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This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compulsory REVISION comments</th>
<th>Reviewer’s comment</th>
<th>Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The paper was well written, precise and straight to the point. However the following suggestions and corrections may be considered. | 1. We need to know the softwares used to generate the dip and rose diagrams  
Sample localities should be indicated on the map  
2. Average of structural readings from each of the study localities can be tabulated and may be used to generate a structural map of the study area.  
3. Full references for the following authors should be provided:  
   Ekwueme (1989) (page 4 line 81)  
   Ekwueme (1987) Page 4 Line 87 |
### Minor REVISION Comments


Also Ferre et al. (1995) was not referenced in the body of the work but was listed in the references.

Ekwueme et al., (1997) was mispelt as Ekuweme (Page 3 line 66)

### Optional/General Comments
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