and were resistant to various classes of drugs
129 including levofloxacin, gentamicin, ceftriaxone, cefepime and ceftazidime. 23.6% (9/38)
130 patients were reported susceptible to piperacillin-tazobactam, 18.4% (7/38) to ceftazidime-avibactam,
131 and 63.1% (24/38) to meropenem. In vitro susceptibility test to CSE-1034 has shown
132 100% susceptibility to CSE-1034. The per pathogen antibiotic susceptibility details to
133 various drugs are tabulated in Table 2. (Authors have good research plans but the
134 communication skill is bad not precise. Authors need to review the results and be precise
135 in presentation. Also, authors claim that 38 patients were included in the final study, table
136 1, did not show authors claim in isolated organisms, statistics of isolated organisms are not
137 correct. Authors should review).

138 Antibiotic 139 outcome

140 All the subjects included in this retrospective analysis received CSE-1034
141 empirically. Because of the hospital exposure in the last 90 days and prescription
142 of beta-lactams or BL/BLIs before, CSE-1034 was started empirically in these
143 patients by the concerned physician.

144 92.1% (35/38) of the patients who received CSE-1034 empiric therapy were
145 observed to respond positively on the 3rd day of treatment and were continued on the same
146 treatment therapy. These patients showed successful clinical response at the end of
147 therapy and were completely cured. The average treatment duration in these 35
148 patients was 11.0 days \pm 2.89 (SD).

149 2 (5.3%) patients who were started empirically with CSE-1034 but were
150 found resistant after in vitro microbial susceptibility testing, were shifted to meropenem. 1
151 (2.6%) patients who showed poor clinical response to CSE-1034 therapy despite being
152 CSE-1034- susceptible, were also switched to meropenem therapy (Figure 1).

After 48h of meropenem treatment, it was observed that all the three patients responded to the treatment based on the visible improvement in clinical conditions and laboratory investigations.

Overall

assessment of
the clinical
response has
shown that
CSE-1034
monotherapy
cured 92.1%
patients alone.
7.9% patients
were cured by
meropenem
treatment.

153 Discussion

154 In this study, 44.7% of the patients with cUTI were having diabetes as co-
155 morbidity, which was comparatively little higher than reported in other Asian
156 countries in various studies (range 13.0%–24.4%)^{19 20 21}. However, in conformity to
157 our observations, a UK- based observational study in a primary care setting on the
158 incidence of UTIs have reported

159 60% increase in the risk of UTIs among patients with diabetes ($n = 135,920$) compared to
160 1:1 matched sample of patients without diabetes²². Another retrospective study based in
161 China has reported the prevalence of UTIs in diabetic patients was 11.2%²³. The
162 relatively higher rate in our study could be because both male and female diabetic patients
163 were included in

164 our study, while the studies based in Asia generally included female diabetic patients.

165 In our study, prevalence of UTIs in diabetic women was about double compared to
166 diabetic men, which is related to the characteristics of female urinary tract. Beside the
167 female gender, old age, BMI and diastolic pressure were also observed as risk
168 factors of UTIs in diabetic patients; however, systolic pressure, and other
169 demographic features had no relation with

170 UTIs. The results were in accordance with previous studies^{19 23}. The most
171 common

171 pathogenic microorganisms isolated from UTI patients and cUTI patients with
diabetes mellitus were similar and included *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae* and *A. baumannii*.
The results are similar to those of other studies^{23 24}. He *et al.*²³ and Li *et al.*²⁵ have
reported *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* as the most common isolates from cUTI patients alone
or with diabetes mellitus.

172 184
173 Regarding the antimicrobial resistance profile of uropathogens in the present study, it was
174 observed that all the isolates were multi-drug resistant, resistant to different classes of
175 antibiotics including levofloxacin, gentamicin, ceftriaxone, cefepime and cefazolin. Pip-taz
176 or cefoperazone-sulbactam are the most common choices as 1st line of empirical treatment
177 for patients suspected of hospital acquired infections. As only 18.4-23.6% patients were
178 reported susceptible to BL-BLIs, thus it makes an inappropriate choice for empirical
179 therapy or 2nd line of empirical treatment for cUTI cases in our hospital. Similar to
180 our observations, various studies in the past have documented that Gram-negative
181 bacterial infections are gaining resistance to various anti-microbial drugs including
182 the drug of last resort carbapenems. The AMR data in India has shown resistance
183 against pip-taz has risen to 65-
70% and about 55-60% against cefoperazone-sulbactam²⁶. The indiscriminate prescription
of

BL/BLI

combinations

can be one of

the vital

reasons for the

high AMR

reported

among the

normally

recommended

1st line of

treatment for

UTIs. AMR

data at a

tertiary trauma

care

185 center of India has reported the resistance against the five classes of antimicrobials
186 as carbapenems (50%), aminoglycosides (66%), fluoroquinolones (76%), third
187 generation cephalosporins (88%), BL/BLI combinations (63%) and extra-drug resistance
188 was reported in
189 27% isolated pathogens ²⁷. Almost similar to above report, 36.9% were observed
190 susceptible
191 to meropenem in our study. Increase in carbapenems resistance has been linked
192 with excessive carbapenem consumption. Hence selection pressure on carbapenems
193 needs to be reduced either by reducing their consumption by using alternative drugs or
194 developing newer therapeutic options. There are several publications about use of
alternative agents for treating ESBL infections rather than carbapenems so as to
reduce selection pressure without
compromising clinical outcomes ²⁸

195 Interestingly, all the patients were reported susceptible to a new combination of
196 drug, CSE-1034. The higher susceptibility to CSE-1034 could likely be the synergistic
197 effect of the three components. Disodium edetate, a non-antibiotic adjuvant, present in
198 CSE-1034 chelates the divalent metal ions leading to membrane destabilization and
199 enhanced penetration of drugs inside bacterial cells. The Sulbactam component of
200 CSE-1034 is known to have inherent activity against various bacterial infections. In line
201 with our results, various studies in the past have also demonstrated higher efficacy of
202 CSE-1034 against various bacterial
203 infections including UTI ^{15 17}. Since, CSE-1034 was shown to effectively cure 92.1% of the
patients alone, it can serve as effective choice of treatment for cUTI in diabetic patients.

204 CONCLUSION

205 Overall, the high carbapenem resistance reported among Gram-negative strains is a matter
206 of grave concern and needs to be addressed at priority. The antibiotic Adjuvant Therapy
207 scored over different β -lactam and β -lactamase inhibitor combinations and carbapenems
208 due to its
resistance breaking mechanisms for the treatment of cUTI in diabetic
patients.

209 References

(All *et al*, should be expunged, and replace with authors name).

- 210 1. Peleg, A. Y., Weerarathna, T., McCarthy, J. S. & Davis, T. M. E. Common infections in
211 diabetes: pathogenesis, management and relationship to glycaemic control. *Diabetes*

- 213 2. Muller, L. M. a. J. *et al.*. Increased risk of common infections in patients with type 1
and
214 type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am.* **41**, 281–288
215 (2005). (Complete the authors)
- 216 3. Grossmann, V. *et al.*. Profile of the Immune and Inflammatory Response in Individuals
217 With Prediabetes and Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* **38**, 1356–1364 (2015).
- 218 4. Genital and urinary tract infections in diabetes: Impact of pharmacologically-induced
219 glucosuria. *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **103**, 373–381 (2014).
- 220 5. Casqueiro, J., Casqueiro, J. & Alves, C. Infections in patients with diabetes mellitus: A
221 review of pathogenesis. *Indian J. Endocrinol. Metab.* **16**, S27–S36 (2012).
- 222 6. Papazafiropoulou, A. *et al.*. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria in type 2 diabetic
223 subjects with and without microalbuminuria. *BMC Res. Notes* **3**, 169 (2010).
- 224 7. Geerlings, S. E. Urinary tract infections in patients with diabetes mellitus:
epidemiology,
225 pathogenesis and treatment. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **31 Suppl 1**, S54-57 (2008).
- 226 8. Chen, S. L., Jackson, S. L. & Boyko, E. J. Diabetes mellitus and urinary tract infection:
227 epidemiology, pathogenesis and proposed studies in animal models. *J. Urol.* **182**, S51-
56
228 (2009).
- 229 9. Pontin, A. R. & Barnes, R. D. Current management of emphysematous pyelonephritis.
230 *Nat. Rev. Urol.* **6**, 272–279 (2009).
- 231 10. Mohsin, N., Budruddin, M., Lala, S. & Al-Taie, S. Emphysematous pyelonephritis: a
case
232 report series of four patients with review of literature. *Ren. Fail.* **31**, 597–601 (2009).
- 233 11. Atkins, R. C. & Zimmet, P. Diabetic kidney disease: act now or pay later. *Saudi J.*
234 *Kidney Dis. Transplant. Off. Publ. Saudi Cent. Organ Transplant. Saudi Arab.* **21**, 217–
235 221 (2010).
- 236 12. Nelson, C. P. *et al.*. Antimicrobial Resistance and Urinary Tract Infection Recurrence.
237 *Pediatrics* **137**, (2016).

- 238 13. Chin, T. L., McNulty, C., Beck, C. & MacGowan, A. Antimicrobial resistance
239 surveillance in urinary tract infections in primary care. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **71**,
240 2723–2728 (2016).
- 241 14. Chaudhary, M. & Payasi, G. A. and A. Advancing in the Direction of Right Solutions:
242 Treating Multidrug-Resistant Pneumonia. *Contemp. Top. Pneumonia* (2017).
243 doi:10.5772/intechopen.69979
- 244 15. Chaudhary, M., Ayub, S. G. & Mir, M. A. Comparative efficacy and safety analysis of
245 CSE-1034: An open labeled phase III study in community acquired pneumonia. *J.*
246 *Infect. Public Health* **0**, (2018).
- 247 16. Chaudhary, M., Ayub, S. G. & Mir, M. A. Post-Marketing Safety and Efficacy
248 Evaluation of a Novel Drug CSE-1034: A Drug-Use Analysis in Paediatric Patients
249 Hospital- Acquired Pneumonia. *J. Clin. Diagn. Res.* (2018).
250 doi:10.7860/JCDR/2018/31549.12059
- 251 17. Chaudhary, M., Mir, M. A. & Ayub, S. G. Safety and efficacy of a novel drug elores
252 (ceftriaxone + sulbactam + disodium edetate) in the management of multi-drug resistant
253 bacterial infections in tertiary care centers: a post-marketing surveillance study. *Braz. J.*
254 *Infect. Dis.* **21**, 408–417 (2017).
- 255 18. CLSI Publishes New Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing Standards - CLSI. Available
256 at: [http://clsi.org/blog/2015/01/08/clsi-publishes-new-antimicrobial-susceptibility-testing-](http://clsi.org/blog/2015/01/08/clsi-publishes-new-antimicrobial-susceptibility-testing-standards/)
257 [standards/](http://clsi.org/blog/2015/01/08/clsi-publishes-new-antimicrobial-susceptibility-testing-standards/). (Accessed: 6th September 2016)
- 258 19. Turan, H. *et al.* Frequency, risk factors, and responsible pathogenic microorganisms of
259 asymptomatic bacteriuria in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Jpn. J. Infect. Dis.*
260 **61**,
261 236–238 (2008).
- 262 20. Boroumand, M. A. *et al.* Asymptomatic bacteriuria in type 2 Iranian diabetic women: a
263 cross sectional study. *BMC Womens Health* **6**, 4 (2006).

- 263 21. Irwin, D. E., Kopp, Z. S., Agatep, B., Milsom, I. & Abrams, P. Worldwide prevalence
264 estimates of lower urinary tract symptoms, overactive bladder, urinary incontinence and
265 bladder outlet obstruction. *BJU Int.* **108**, 1132–1138 (2011).
- 266 22. Incidence of urinary tract infection among patients with type 2 diabetes in the UK
267 General Practice Research Database (GPRD). *J. Diabetes Complications* **26**, 513–516
268 (2012).
- 269 23. He, K., Hu, Y., Shi, J.-C., Zhu, Y.-Q. & Mao, X.-M. Prevalence, risk factors and
270 microorganisms of urinary tract infections in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a
271 retrospective study in China. *Ther. Clin. Risk Manag.* **14**, 403–408 (2018).
- 272 24. Shill, M. C., Huda, N. H., Moain, F. B. & Karmakar, U. K. Prevalence of uropathogens
in
273 diabetic patients and their corresponding resistance pattern: results of a survey
conducted
274 at diagnostic centers in dhaka, bangladesh. *Oman Med. J.* **25**, 282–285 (2010).
- 275 25. Li, X. *et al.* A 6-year study of complicated urinary tract infections in southern China:
276 prevalence, antibiotic resistance, clinical and economic outcomes. *Ther. Clin. Risk*
277 *Manag.* **13**, 1479–1487 (2017).
- 278 26. treatment guidelines for antimicrobial.pdf.
- 279 27. Behera, B. & Mathur, P. High levels of antimicrobial resistance at a tertiary trauma care
280 centre of India. *Indian J. Med. Res.* **133**, 343–345 (2011).
- 281 28. Trivedi, M., Patel, V., Soman, R., Rodriguez, C. & Singhal, T. The Outcome of
Treating

282

285

283

284

286

ESBL
Infections with
Carbapenems
vs. Non
Carbapenem
Antimicrobials.
60, 3 (2012).

287

Table 1: Patient baseline characteristics.

288

Characteristics		(n=85)	(n=38)
Gender	Male, n (%)	47 (55.3)	19 (50.0)
	Female, n (%)	38 (44.7)	19 (50.0)
Age		70±13.4	70±10.05
Weight (kg)	Mean±SD	70±13.75	77±12.8
Temperature (°F)	Mean±SD	98.6±1.02	98.6±1.31
BP (mm of Hg)	Systolic (Mean±SD)	130±19.58	130±17.9
	Diastolic (Mean±SD)	74±10.88	70±10.47
Pulse (beats/min)	Mean±SD	78±14.42	78±19.41
Respiratory rate (/min)	Mean±SD	18±3.89	18±2.95
Co-morbidities n (%)			
	DM	38 (44.7)	
	Hypertension	29 (34.1)	
	Hepatic disorders	12 (14.1)	
	Chronic kidney disease (CKD)	05 (5.9)	
	Others	07 (8.2)	
Baseline pathogen in urine n (%)			
	<i>E. coli</i>	42 (49.4)	19 (50.0)
	<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	22 (25.9)	8 (21.1)
	<i>A. baumannii</i>	11 (12.9)	5 (13.2)
	<i>P. mirabilis</i>	6 (7.1)	3 (7.9)
	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	4 (4.7)	3 (7.9)

289

290

291

292

293

Table 2: Per pathogen type susceptibility pattern to different antibiotics.

294

Clinical isolates of	No. isolates	Susceptibility (%)							
		CSE-1034		Meropenem		Pip-Taz		Cefoperazone-Sulbactam	
		S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R
<i>E. coli</i>	19 (50.0)	19 (100)	0	15 (78.9)	4 (21.1)	4 (21.1)	15 (78.9)	2 (10.5)	17 (89.5)
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	8 (21.1)	8 (100)	0	5 (62.5)	3 (37.5)	2 (25.0)	6 (75.0)	1 (12.5)	7 (87.5)
<i>A. baumannii</i>	5 (13.2)	5 (100)	0	2 (40.0)	3 (60.0)	1 (20.0)	4 (80.0)	1 (20.0)	4 (80.0)
<i>P. mirabilis</i>	3 (7.9)	3 (100)	0	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	3 (7.9)	3 (100)	0	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)

295

Figure1: Flowchart elaborating the study structure and outcome.

296

297

298

