

Quantitative Determination of Heavy Metal Concentrations in Herbal Teas Marketed in Various Countries including Libya

Abstract

This study presents the determination of the amount of some heavy metals (Cu, Cr, Ni, Cd, Mn, Fe, Mg and Pb) present in commercial brand herbal tea samples purchased from local markets in Misurata, Libya, by atomic absorption spectroscopy. The validity of the analytical procedure was monitored by analysing certified reference materials obtained from the Food and Drugs Control Centre, Libya. The concentration of Cu, Cr, Ni, Cd, Mn, Fe, Mg and Pb in all the tea leaf samples ranged from 5.141 to 17.1, 0.890 to 3.4, 0.0833 to 2.349, 0.035 to 0.38, 32.01 to 89.46, 79.01-167, 91.98 to 213.83 and 0.463 to 0.901 $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$, respectively. The concentration of heavy metals in the tea leaves can be arranged in the following order, Mg > Fe > Mn > Cu > Cr > Ni > Pb > Cd. Moreover, it is observed that the concentrations of all the toxic elements tested in the investigated herbal plants are found below the permitted levels specified by the international regulatory standards for the medicinal plants.

KEYWORDS: heavy metals, herbal teas, atomic absorption spectrophotometry, Misurata-Libya

1. INTRODUCTION

Tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) is a perennial shrub which is grown commercially in about 30 countries. The most important tea exporting countries of the world are Kenya, China, India, Indonesia and Sri Lanka [1]. Tea is the most widely consumed beverage because of its taste, aroma and health benefits. Some 75% of the estimated 2.5 million metric tons of dried tea manufactured annually, is processed as black tea which is widely consumed, Where Tea is used in folk medicine for headache, digestion, diuresis, enhancement of immune defence, as an energizer and to prolong life [2-8]. Tea is considered to be an important source of elements such as manganese and potassium that could be beneficial for hypertensive patients. However, the intake of food contaminated by heavy metals is harmful to human health and several countries have imposed food laws to restrict the presence of heavy metal concentration in food and beverages. Heavy metals accumulation can be derived naturally by soil contamination, use of pesticides and fertilizers, also it comes from manufacturing

34 processes [9,10]. Metallic constituents of tea leaves differ according to the type of tea (green
35 or black) and its geological source [11]. Various reports have discussed the potential health
36 implications of metals in tea, particularly where tea bushes are known to accumulate trace
37 metals [12].

38 Tea leaves are a source of mineral elements such as manganese, copper, zinc, iron,
39 magnesium, aluminium, strontium, bromine, sodium, potassium, phosphorous, iodine and
40 fluorine. Tea infusions contain very little vitamins, protein and carbohydrates but may be a
41 source of essential dietary metals and metal binding polyphenols [13].

42 Several attempts have been made to assess tea quality by chemical analysis. However, to
43 date, little work has been performed to determine the metal content of teas due to the
44 analytical difficulties associated with both the separation of the constituent components and
45 their quantitative measurement [14].

46 Elements that plants need to survive are called “plant nutrients”. In the analysis of plant
47 tissues, it is possible to see almost all the elements found in nature. Although plants are
48 generally selective about the intake of nutrient ions, but as the concentration of nutrient
49 elements found in the growth medium increases, some heavy metals can pass into the body of
50 plants by passive means and can then enter the food chain [15]. As a result, this can affect
51 plant toxicity and compromise the humans and animals health who feed on these plants.
52 However, 16 of these elements (C, H, O, N, P, K, S, Ca, Mg, Fe, Zn, Mn, Cu, B, Cr, Mo) are
53 essential nutrients for all plants. Another six elements (Co, Al, Na, Si, Ni and V) are useful
54 elements that are known to be necessary for only some of the plants or processes [16-18].

55 Al, Cu, Fe, Mn, Sr and Zn are elements which have major significance for human health [19].
56 Especially in recent years, teas derived from plant leaves or flowers have experienced an
57 increased consumption in alternative medicine treatments, some popular teas are black tea,
58 green tea and chamomile tea [20, 22]. However, the study reported here is actually rather
59 rare.

60 The main objective of this study was set to determine the quantity of heavy metals (Cd, Pb,
61 Ni, Fe, Cu, Zn, Mg, and Cr) in herbal teas marketed in Misurata-Libya, it is especially
62 relevant to note that this area was very recently the front line in a civil war zone, where much
63 contamination of crops and plants was evident through destructive deployment of advanced
64 weaponry, from which abnormally high levels of heavy metal deposition is expected. Finally,

65 the results are compared with the outcomes of other publications relating to food and
66 beverage consumption.

67 **2. MATERIAL AND METHOD**

68 **2.1 Sample Collection**

69 Seven marked brands of tea, which are normally consumed in Misurata city in Libya. Tea
70 brands include Zahrat tea (brand 1), Two Rams (brand 2), Budgerigar (brand 3), Super
71 Thamunniy (brand 4), Elarosa Tea (brand 5), Lipton (brand 6) and Al- huseyni Tea (brand
72 7).

73 Three packs of each brand with different manufacture dates were obtained. Each sample
74 was analysed to determine the quantity of heavy metals by atomic absorption spectrometry
75 (AAS). About 3 grams of dried sample were taken for analysis in each experiment.

76

77 **2.2 Sample Preparation**

78 The glassware and polyethylene containers used for analysis were washed with tap water,
79 then soaked overnight in 6 N HNO₃ solutions and rinsed several times with ultrapure
80 water to eliminate absorbance due to detergent.

81 3 grams of each sample, accurately weighed, were taken for the analysis. The samples
82 were digested using the recommended method described by AOAC. [23].

83 3 g of each tea herbs sample were digested using 100 ml of concentrated HNO₃ for 10
84 min. The mixture was heated using electric heater until nearly dried. The mixture was left
85 to cool at room temperature. The digested sample was mixed with mixture of concentrated
86 HNO₃ and HClO₄ (5:1 v/v). The mixture was heated on electric heater until the solution
87 turned white and gives out the white fumes. The digest was transferred into 50 ml
88 volumetric flask and the volume was adjusted to the mark using distilled water.
89 Concentrations of heavy metals were determined using atomic absorption
90 spectrophotometry. A reference sample for the background correction was prepared using
91 the same procedure.

92 **2.3 Analytical Procedure**

93 Cu, Cr, Ni, Cd, Mn, Fe, Mg and Pb in the designated tea samples were analysed using
94 atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AA Analyst 6650, SHIMADZU Atomic Absorption
95 Spectrophotometer). The absorption wavelength for the determination of each metal
96 together with its linear working range and correlation coefficient of calibration graphs are

97 given in Table 1. Data were rounded off suitably according to the value of standard
98 deviation obtained from measurements performed in triplicate.

99

100 **2.4 Statistical Analysis:**

101 The results were expressed as the means values with standard deviations. The Pearson
102 correlation coefficient was used for comparing the results between elements and the
103 significance level was determined as $p < 0.01$. The analysis was performed using a
104 software package IBM SPSS Statistics 20

105

106

107

108

109

Table 1. Analytical characteristics of the AAS and flame determination

metal	λ (nm)	Concentration $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$	Correlation coefficient (r)
Cr	357.9	0.05-5.0	0.999
Cu	324.8	0.04-5.0	0.994
Cd	228.8	0.005-0.5	0.998
Ni	232.0	0.08-2.0	0.997
Pb	283.3	0.005-0.3	0.992
Mn	279.5	0.03-3.0	0.999
Fe	248.3	0.05-5.0	1
Mg	285.3	0.05-4.0	0.998

110 **2.5 Quality Control**

111 The quality of the analytical procedures was checked using standard Polish Certified Reference
112 Material Tea Leaves (INCT-TL-1) from the Food and Drugs Control Centre, Libya. Each part of the
113 (sample of dry powder) prepared for the study of recovery and analytical reproducibility was assessed
114 using sample duplicates, blanks and certified standards. The analysis of certified reference material
115 (CRM) allowed an evaluation of accuracy and precision over a wide range of element concentrations.
116 The results from the analysis of certified reference material were all found to lie within the 95%
117 reliability limit. The results are given in Table 2

118

119

120

121 **Table 2. Certificate for the values of the standard reference material and the results of tea leaves**

No	Heavy metal	Determined values ($\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$)	Element Certified values ($\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$)	Recovery (%)
1	Cr	1.82±0.08	1.91± 0.22	95.29
2	Cu	20.2±1.5	20.4±1.5	99.019
3	Cd	0.027±0.01	0.030±0.004	90
4	Ni	5.99± 0.21	6.12±0.52	97.87
5	Pb	1.76±0.34	1.78±0.24	98.88
6	Mn (%)	0.152±0.092	0.157±0.011	96.81
7	Fe	431	432	99.77
8	Mg (%)	0.212±0.020	0.224±0.017	94.64

122

123

124

125

126

127

128 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

129 The concentrations of Cu, Cr, Ni, Cd, Mn, Fe, Mg and Pb in all samples are shown in Table 3. The
 130 accuracy of the method of analysis used was compared to standard reference materials.

131 Magnesium functions with calcium in the transmission of nerve impulses in the brain. Both elements
 132 give relief in patients having depression. [24] Fig 1 shows the distribution pattern for Mg in the tea leaf
 133 samples with a mean of 141.11 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$, with a range of 91.98 to 213.83 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$. The result obtained for
 134 the magnesium determination compares favourably with the values reported by Kazi et al. [25].Fig 2
 135 shows the distribution pattern of iron, with a range of 79.01-167. $\mu\text{g.g}^{-1}$, and a mean value of 119.39
 136 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$. Fe was the highest in brand 2 and the lowest in brand 6.The bioavailability of this element is
 137 influenced by the polyphenols found in tea that can markedly inhibit the absorption of iron. [26]

138 The copper contents in the examined tea samples ranged from 5.141 to 17.1 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$ with the mean of
 139 10.13 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$ (figure 3). The results obtained showed higher values than those values reported by
 140 Muntean Nicoleta et al. [27] and Marbaniang et al. [28]. The lowest value of copper content was
 141 found in brand 1 tea and the highest in brand 2 tea samples. It was evident from this study that the Cu
 142 content of all the tea samples were less than 17.1 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$, which is well below the allowable limit of
 143 150 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$ proscribed under the Prevention of Food Adulteration Act, 1954 (PFA), India. The
 144 difference of Cu content in the tea herbs could be attributed to different types, grade and production

145 areas of the teas. Cu pollution could be ascribed to occur mainly from the rolling machine used in
 146 factory tea leaf production and to agricultural fungicides. [29]

147

Table 3. Concentrations of Elements in Tea Products

Metal concentration ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$)							
Heavy metal	brand 1 (mean \pm SD)	brand 2 (mean \pm SD)	brand 3 (mean \pm SD)	brand 4 (mean \pm SD)	brand 5 (mean \pm SD)	brand 6 (mean \pm SD)	brand 7 (mean \pm SD)
Cr	3.4 \pm 0.082	2.84 \pm 0.09	1.476 \pm 0.10	0.890 \pm 0.17	1.850 \pm 0.18	1.065 \pm 0.11	2.090 \pm 0.21
Cu	5.141 \pm 0.10	17.1 \pm 1.25	7.121 \pm 0.70	12.211 \pm 0.09	8.116 \pm 0.10	6.140 \pm 0.10	15.133 \pm 0.19
Cd	0.05 \pm 0.02	0.12 \pm 0.07	0.161 \pm 0.15	0.38 \pm 0.15	0.113 \pm 0.15	0.14 \pm 0.06	0.035 \pm 0.03
Ni	2.349 \pm 0.31	1.1 \pm 0.40	1.9 \pm 0.44	1.5 \pm 0.23	0.1982 \pm 0.50	0.0833 \pm 0.02	0.182 \pm 0.104
Pb	0.58 \pm 0.14	0.587 \pm 0.22	0.531 \pm 0.18	0.860 \pm 0.18	0.647 \pm 0.19	0.463 \pm 0.13	0.901 \pm 0.18
Mn	43.42 \pm 1.37	75.27 \pm 2.13	89.46 \pm 2.32	54.39 \pm 1.13	62.91 \pm 2.26	32.01 \pm 1.10	47.81 \pm 2.38
Fe	125.5 \pm 1.21	167.1 \pm 1.13	156.31 \pm 1.47	89.46 \pm 0.97	131.83 \pm 2.14	79.01 \pm 1.11	92.63 \pm 0.98
Mg	121.62 \pm 1.59	185.65 \pm 2.03	213.83 \pm 1.34	94.89 \pm 1.72	136.96 \pm 0.97	91.98 \pm 1.18	142.87 \pm 2.21

148




Fig. 1. Distribution of Magnesium in Tea Leaves Sample




Fig. 2. Distribution of iron in Tea Leaves Sample

The concentration of lead (Pb) in tea leaf samples is presented in Fig. 4 with a mean of $0.652 \mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$, and a range of 0.463 to $0.901 \mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$. The main sources of Pb in tea samples could be ascribed to their growth media, such as soil.

Pb contamination in soil usually can be attributed to industrial activity, agricultural activities (application of insecticides) and urban activities (combustion of gasoline); here, in Misurata, the war zone could also be a contributory factor. Tea plants are normally grown in highly acidic soils where Pb is more bioavailable for root uptake; Deposits from polluted air into the leaves of the plant can be another source of Pb contamination of tea [29]. The results obtained showed higher values than those values reported by Muntean Nicoleta et al. [27].




Fig. 3. Distribution of copper in Tea Leaves Sample




Fig. 4. Distribution of Lead in Tea Leaves Sample

Cr in tea may have not only useful but also harmful effects on human health [30]. Cr(III) plays a crucial role in human physiology by stimulating glucose metabolism, controlling blood cholesterol levels, stimulating the synthesis of protein, increasing resistance to pain and suppressing hunger pain [30, 31]. Our study on the herbal tea leaves determined Cr levels 0.890 to 3.4 µg. g⁻¹ with a mean value of 1.944 µg. g⁻¹ (Fig. 5), distinctly different reported values ranged from 0.33 to 2.43 µg. g⁻¹ Cr in herbal tea samples [32] and 0.45 to 0.99 µg. g⁻¹ in green tea [33] and a reported range from 2.95 to 7.6 µg/g in black tea samples from South India. Cr is normally considered as a local contaminant and is attributed mainly to contamination from the CTC rollers during the manufacturing of tea ; the CTC rollers are comprised of gun metals which having only trace level of Cr content [34]. Yasmeen et al., [35] have reported 175 µg g⁻¹ Mn in black tea samples from Pakistan.

The levels of Mn in black tea samples from China have been reported by Xie et al., [36] as 607 ± 200 µg g⁻¹. Naithani and Kakkar [37] in their study of black tea samples in South India reported a mean Mn concentration of 140 ± 5.29 µg g⁻¹. Manganese is an important co-factor for many enzymes and plays an essential role in the body's functions [31].






Fig. 5. Distribution of Chromium in Tea Leaves Sample

184 However, high doses of dietary manganese can be associated with long-term toxicity. Therefore, an
 185 estimated safe and sufficient daily dietary intake is 2-5 mg [35 =38]. Our study on the herbal tea leaves
 186 found Mn levels in the range of 32.01 to 89.46
 187 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$ with a mean value of 57.89 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$. The highest and lowest concentrations of Mn were found in
 188 brand3 and brand6 respectively (Fig. 6).



189
 190 Fig. 6. Distribution of Manganese in Tea Leaves Sample


191 Our study on the herbal tea leaves Ni levels revealed that they ranged between 0.0833 and 2.349 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$
 192 with a mean value of 1.044 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$ (Fig. 7).The lowest Ni content was found in brand 6 teas and the
 193 highest in brand 1 tea. Nickel in tea samples ranging between 2.89 and 22.6 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$ was previously
 194 reported by other investigator [39] and the nickel content in black tea was slightly higher than in green
 195 tea. It is believed that nickel contamination mainly occurs through foliar absorption and through the
 196 application of low quality fertilizers and micro nutrients to the soil [40]. Since Ni is a toxic element, not
 197 having any tolerance limit in tea, the agricultural inputs used in tea plantations should be monitored for
 198 heavy metal impurity.



199
 200 Fig. 7. Distribution of Nickel in Tea Leaves Sample

201 For cadmium, the concentration range found in this study was from 0.035 to 0.38 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$, with an average
 202 of 0.142 $\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$ (Fig. 8). Seenivasan et al. [41] reported a lower mean Cd concentration in black tea
 203 samples from South India as $0.14 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$. Waqar and Mian [42], and Narin et al. [43] reported a

204 higher mean Cd concentration as 1.1 ± 0.5 and $2.0 \pm 0.8 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ in black tea leaves from Saudi Arabia and
 205 Turkey, respectively. Shen and Chen [44], in their study of metal concentration in green and black tea in
 206 Taiwan reported a mean Cd concentration of $0.07 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ in black tea, which is lower than the present
 207 report. The Cd concentration of tea leaves from several tea estates in different regions varied from small
 208 amounts to a large amount depending on the soil structures.



209
 210 Fig. 8. Distribution of Cadmium in Tea Leaves Sample

211 In order to estimate possible correlation between elements, the results obtained are analyzed using
 212 statistical software. The results demonstrated significant Pearson correlations (at the 0.01 level) between
 213 Zn-Cu-Mn, Fe-Cd, Fe-Mg, Pb-Cd, Mn-Mg and Ni-Cr: 0.79; 0.71; 0.69; 0.68; 0.80 and 0.77, respectively.
 214 Our results did not show any significant correlation between other elements.

215 Table 4 shows the survey of metal contents for various herbal teas from different regions. The conclusion
 216 can be drawn that there are significant differences in the heavy metal contents in herbal teas, which can be
 217 ascribed to the different soil quality on which the plants had been grown. It is a rather complex deduction
 218 which must bear in mind the geographical distances between the different regions on one hand, and on the
 219 other hand the ability of the different tea plants to accumulate the individual heavy metals. It is well
 220 known that some plants have an extraordinary ability to accumulate heavy metals and are used for
 221 bioremediation of the soil [51].

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229 **Table 4. Comparison of metal contents ($\mu\text{g. g}^{-1}$) of herbal teas marketed in Misurata-Libya**
 230 **with others from different areas.**

Country	Zn	Mn	Fe	Pb	Ni	Cu	Cd	Reference
Egypt	8–68.8	9.8–289	26.96–1046	0.5–14.4	0.61-2.85	1.8–11.4	1.06–2.44	45
Turkey	21.9–48.4	23-244	224.8-810	0.26-4.80	0.90-5.4	3.92–35.8	0.004–0.44	46
Iran	-	-	-	2.08-2.59	-	17.59-32.8	-	47
India	-	-	-	0.48–1.03	1.1–5.3	15.9–32.2	0.05–0.38	48
Pakistan	55.3–70	24.6–28.9	125.2-151.1	-	-	12.2–14.3	-	49
Serbia	15.0–43.0	25.0-111	75.0–546	-	-	5.92–14.79	-	50
Libya (Misurata)	-	32.01-89.46	79.01-167.1	0.463-0.901	0.0833-2.349	5.141-17.12	0.05-0.38	Present study

231 **4. CONCLUSION**

232 As tea is an indispensable part of everyday life for many people in Misurata, Libya, as elsewhere, these
 233 studies can be considered as a preliminary experiment which should be expanded and continued to
 234 ensure that public health is properly monitored and screened. Many scientific studies have concluded
 235 that tea drinking is beneficial and helps prevent many diseases, including skin cancer, Parkinson's
 236 disease, myocardial infarction, and coronary artery disease.

237 The samples studied here contain metals in trace levels, with concentrations varying from sample to
 238 sample; the most dangerous metals for human health (lead and cadmium) are present in only low
 239 concentrations, which is perhaps a rather surprising result initially in view of the previous military
 240 activity which has seemingly not affected the uptake of these metals from soil. The determination of
 241 the metal content of herbal teas allows the assessment of environmental pollution and the quality and
 242 quantity of metal ions made available through their uptake by human beings. Due to their hazard, the
 243 content of heavy metals in such products has to be one of the main criteria for the use of plants as raw
 244 materials in the production of traditional medicines and herbal infusions. Therefore, it is essential to
 245 have a good quality control of plant raw materials used for preparing herbal teas to ensure the safety
 246 and efficacy of herbal products.

247

248 **5. REFERENCES**

- 249 1. P. Chand, R.Sharma, R. Prasad, R.K. Sud, and Y.B. Pakade, *Food and Nutrition Sciences*, **2**, 1160
 250 **(2011)**.
- 251 2. G.V. Stagg, and D.J. Millin, *Journal of Science for the Food and Agriculture*, **26**, 1439 **(1975)**.

- 252 3. S. Nas, H.Y. Gokalp, and Y. Sahin, *Zeitschrift für Lebensmittel-Untersuchung und-Forschung*. 196,
253 32 (1993).
- 254 4. L. Ferrara, D. Montesano, and A. Senatore , *Farmaco*. 56, 397(2001).
- 255 5. S. Jabeen, M.T. Shah, S. Khan, M.Q. Hayat, *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, 4, 559 (2010).
- 256 6. A. Kabata, and H. Pendias, *Trace Elements in Soils and Plants*, 3rd Edition, CRC Press, Boca Raton,
257 Fl., 365 (2001)
- 258 7. G. Karimi, M.K. Hasanzadeh, A. Nili, Z. Khashayarmanesh, Z. Samiei, F. Nazari, and M. Teimuri,
259 *Pharmacology*, 3, 164 (2008).
- 260 8. S.A. Khan, L. Khan, I. Hussain, K.B. Marwat, and N. Akhtar, *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* 14 (1-2),
261 101(2008).
- 262 9. S. Gezgin, M.M. Ozcan, and E. Ataly, *J Med Food*, 9,123 (2006).
- 263 10. A. Marcos, G. Fisher, and S.G. HillJ *Agric Atom Spect.* 113, 527 (1996).
- 264 11. N. Setia, D. Kaur, andR.C. Setia, *Journal of Plant Science Res.* 5, 127 (1989).
- 265 12. M. A. Bosque, M. Schuhmacher and J. L. Domingo, *Science of Total Environment*, 95, 61(1990).
- 266 13. X. Cao, G. Zhao, M. Yin and J. Li. *Analyst*, 123, (5), 1115(1998).
- 267 14. A. Marcus, A. Fisher, J. Ree, and S.J. Hill, *J. Agric. Atom. Spect.* 113,521 (1996).
- 268 15. A. Lesniewicz, K. Jaworska, and W. yrnicki, *Food Chem.* 99, 670 (2006).
- 269 16. M. Ghaedi, K. Niknam, S. Zamani, H. AbasiLarki, M. Roosta, and M. Soylak, *Mat. Sci. Eng.C*, 19,
270 1482 (2013).
- 271 17. M. Ghaedi, M. Montazerozohori, A. Hekmati, and M. Roosta, *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.*
272 93,857(2013).
- 273 18. M. Ghaedi, M. Montazerozohori, E. Nazari, and R. Nejabat, *Hum. Exp. Toxicol.* 32,697 (2013).
- 274 19. H. Altundag, and M. Tuzen, *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 49, 2800 (2011).
- 275 20. G. Xie, M. Ye, Y. Wang, Y. Ni, M. Su, H. Huang, M. Qiu, A. Zhao, X. Zheng, T. Chen, and W. Jia,
276 *J. Agric.Food Chem.* 57, 3046 (2009).
- 277 21. F.K. Gorur, R. Keser, N. Akçay, N. As, and S. Dizman, *Iran J. Radiat. Res.* 10, 67 (2012).
- 278 22. D. Kara, *Food Chem.* 114, 347 (2009).
- 279 23. Anonymous, AOAC official methods of analysis. Pp15-16 (2005).
- 280 24. J.J. Powell, T.J. Burden, and R.P.H. Thompson, *Analyst*, 123, 1721 (1998).
- 281 25. T.G. Kazi, G.H. Kazi, and T.P. Ansari, *J. ACGC.* 9, 51 (1999).
- 282 26. G. Karimi, M.K. Hasanzadeh, A. Nili, Z. Khashayarmanesh, Z. Samiei, F. Nazari, and M. Teimuri,
283 *Pharmacologyonline*, 3,164(2008) .
- 284 27. M. Nicoleta, E. Muntean, C. Creta, and M.Duda. *ProEnvironment*, 6,591(2013).
- 285 28. D.G. Marbaniang, P. Baruah, R. Decruse, E.R. Dkhar, D.F. Diengdoh, and C.L. Nongpiur,
286 *International Journal of Environmental Protection*, 1(1), 13 (2011).

- 287 29. W.Y. Han, Y.R. Liang, Y.J. Yang, L.F. Ma, and J.Y. Ruan, *J Tea Sci.* 26,95(2016).
- 288 30. AOAC, Official Methods of Analysis. AOAC International, 17th Eds. (2000).
- 289 31. K.L. Mandiwana, N. Panichev, and S. Panicheva, *Food Chem.* 129, 1839 (2011).
- 290 32. H. Altundag, and M. Tuzen, *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 49, 2800 (2011).
- 291 33. A. Marcos, A. Fisher, G. Rea, and S.J. Hill, *Anal. At. Spectrom.* 13, 521(2007).
- 292 34. S. Seenivasan, N. Manikandan, N.N. Muraleedharan, and R. Selvasundaram, *Food Control.* 19, 746
- 293 (2008).
- 294 35. F.Yasmeen, R. Aleem, and J. Anwar, *J Chem Soc Pakistan* 22, 94 (2000).
- 295 36. M. Xie, A. Vonbohlen, R. Klocckenkamper, X. Jian, and K. Guntherk, *Eur.Food Res.* 207,31 (1998).
- 296 37. V. Naithani, and P. Kakkar, *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol.* 75,197 (2005).
- 297 38. A. Mehra, and C.L.Baker, *Food Chem.* 100, 1456 (2007).
- 298 39. L. Ferrara, D. Montesanoa, and A. Senatore, *II Farmaco.* 56, 397 (2001).
- 299 40. A. Marcos, G. Fisher, G. Ree, and S.J. Hill, *J.Anal. Atomic Spectr.* 113, 52 (1998).
- 300 41. S. Seenivasan, N. Manikandan, N.N. Muraleedharan, and R. Selvasundaram *Food Control* 19,746
- 301 (2007).
- 302 42. A. Waqar, and A.A. Mian, *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol.* 81,101 (2008).
- 303 43. I. Narin, H. Colak, O. Turkoglu, M. Soylak, and M. Dogan, *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol.* 72,844
- 304 (2004).
- 305 44. F.M. Shen, and H.W. Chen, *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol.* 80,300(2008).
- 306 45. A.K. Abou-Arab, and M.A. Abou Donia, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 48, 2300 (2000).
- 307 46. S. Basgel, and S.B. Erdemoglu, *Sci. Total Environ.* 359,82 (2006).
- 308 47. E. Altintig, H. Altundag, and M. Tuzen, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Ethiop.* 28(1), 9 (2014).
- 309 48. B. K. Prunus, In: Davis P.H. (ed.), *Flora of Turkey and East Eagean Islands*, University Press,
- 310 *Edinburgh*, (1972), Vol 4, pp. 8–12.
- 311 49. J. Pirzada, W. Shaikh, K.U. Ghani, and K.A. Laghari, *Sindh Univ. Res. Jour.* 41(2), 15 (2009).
- 312 50. S.Ražić, A. Onja, S. Đogo, L. Slavković, and A. Popović, *Talanta*, 67,233 (2005).
- 313 51. M. I. Lone, Z. HePeter, J. Stoffella, and X. Yang, *J Zhejiang Univ Sci B*, 9(3), 210(2008).