

On Estimating Variance Components of Two-Way Nested Random Model with Missing Information

ABSTRACT:

In this paper, Alternative estimators have been derived for estimating the variance components according to modified Minimum Variance Quadratic Unbiased Estimation (MIVQUE) of two-way nested random model when problem of missing information exists.

Keywords: Variance Components, MINQUE, MIVQUE, Missing Information.

INTRODUCTION

Rao (1970) suggested a method of estimation "MINQUE" that does not require the normality assumption for the estimation of variances. Rao (1971) proposed a method of estimation that called MIVQUE, Minimum Variance Quadratic Unbiased Estimation. Subramani (2012) suggested a modification on the computational aspects of MIVQUE of variance components in mixed linear models. He introduced two modified MIVQUE (MIVQUE I and MIVQUE II). He estimated variance components in unbalanced one-way random model by Modified MIVQUE and compared between MIVQUE I, MIVQUE II, MIVQUE based on different optimality criteria.

The meaning of incomplete (missing) information is different from the meaning of missing values. Missing values related to the losing of the observation while missing information related to the losing of location of the observation. This means that the value of the observation is known which could happen because it may be not recorded or lost for any other reasons. (Saleh and El-sheikh, 2002)

Discarding data with missing information loses useful information, and in some circumstances, this approach cannot separate variance components from one another (i.e. it may make some variance components inestimable)

Missing information has three types completely missing information, partially missing information and not at all on any observation.

1. Completely missing information

No observation in the main group has subgroup information.

2. Partially missing information

Some of the observations in the main group have missing subgroup information.

3. Not at all on any observation in the main group is missing.

(Song and Shulman, 1997)

Song and Shulman (1997) estimated the variance components for the data with missing nesting information in the two-stage unbalanced nested random model. They combined sum of squares for the data with missing nesting information with the sum of squares for the data with complete nesting information linearly. Prespecified weights are used for the combination. Different estimates are obtained by using different weights. Variances and covariances of these estimators are derived and used to compare these estimators. Saleh and El Sheikh (2003) modified the analysis of variance method and the combined symmetric sums with the analysis of variance method for estimation of the variance components of three-stage unbalanced nested random models for the data with complete missing nesting information. By a simulation study, they compared the bias and the mean squares errors of the estimates of variance components of the five methods of estimation namely: ANOVA method (Henderson's method 1), Modified ANOVA method, Combined analysis of means with ANOVA method, Combined symmetric sums method with ANOVA method, Combined symmetric sums method with modified ANOVA method.

46 The paper is organized as follows: The second section concerns with the Modified MIVQUE (I) method
 47 introduced by Subramani (2012). The third section illustrates the proposed estimators of modified
 48 MIVQUE I method for complete information and completely missing information in case of unbalanced
 49 two-way nested random model. The fourth section illustrates simulation study to compare different
 50 methods by relative efficiency criteria.

51

52 **MINIMUM VARIANCE QUADRATIC UNBIASED ESTIMATION (MIVQUE I)**

53 Assume the model:

$$54 \quad Y = X\beta + Z_1\delta_1 + Z_2\delta_2 + \dots + Z_d\delta_d \quad (1)$$

55 where Y is an $N \times 1$ vector of observations, N is the sample size

56 X is a $N \times s$ matrix with known constants,

57 β is a $s \times 1$ - vector of fixed (unknown) parameters,

58 Z_i is a $N \times c_i$ matrix with known constants, $i = 1, \dots, d$. ($Z_d = I, c_d = N$)

59 δ_i is a $c_i \times 1$ -vector of random variables. ($\delta_d = e$)

60 Assume that δ_i is random variable with zero mean value and dispersion matrix $\sigma_i^2 I_{c_i}$. Further, δ_1 and δ_j are
 61 uncorrelated.

62 Model (1) can be expressed in a compact form as:

$$63 \quad Y = X\beta + Z\delta \quad (2)$$

64 where $Z = (Z_1 : Z_2 : \dots : Z_d)$ and $\delta = (\delta_1 : \delta_2 : \dots : \delta_d)$.

65 $E(Y) = X\beta$ and $D(Y) = V = \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^2 V_i$ where $V_i = Z_i Z_i^t$.

66 $D(Y)$ is called the dispersion matrix and the parameters $\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_d^2$ are the unknown variance components
 67 whose values should be estimated. (Subramani, 2012)

68 Subramani (2012) developed the estimation of variance components based on Rao (1971) approach.

69 Instead of dealing with one linear combination, he decided to estimate a set of linear combinations of

70 variance components $\sum_{j=1}^d \rho_{ij} \sigma_j$ through a set of quadratic functions $Y^t A_i Y$

71 [A_i is a symmetric matrix and $\rho_{ij} = \text{Tr}(A_i V_j)$]. He claimed that estimating variance components under

72 normality obtained by solving the following equations:

$$73 \quad \begin{bmatrix} \text{Tr}(A_1 V_1) & \dots & \text{Tr}(A_1 V_d) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \text{Tr}(A_d V_1) & \dots & \text{Tr}(A_d V_d) \end{bmatrix}_{d \times d} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_d^2 \end{bmatrix}_{d \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Tr}(A_1 W) \\ \vdots \\ \text{Tr}(A_d W) \end{bmatrix}_{d \times 1} \quad (3)$$

74 He introduced different formulas of A_i to obtain MIVQUE(I). The formulas of A_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, d$) have the
 75 following form:

76 $A_i = V^{-1}(I - P_{U_i})$ where $P_{U_i} = U_i(U_i^t V^{-1} U_i)^- U_i^t V^{-1}$. U_i has a variety of choices,

$$77 \quad \begin{aligned} U_1 &= X, U_2 = [X \ Z_1], U_3 = [X \ Z_2], \dots, U_d = [X \ Z_{d-1}] \\ U_1 &= X, U_2 = [X \ Z_1], U_3 = [X \ Z_1 \ Z_2], \dots, \\ U_d &= [X \ Z_1 \ Z_2 \ \dots \ Z_{d-1}] \\ U_1 &= X, U_2 = [X \ X \ Z_1], U_3 = [X \ X \ Z_1 \ Z_2], \dots, \\ U_d &= [X \ X \ Z_1 \ \dots \ Z_{d-1}] \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

77 where $(U_i^t V^{-1} U_i)^-$ is the generalized inverse of $U_i^t V^{-1} U_i$

78 For the case (i), he derived the estimators, their variances and covariance matrix in the unbalanced one-
 79 way random model. The resulting method are referred to as MIVQUE I.

80 The proposed estimators of variance components are derived by replacing A_i in eq. (3) by A_i for case (ii)
 81 in eq. (4).

82 So the steps of MIVQUE method: 1- Selecting a symmetric matrix A_i , 2- Solving the equation (3), 3-obtain
 83 the estimators of MIVQUE method.

84

85 **ESTIMATION OF VARIANCE COMPONENTS WITH COMPLETELY MISSING** 86 **INFORMATION**

87 In this section, the variance components will be estimated in case of completely missing information by
 88 MMIVQUE I. Pre-specified weights will be used to combine complete information and missing information.

89 Consider the two-way nested random model

90 $Y_{ijk} = \eta + \gamma_i + \beta_{j(i)} + e_{k(ij)}$ (5)

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, S, j = 1, 2, \dots, D_i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n_{ij}$$

91 where Y_{ijk} is the k^{th} observation at the j^{th} level of factor β within the i^{th} level of factor γ .

92 η is the general mean.

93 γ_i , β_{ij} and e_{ijk} are mutually independent random variables with zero means and variances σ_γ^2 , σ_β^2 and σ_e^2

94 respectively. The variance components to be estimated are σ_γ^2 , σ_β^2 and σ_e^2 .

95 When $D_i = D$ for all i and $n_{ij} = n$ for all i and j , each ij cell contains the same number of observations. The

96 data shall be described as balanced data.

97 So the model (5) can be written in matrix form of balanced data as:

98 $Y = X\eta + T\gamma + T\beta + Te$ (6)

99 where Y is an $N \times 1$ vector of observations $X = 1_{N \times 1}$, $N = SDn$

100

$$T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{\sum_{j=1}^{D_1} n_{1j} \times 1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1_{\sum_{j=1}^{D_2} n_{2j} \times 1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{\sum_{j=1}^{D_S} n_{Sj} \times 1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1_{n_{11}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1_{n_{1D_1}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1_{n_{SD_S}} \end{bmatrix}$$

101 , $T_3 = I_N$,

102 with $E(Y) = X\eta$ and $D(Y) = V = V_1\sigma_\gamma^2 + V_2\sigma_\beta^2 + V_3\sigma_e^2$

103 , $V_i = T_i T_i^t$.

$$V_1 = T_1 T_1^t = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & J_S \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{+S} J_i$$

104 where J_i denote $\sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} \times \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij}$ matrix consisting of 1's.

105 and

$$V_2 = T_2 T_2^t = \begin{bmatrix} K_{n_{11}} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & K_{n_{12}} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & K_{n_{SD_S}} \end{bmatrix} = K$$

106 where $K_{n_{ij}}$ denote $n_{ij} \times n_{ij}$ matrix consisting of 1's.

$$V^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sigma_e^2} I - \sum_{i=1}^{+S} B_i - \sum_{i=1}^{+S} \frac{\sigma_\gamma^2}{1 + \sigma_\gamma^2 \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij}}{(\sigma_e^2 + n_{ij} \sigma_\beta^2)}} C_i$$

107 where

$$B_i = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sigma_\beta^2}{\sigma_e^2(\sigma_e^2 + n_{i1} \sigma_\beta^2)} K_{n_{i1} \times n_{i1}} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{\sigma_\beta^2}{\sigma_e^2(\sigma_e^2 + n_{iD_i} \sigma_\beta^2)} K_{n_{iD_i} \times n_{iD_i}} \end{bmatrix}$$

108 and

$$C_i = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{(\sigma_e^2 + n_{i1}\sigma_\beta^2)} \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \frac{1}{(\sigma_e^2 + n_{iD_i}\sigma_\beta^2)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

109

110 Let S' and $S'' = S - S'$ be the numbers of main groups with no missing and missing subgroup nesting
 111 information respectively. We assume that all D_i 's and n_{ij} 's in Model (6) are known.

112 The variance components will be estimated for balanced two-way nested random model using
 113 MMIVQUEI.

114 Let $V^* = \alpha_1 V_1 + \alpha_2 V_2 + \alpha_0 V_3$ where α_1 , α_2 and α_0 are a priori values for σ_γ^2 , σ_β^2 and σ_e^2 respectively.

$$V^{*(-1)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} I - \sum_{i=1}^{+S} B_i - \sum_{i=1}^{+S} \frac{\alpha_1}{1 + \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij}}{(\alpha_0 + n_{ij}\alpha_2)}} C_i$$

115 Steps of estimation:

116 Estimation of variance components for S' groups. (data with complete information)

117 Estimation of variance components for S'' groups. (data with missing information)

118 Pre-specified weights will be used to combine data with complete information and missing information.

119 If there is a notation with a single prime (double primes) is defined as the quantity with complete
 120 information (with missing information).

121 Lemma (1): The estimators of variance components in balanced two-way nested random model with
 122 completely missing information are:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_e^2 &= \frac{Q'_3}{\text{Tr}(A'_3, V'_3)} \\ \hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 &= \frac{w_2 Q'_2 + (1 - w_2) Q''_2 - [w_2 \text{Tr}(A'_2 V'_3) + (1 - w_2) \text{Tr}(A''_2 V''_3)] \hat{\sigma}_e^2}{[w_2 \text{Tr}(A'_2 V'_2) + (1 - w_2) \text{Tr}(A''_2 V''_2)]} \\ \hat{\sigma}_\gamma^2 &= \frac{w_1 Q'_1 + (1 - w_1) Q''_1 - P_2 \hat{\sigma}_e^2 - P_1 \hat{\sigma}_\beta^2}{[w_1 \text{Tr}(A'_1 V'_1) + (1 - w_1) \text{Tr}(A''_1 V''_1)]} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

123 Proof:

124 Part (1): data with complete information:

125 For model (6), the matrix A'_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$ for data with complete information is:

$$\begin{aligned} A'_{1U} &= V_{(c)}^{*(-1)} - h' [V_{(c)}^{*(-1)} X' X' t V_{(c)}^{*(-1)}] \\ A'_{2U} &= V_{(c)}^{*(-1)} - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{+S'} \frac{1}{h_i \left(1 + \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij}}{\alpha_0 + n_{ij}\alpha_2} \right)^2} C_i \right] \\ A'_{3U} &= V_{(c)}^{*(-1)} - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{+S'} F_i - \sum_{i=1}^{+S'} \frac{\alpha_1}{\left(1 + \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij}}{\alpha_0 + n_{ij}\alpha_2} \right)} C_i \right] \end{aligned}$$

126 where

$$F_i = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{n_{i1}(\sigma_e^2 + n_{i1}\sigma_\beta^2)} K_{n_{i1} \times n_{i1}} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{n_{iD_i}(\sigma_e^2 + n_{iD_i}\sigma_\beta^2)} K_{n_{iD_i} \times n_{iD_i}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V_{(c)}^{*(-1)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} I_{N' \times N'} - \sum_{i=1}^{+S'} B_i - \sum_{i=1}^{+S'} \frac{\alpha_1}{1 + \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij}}{(\alpha_0 + n_{ij}\alpha_2)}} C_i$$

$$h' = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{S'} h_i}$$

127 The normal equations are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Tr}(A'_1 V'_1) & \text{Tr}(A'_1 V'_2) & \text{Tr}(A'_1 V'_3) \\ \text{Tr}(A'_2 V'_1) & \text{Tr}(A'_2 V'_2) & \text{Tr}(A'_2 V'_3) \\ \text{Tr}(A'_3 V'_1) & \text{Tr}(A'_3 V'_2) & \text{Tr}(A'_3 V'_3) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_\gamma^2 \\ \sigma_\beta^2 \\ \sigma_e^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q'_1 \\ Q'_2 \\ Q'_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

128 where $Q'_i = \text{Tr}(A'_i W)$, $W' = Y'Y'^t$, $i = 1, 2, 3$

$$Q'_1 = \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_0} \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{k=1}^n Y_{ijk}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_0 a_{ij}} Y_{ij.}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{\alpha_1}{a_{ij}^2 b_i} Y_{ij.}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{l=1}^{D_i} \frac{\alpha_1}{a_{ij} b_i a_{il}} Y_{ij.} Y_{il.} \right]$$

$$- h' \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_0} \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{k=1}^n Y_{ijk} - \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij} \alpha_2}{\alpha_0 a_{ij}} Y_{ij.} - \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{l=1}^{D_i} \frac{\alpha_1 n_{ij}}{b_i} \left(\frac{1}{a_{ij}^2} Y_{ij.} + \frac{1}{a_{ij} a_{il}} Y_{il.} \right) \right]^2$$

$$Q'_2 = \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_0} \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{k=1}^n Y_{ijk}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_0 a_{ij}} Y_{ij.}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{\alpha_1}{a_{ij}^2 b_i} Y_{ij.}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{l=1}^{D_i} \frac{\alpha_1}{a_{ij} b_i a_{il}} Y_{ij.} Y_{il.} \right]$$

$$- \left[\sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{1}{h_i a_{ij}^2 b_i^2} Y_{ij.}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{l=1}^{D_i} \frac{1}{h_i a_{ij} b_i^2 a_{il}} Y_{ij.} Y_{il.} \right]$$

$$Q'_3 = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{k=1}^n Y_{ijk}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{1}{n_{ij}} Y_{ij.}^2 \right]$$

129 and

$$\text{Tr}(A'_1 V'_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij}}{a_{ij} b_i} - h' \sum_{i=1}^{S'} (h_i)^2$$

$$\text{Tr}(A'_1 \tilde{V}'_2) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij} [a_{ij} b_i - n_{ij} \alpha_1]}{a_{ij}^2 b_i} \right] - h' \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{l=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} \left[\frac{n_{ij} (b_i a_{ij} - n_{ij} \alpha_1)}{a_{ij}^3 b_i^2} - \frac{n_{ij}^2 \alpha_1}{a_{ij} b_i^2 a_{il}^2} \right]$$

$$\text{Tr}(A'_1 V'_3) = \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_0} - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_0 a_{ij}} - \frac{\alpha_1}{b_i a_{ij}^2} \right] - h' \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{l=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} \left[\frac{(b_i a_{ij} - n_{ij} \alpha_1)}{a_{ij}^3 b_i^2} - \frac{n_{ij} \alpha_1}{a_{ij} b_i^2 a_{il}^2} \right]$$

$$\text{Tr}(A'_2 V'_2) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij} [a_{ij} b_i - n_{ij} \alpha_1]}{a_{ij}^2 b_i} \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij}^2}{a_{ij}^2 b_i^2 h_i}$$

$$\text{Tr}(A'_2 V'_3) = \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_0} - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_0 a_{ij}} - \frac{\alpha_1}{b_i a_{ij}^2} \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij}}{a_{ij}^2 b_i^2 h_i}$$

$$\text{Tr}(A'_3 V'_3) = \sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha_0} - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_0 a_{ij}} - \frac{\alpha_1}{b_i a_{ij}^2} \right] - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{S'} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{[a_{ij} b_i - n_{ij} \alpha_1]}{a_{ij}^2 b_i} \right]$$

130 Since

$$131 \text{Tr}(A'_1 V'_1) \sigma_\gamma^2 + \text{Tr}(A'_1 V'_2) \sigma_\beta^2 + \text{Tr}(A'_1 V'_3) \sigma_e^2 = Q'_1 \quad (8)$$

$$132 \text{Tr}(A'_2 V'_2) \sigma_\beta^2 + \text{Tr}(A'_2 V'_3) \sigma_e^2 = Q'_2 \quad (9)$$

$$133 \text{Tr}(A'_3 V'_3) \sigma_e^2 = Q'_3 \quad (10)$$

134 By solving eq.(8), (9) and (10), the estimators of variance components for data with complete information
135 can be given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\sigma}_e^2 &= \frac{Q'_3}{\text{Tr}(A'_3 V'_3)} \\ \ddot{\sigma}_\beta^2 &= \frac{Q'_2 - \text{Tr}(A'_2 V'_3) \ddot{\sigma}_e^2}{\text{Tr}(A'_2 V'_2)} \\ \ddot{\sigma}_\gamma^2 &= \frac{Q'_1 - \text{Tr}(A'_1 V'_3) \ddot{\sigma}_e^2 - \text{Tr}(A'_1 V'_2) \ddot{\sigma}_\beta^2}{\text{Tr}(A'_1 V'_1)}\end{aligned}$$

136 Part (2): data with missing information by MMIVQUE I(0):
137 For model (6), the matrix A''_{iU} , $i = 1, 2, 3$ for data with complete information is:

$$\begin{aligned}A''_1 &= V_{(m)}^{*(-1)} - h'' \left[V_{(m)}^{*(-1)} X'' X''^t V_{(m)}^{*(-1)} \right] \\ A''_2 &= V_{(m)}^{*(-1)} - \left[\sum_{i=S'+1}^{+S} \frac{1}{h_i \left(1 + \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij}}{\alpha_0 + n_{ij} \alpha_2} \right)^2} C_i \right] \\ A''_3 &= V_{(m)}^{*(-1)} - \left[\sum_{i=S'+1}^{+S} F_i - \sum_{i=S'+1}^{+S} \frac{\alpha_1}{\left(1 + \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij}}{\alpha_0 + n_{ij} \alpha_2} \right)} C_i \right]\end{aligned}$$

138 where

$$\begin{aligned}V_{(m)}^{*(-1)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha_0} I_{N'' \times N''} - \sum_{i=S'+1}^{+S} B_i - \sum_{i=S'+1}^{+S} \frac{\alpha_1}{1 + \alpha_1 \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij}}{(\alpha_0 + n_{ij} \alpha_2)}} C_i \\ h'' &= \frac{1}{\sum_{i=S'+1}^{+S} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij}}\end{aligned}$$

139 The normal equations are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Tr}(A''_1 V''_1) & \text{Tr}(A''_1 V''_2) & \text{Tr}(A''_1 V''_3) \\ \text{Tr}(A''_2 V''_1) & \text{Tr}(A''_2 V''_2) & \text{Tr}(A''_2 V''_3) \\ \text{Tr}(A''_3 V''_1) & \text{Tr}(A''_3 V''_2) & \text{Tr}(A''_3 V''_3) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_\gamma^2 \\ \sigma_\beta^2 \\ \sigma_e^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q'_1 \\ Q'_2 \\ Q'_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

140 where

$$\begin{aligned}Q''_1 &= \left[\sum_{i=S'+1}^S \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} Y_{ijk}^2 \right] - h'' \left[\sum_{i=S'+1}^S \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} Y_{ijk} \right]^2 \\ Q''_2 &= \left[\sum_{i=S'+1}^S \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} Y_{ijk}^2 \right] - \left[\sum_{i=S'+1}^S \frac{1}{n_i} Y_{i.}^2 \right] \\ Q''_3 &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{S''} \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \sum_{k=1}^{n_{ij}} Y_{ijk}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{S''} \sum_{j=1}^D \frac{1}{n_{ij}} Y_{ij.}^2 \right]\end{aligned}$$

141 and

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(A''_1 V''_1) &= \sum_{i=S'+1}^S \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} - h'' \sum_{i=S'+1}^S \left(\sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} \right)^2 \\ \text{Tr}(A''_1 V''_2) &= \left[\sum_{i=S'+1}^S \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} \right] - h'' \sum_{i=S'+1}^S \sum_{i=1}^{D_i} n_{ij}^2 \\ \text{Tr}(A''_1 V''_3) &= \sum_{i=S'+1}^S \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} - h'' \sum_{i=S'+1}^S \sum_{i=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} \\ \text{Tr}(A''_2 V''_2) &= \left[\sum_{i=S'+1}^S \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} \right] - \sum_{i=S'+1}^S \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij}^2}{\sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr}(A_2''V_3'') &= \sum_{i=S'+1}^S \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} - \sum_{i=S'+1}^S \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} \frac{n_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij}} \\ \text{Tr}(A_3''V_3'') &= \sum_{i=S'+1}^S \sum_{j=1}^{D_i} n_{ij} - \left[\sum_{i=S'+1}^S D_i \right]\end{aligned}$$

142 Since

$$143 \text{Tr}(A_1''V_1'')\sigma_a^2 + \text{Tr}(A_1''V_2'')\sigma_\beta^2 + \text{Tr}(A_1''V_3'')\sigma_e^2 = Q_1'' \quad (11)$$

$$144 \text{Tr}(A_2''V_2'')\sigma_\beta^2 + \text{Tr}(A_2''V_3'')\sigma_e^2 = Q_2'' \quad (12)$$

$$145 \text{Tr}(A_3''V_3'')\sigma_e^2 = Q_3'' \quad (13)$$

146

147 Part (3): Combination the data with complete information and completely missing information:

148 Pre-specified weights will be used to combine (Q_1' & Q_1'') and (Q_2' & Q_2'') as:

$$\text{Tr}(A_3'V_3')\hat{\sigma}_e^2 = Q_3' \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned}w_2Q_2' + (1 - w_2)Q_2'' &= w_2[\text{Tr}(A_2'V_2')\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 + \text{Tr}(A_2'V_3')\hat{\sigma}_e^2] \\ &+ (1 - w_2)[\text{Tr}(A_2''V_2'')\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 + \text{Tr}(A_2''V_3'')\hat{\sigma}_e^2]\end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned}w_1Q_1' + (1 - w_1)Q_1'' &= w_1[\text{Tr}(A_1'V_1')\hat{\sigma}_\gamma^2 + \text{Tr}(A_1'V_2')\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 + \text{Tr}(A_1'V_3')\hat{\sigma}_e^2] \\ &+ (1 - w_1)[\text{Tr}(A_1''V_1'')\hat{\sigma}_\gamma^2 + \text{Tr}(A_1''V_2'')\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 \\ &+ \text{Tr}(A_1''V_3'')\hat{\sigma}_e^2]\end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

149 By solving eq.(14), (15) and (16), the estimators of variance components are:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}_e^2 &= \frac{Q_3'}{\text{Tr}(A_3'V_3')} \\ \hat{\sigma}_\beta^2 &= \frac{w_2Q_2' + (1 - w_2)Q_2'' - [w_2\text{Tr}(A_2'V_3') + (1 - w_2)\text{Tr}(A_2''V_3'')]\hat{\sigma}_e^2}{[w_2\text{Tr}(A_2'V_2') + (1 - w_2)\text{Tr}(A_2''V_2'')]} \\ \hat{\sigma}_\gamma^2 &= \frac{w_1Q_1' + (1 - w_1)Q_1'' - P_2\hat{\sigma}_e^2 - P_1\hat{\sigma}_\beta^2}{[w_1\text{Tr}(A_1'V_1') + (1 - w_1)\text{Tr}(A_1''V_1'')]} \end{aligned}$$

150 where

$$\begin{aligned}P_1 &= [w_1\text{Tr}(A_1'V_2') + (1 - w_1)\text{Tr}(A_1''V_2'')], \\ P_2 &= [w_1\text{Tr}(A_1'V_3') + (1 - w_1)\text{Tr}(A_1''V_3'')]\end{aligned}$$

151

152

153

154 SIMULATION STUDY OF TWO –WAY NESTED RANDOM MODEL

155 In this section, it is used R program to estimate the variance components in unbalanced two-way random
156 nested model and to compare the estimators of modified methods by using Mean Square Error (MSE)
157 and Relative Efficiency in case of completely missing information through a simulation study with samples
158 of size $N = 60$, number of groups $S = 8$, number of subgroups $D_1 = 2, D_2 = 3, D_3 = 2, D_4 = 3, D_5 = 2, D_6 =$
159 $3, D_7 = 2, D_8 = 3$, the true values $\sigma_e^2 = 1, \sigma_\beta^2 = \sigma_\gamma^2 = 0.1, 0.5, 2$, initial values $\alpha_0 = 1, \alpha_1 = 0.1, 0.5, 2, \alpha_2 =$
160 $0.1, 0.5, 2$.

161 Percentage of missing information levels 25%, 50% and 75%, the weights
162 $(w_1, w_2) = (0.5, 0.5), (0.78, 0.89), (0.5, 0.91)$, and sample of each subgroup $n_{11} = 3, n_{12} = 5, n_{21} = 3, n_{22} =$
163 $2, n_{23} = 2, n_{31} = 3, n_{32} = 4, n_{41} = 5, n_{42} = 4, n_{43} = 2, n_{51} = 3, n_{52} = 2, n_{61} = 2, n_{62} = 4, n_{63} = 2, n_{71} =$
164 $3, n_{72} = 2, n_{81} = 3, n_{82} = 2, n_{83} = 4$. The chain is run for 5000 iterations.

165 For σ_β^2 , we compare MMIV(MIV(0)) and ANOVA by using Mean Square Error (MSE) and Relative
166 Efficiency (MSE of MMIV(MIV(0))'s estimator/ MSE of ANOVA's estimator) which the estimator of σ_β^2 is
167 the same for methods MMIV(ANOVA) and MMIV(MIV(0)).

168 For σ_γ^2 , we compare ANOVA, MMIV(MIV(0)) and MMIV(ANOVA) by using Mean Square Error (MSE) and
169 Relative Efficiency (MSE of any estimator/ MSE of MMIV(MIV(0))'s estimator).

170

171
 172 Simulation Results:
 173 In case of unbalanced two-way random nested model, the estimates of σ_e^2 are the same for methods
 174 (ANOVA, MMIV(MIV(0)) and MMIV(ANOVA)) in all cases.
 175 In case of unbalanced two-way random nested model, the estimates of σ_β^2 are the same for methods
 176 (MMIV(ANOVA) and MMIV(MIV(0))) in all cases.
 177 In 25% missing information, in most cases, MMIV(MIV(0))'s estimator of σ_β^2 is the best, it has minimum
 178 mean square error and relative efficiency is less than one.
 179 In 25% missing information, in most cases, ANOVA's estimator of σ_γ^2 is the best, it has minimum mean
 180 square error and relative efficiency is less than MMIV(MIV(0)).
 181 In 25% missing information, in most cases, modified MIV(ANOVA)'s estimator of σ_γ^2 is better than
 182 MMIV(MIV(0)), relative efficiency is greater than one.
 183 In 50% missing information, in most cases, estimator of σ_γ^2 of ANOVA and MIV(ANOVA) methods are the
 184 best, two methods have minimum mean square error and relative efficiency is less than MMIV(MIV(0)).
 185 In 75% missing information, in most cases, estimator of σ_γ^2 of ANOVA and MIV(ANOVA) methods are the
 186 best, two methods have minimum mean square error and relative efficiency is less than MMIV(MIV(0)).
 187 When $\alpha_2 = 0.5 > \alpha_1 = 0.1$, It is better to estimate the variance components by using MMIV(MIV(0)). But
 188 $\alpha_2 = 0.5 < \alpha_1 = 0.9$, It is better to estimate the variance components by using ANOVA.
 189 When the true values of σ_β^2 increases, It is better to estimate the variance components by using
 190 MMIV(MIV(0)).
 191 In balanced two-way random nested model, MMIV(ANOVA) and ANOVA methods have negative
 192 estimates.

193 CONCLUSION

194
 195 The aim of this thesis was to evaluate the performance of the proposed estimators relative to ANOVA's
 196 estimator via simulation studies. Two criteria such as mean square error and relative efficiency are used
 197 to show the performance of the estimators under the study.
 198 From simulation study, we estimated the variance components by using MMIV(MIV(0)), MMIV(ANOVA)
 199 and ANOVA methods under normality assumption and compared the estimators for unbalanced two-way
 200 random nested model.
 201 In unbalanced two-way random nested model, It is better to estimate variance component by
 202 MIV(ANOVA) and ANOVA methods. But two methods have negative estimates.

203 REFERENCES:

- 204
 205
 206
 207
 208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217
 218
 219
 220
1. Rao C.R., Estimation of heteroscedastic variances in linear models, J. Amer. Stat. Assoc.,1970, 65, 112–115.
 2. Rao C.R., Minimum Variance Quadratic Unbiased Estimation of Variance Component, Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 1971, 1, 445-456.
 3. Sahai, H. and Ojeda, M.M., Analysis of Variance for Random Models, Unbalanced Data, Birkhauser, Boston, Basel, Berlin,2005.
 4. Saleh, A.A. and El-Sheikh, A.A., Estimation of Variance Components for Three-Stage Unbalanced Nested Random Mixed Models with Incomplete Information, Proceeding of the 37th Annual Conference On Statistics, Computer Sciences and Operation Research,2002, 1-15, I.S.S.R, Cairo University, Egypt.
 5. Saleh, A.A. and El-Sheikh, A.A., Estimation of Variance Components for Three-Stage Unbalanced Nested Random Mixed Models with Complete Missing Information (C.M.I), Proceeding of the 38th Annual Conference On Statistics, Computer Sciences and Operation Research,2003, 13-16, I.S.S.R, Cairo University, Egypt.
 6. Song, R. and Shulman, S.A., Variance Components in the Two-Way Nested Model with Incomplete Nesting Information, Technometrics, 1997, 39(1), 71-80.

- 221 7. Subramani, J., On Modified Minimum Variance Quadratic Unbiased Estimation (MIVQUE) of
222 Variance Components in Mixed Linear Models, model assisted statistics and applications, 2012,7,
223 179-200.
224
225

UNDER PEER REVIEW